

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA**

SAUL HYMES, ILANA HARWAYNE-
GIDANSKY, EDGAR FIERRO, and JOAN
LEWIS individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

EARL ENTERPRISES HOLDINGS, INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 2021-CA-007617-O

I, John A. Yanchunis, declare as follows:

1. I have been licensed to practice law in the state of Florida since 1981.
2. I am one of the attorneys for the Plaintiffs and the proposed Settlement Class in this case. I submit this declaration in support of the Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement. The facts herein stated are true of my own personal knowledge, and if called to testify to such facts, I could and would do so competently.
3. I lead the Class Action Department at Morgan & Morgan. Morgan & Morgan is the largest Plaintiff's, contingency-only law firm in the country, with over 800 lawyers in more than 50 offices throughout the United States. Its depth as a trial firm, and its self-funded financial resources, allow it to undertake the largest and most significant cases throughout the country.
4. My practice—which began after completing a two-year clerkship with United States District Judge Carl O. Bue, Jr., Southern District of Texas, Houston Division—has concentrated on complex litigation and spans over 38 years, including consumer class actions for more than two-thirds of that time. I have represented consumers in numerous successful class actions involving a wide variety of claims and topics from anti-trust, securities, civil rights, defective products, deceptive and unfair trade practices, common law fraud, and the protection of the privacy rights of consumers.

5. I was appointed co-lead counsel in the successful prosecution of the two largest class action cases in the United States: *Fresco v. Automotive Directions, Inc.*, Case No. 03-61063-JEM, and *Fresco v. R.L. Polk*, Case 0:07-cv-60695-JEM (Southern District of Florida). These cases were filed against the world's largest data and information brokers—Experian, R.L. Polk, Acxiom, Reed Elsevier (which owns Lexis-Nexis) and others—to protect the important privacy rights of consumers.

6. I presently serve, or have served in the past, as lead, co-lead, or class counsel in numerous multi-district litigations across the country in a wide variety of areas affecting consumers. For instance, I presently serve as co-lead of the *In re: Capitol One Consumer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, No. 1:19-md-02915 (E.D. Va.). I have also served as co-lead of the *Home Depot Data Breach*, a member of the five-member overall Executive Committee in the *Target Data Breach*, No. 0:14-md-02522-PAM (Dist. Minn.), a member of the three-member Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re: U.S. Office Personnel Mgmt Data Security Breach Litig.*, 1:15-cv-01321-ABJ (D.D.C.), and a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re Equifax, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:17-md-2800-TWT (N.D. Ga.). I also served as lead counsel in *In re Yahoo! Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 16-MD-02752-LHK (N.D. Cal.), a case involving a data breach of over 2.9 billion users of Yahoo's email service. The court in that case recently entered final judgment and approved the settlement of the claims of a class of consumers in the United States and Israel.

7. As a result of my experience in litigation against the insurance industry, including class litigation, I served as lead counsel for the insurance regulators for the state of Florida in connection with their investigations of a number of insurance companies and brokers of allegations of price fixing, bidding rigging, undisclosed compensation and other related conduct, and negotiated a number of settlements with insurance companies and brokers who were the subject of those investigations. These investigations resulted in the recovery of millions of dollars for Florida policyholders and the implementation of changes to the way insurance is sold in Florida and throughout the United States.

8. During my career, I have tried numerous cases in state and federal courts, including one of the largest and longest insurance coverage cases in U.S. history, which was filed in 1991 by The Celotex Corporation and its subsidiary, Carey Canada, Inc. During the seventeen years the case pended, I served as lead counsel for several insurance companies regarding coverage for asbestos and environmental claims. The case was tried in three phases over several years beginning in 1992. I was also lead counsel for these parties in the subsequent appeals that followed a judgment in favor of my clients.

9. As result of my experience in the area of class litigation and ethics, I have served as an expert for The Florida Bar on ethical issues arising in class action litigation.

10. I am currently a member in good standing of The Florida Bar, and of all the bars to which I have been admitted, including the United States Supreme Court, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Ninth, and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States District Courts of the Southern District of Texas, Northern District of Texas, Eastern District of Wisconsin, Western District of Wisconsin, Western District of Tennessee, Middle District of Florida, Southern District of Florida, Eastern District of Michigan, and Northern District of Illinois.

11. The Plaintiffs request that the Court appoint myself and Ryan J. McGee of my firm, Matthew M. Guiney and Carl Malmstrom of Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz, LLP, Mark S. Reich and Courtney Maccarone of Levi & Korsinsky, LLP, and Gayle M. Blatt of Casey Gerry Schenk Francavilla Blatt & Penfield, LLP, as Settlement Class Counsel (collectively, "Class Counsel"). Class Counsel have invested considerable time and resources into the investigation of the facts underlying the claims, including the interviews of numerous class members who contacted Class Counsel, and the prosecution of this action. Since the outset of this litigation, the firms have cooperatively and effectively collaborated to prosecute, and ultimately resolve, this case on behalf of their clients and the Class. They have performed work critical to achieving benefits for the Class, including by investigating the facts surrounding the data breach, researching and analyzing legal claims under state and federal law and common law, preparing and filing the

Complaint, participating in meetings with defense counsel to discuss the Parties' respective positions, negotiating the proposed Settlement, and drafting this motion for preliminary approval.

12. As noted above, and as reflected in our respective resumes and biographies attached hereto as **Composite Exhibit 1**, Class Counsel are qualified, experienced, and able prosecute this litigation. Class Counsel have a wealth of experience in litigating complex class action lawsuits similar to this one and have extensive knowledge of the applicable law and sufficient resources to commit to the Settlement Class.

13. Throughout the pendency of this case, my co-counsel and I have maintained regular contact with the Plaintiffs to discuss with them the prosecution of the case. With the assistance of counsel, Plaintiffs have been at the helm of this case and continue to be focused on the advancement of the interests and claims of the Class over their own interests. They have always been concerned about obtaining a result that was best for the Class. Plaintiffs are adequate class representatives with no conflicts of interest.

14. This Action was initiated following Earl's announcement that it was the subject of third-party cyberattacks involving malware installed on Earl's point-of-sale ("POS") systems for approximately ten (10) months, resulting in the exfiltration of 2.15 million of its customers' personally identifiable information ("PII") (the "Data Breach"). Plaintiffs allege, *inter alia*, that Earl was negligent, breached its contracts with its customers, was unjustly enriched, breached customers' confidence, and violated state consumer protection laws when it failed to protect Plaintiffs' PII from being compromised in the Data Breach at issue. The Data Breach involved an estimated 2.15 million cards that were located and for sale on the dark web through a site called "Joker's Stash" beginning as early as February 20, 2019, according to blogger Brian Krebs.

15. Immediately upon learning of the Data Breach, Class Counsel worked vigorously to remedy the harms imposed on the Settlement Class. This Action was filed in Federal Court on April 3, 2019. Following briefing a motion to dismiss and Plaintiffs' responses thereto, the Parties agreed to and did retain Rodney Max, *Esq.*, a highly experienced mediator, to assist the Parties in settlement negotiations.

16. On February 19, 2020, the Parties, through their respective counsel, engaged in a full-day mediation session before Mr. Rodney Max. The negotiations were hard-fought throughout and the settlement process was conducted at arm's length. With the assistance of Mr. Max, the Parties were able to reach an initial resolution to the Action on a class-wide basis that provides both injunctive and monetary relief to Class Members. On February 20, 2020, the Federal court was advised that the Parties had reached a final, comprehensive settlement. During the ensuing months, the Parties continued the exchange of information and negotiations as to the final details of the Settlement Agreement.

17. The parties subsequently dismissed this litigation from Federal court and agreed to re-file this matter in state court, and continued to exchange information and negotiations as to the final details of the Settlement Agreement.

18. Thus, the Settlement was reached as a result of extensive, arm's length negotiations between experienced counsel, was informed by counsel's review information and data voluntarily produced by the respective parties, and utilized an experienced neutral in Mr. Max, who enhanced the negotiations.

19. In addition to the benefits already afforded to the Settlement Class, the Settlement provides two alternative tiers of relief to compensate Settlement Class Members for inconveniences and losses as a result of the Data Breach. All Class Members who submit a valid and timely Claim Form and supporting documentation are eligible to receive up to \$5,000 per Settlement Class Member for reimbursement for documented out-of-pocket expenses incurred as a result of the Data Breach. Also, Settlement Class Members who submit a valid and timely Claim Form and attest that they used a payment card at an affected Earl Enterprises restaurant during the Data Breach are eligible to receive two \$10.00 promotional cards. An additional benefit of the Settlement is the remedial measures that Earl agrees to adopt, continue, or maintain as a result of this Litigation, which will benefit all Class Members regardless of whether or not they submit a Claim.

20. Notice and administration will be paid from the common fund.

21. The Parties negotiated Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, and Service Awards, separately and only after the Parties had reached an agreement on the benefits afforded to the Settlement Class by the Settlement

22. The proposed total Settlement value here exceeds \$650,000.00 (without the sum of the promotional cards for self-attest time) is substantial by any measure and certainly falls within a range of possible approval. This is particularly true given the real and substantial risk that Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class could have recovered nothing if litigation had continued due to defenses and the proof of damages that could be recovered in this case not being certain.

23. After investigating the facts and carefully considering applicable law, Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have concluded that it is in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members to enter into the Settlement in order to avoid the uncertainties of litigation and to assure meaningful and timely benefits to the Settlement Class Members. I, along with the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel, respectfully submit that the terms and conditions of this Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interests of all Settlement Class Members.

24. Throughout the settlement process, my co-counsel and I carefully weighed with the Plaintiffs: (1) the benefits to the Class under the terms of this Settlement Agreement, which provides significant relief to the Class; (2) the relatively small damages which might have been sustained by the Class, the likelihood that in the absence of a class action many consumers would not pursue individual claims, particularly due to the cost to litigate these claims if pursued in individual litigation, and the fact that the small damages would not justify the retention of an attorney to pursue the claims individually; (3) the difficulty in proving and calculating those damages; (4) the attendant risks and uncertainty of litigation, as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation including the challenges to certification of a class; (5) Defendants' vigorous defense of the litigation and continued denial of the claims contained in the Complaint; (6) the desirability of consummating the present Settlement Agreement to ensure that the Class receives a fair and reasonable Settlement; and (7) providing Settlement Class Members prompt relief.

25. In particular, it is my opinion that the present Settlement Agreement provides significant monetary benefits to Class Members that are rationally and directly based on the kind and amount of actual harm suffered by Settlement Class Members as a result of the Data Breach.

26. As recounted above and in the Settlement, the class members have already received substantial benefits without having to submit claims. As to the prospective financial benefits, the Settlement affords relief to Settlement Class Members with minimal burden and through a streamlined claims process, with a claim form that is simple to complete and submit. Settlement Class Members may seek relief for their damages by filing a claim form without providing documentation to support those damages. Alternatively, Settlement Class Members with more significant damages may take the additional step to present reasonable documentation to seek greater compensation.

27. The relief provided by the Settlement is reasonable and adequate, particularly in light of the risks and delay trial and associated appeals would wreak. At bottom, Plaintiffs faced difficult hurdles certifying a class.

28. In addition, the cost of retaining a lawyer to represent a consumer in an individual litigation, along with costs associated with retaining an expert to investigate and testify as to liability, would vastly exceed any consumers' damages, making it unlikely that, absent the class mechanism, any consumer would have pursued a claim.

29. If the Parties had been unable to resolve this case through settlement, the litigation would likely have been protracted and costly. Although the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel believe that the claims asserted are meritorious, continued litigation against Defendants posed significant risks that made any recovery uncertain. At the outset, continued litigation of this matter would require the Court to resolve several, separate threshold questions concerning the viability of the litigation.

30. In light of these difficult issues, the monetary benefits of the Settlement are appropriate and the timing in which the benefits have and will be provided is significant, and go well beyond the potential litigated recovery for the class.

31. The monetary benefits of the Settlement also meet the demands in the Complaint. All Settlement Class Members received monetary benefits. These terms strongly suggest that Class benefits were not traded for individual benefits. If approved by the Court, Settlement Class Members may file a claim for reimbursement of financial or other losses suffered as a result of the Data Breach. Settlement Class Members with significant losses and who provide reasonable documentation of such losses will be eligible for a payment of up to \$5,000.00.

32. Further, the proposed Settlement Class is functionally equivalent to that alleged in the Complaint. The Settlement Class is defined as: “All residents of the United States whose Personal Information was exposed or potentially exposed as a result of the Data Breach Incident.” Thus, there is harmony between both the proposed class and the claims to be released in the Complaint and Settlement.

33. Given the potentially dispositive—from a class perspective—threshold issues here of individualized damages, as well as other defenses, it is possible the anticipated litigated outcome for the class would have been recovery of nothing. However, assuming those hurdles were able to be overcome, and a class was certified, each of the Plaintiffs’ substantive claims were dependent on showing actual damages from the Data Breach—for example, breach of implied contract (Count I), negligence (Count II), negligence per se (Count III), unjust enrichment (Count IV), breach of confidence (Count V), or actual damages under the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act (Count VI), the California Customer Records Act (Count VII), the California Security Breach Notification Law (Count VIII), the California Unfair Competition Law (Count IX).

34. The Settlement here more likely than not provides 100 percent of a loss sustained by an individual consumer. Based on Class Counsel’s experience in prior similar cases, the \$5,000 individual cap, should be sufficient to recompense the legitimate individual claims, and all class wide claims in the aggregate.

35. Given my experience in class actions generally, I expect that the Notice Program in this case will produce a positive claims rate. Notice will be made via publication, social media, and Spotify, and will be posted on the Settlement Website. This proposed Notice Program is

designed to reach as many of the Settlement Class Members as possible, and fully comports with due process under the circumstances of this case.

36. Plaintiffs seek certification of a single class and all members of the proposed Settlement Class are entitled to the same benefits. All Settlement Class Members who do not exclude themselves from the Settlement will be eligible to submit claims. The dollar amounts of these reimbursements may vary, but those differences reflect the differing amounts of losses that Settlement Class Members incurred as a result of the Data Breach. Thus, each Settlement Class Member who submits a valid claim will be paid proportionate to the harm they suffered.


37. The Settlement Agreement authorizes a Service Award for each Plaintiff, in an amount to be determined by the Court but not to exceed \$2,500, in recognition for the services they performed on behalf of the entire class that resulted in the Settlement. If approved by the Court, these Service Awards will be paid from the common fund. Moreover, each Plaintiff approved the Settlement without any contingency or condition or promise regarding any Service Award that the Court may grant them in this case. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs' interests did not conflict with or diverge from the interests of the Settlement Class. The amount requested is appropriate given the time, effort, and risk of each Plaintiff's participation in this action.

38. Although formal discovery did not occur, the Parties exchanged information which provided Class Counsel—along my prior experience in similar litigation and communications with many consumers in these cases and the one before the Court—with the ability to make a well informed decision about the litigation risks and the benefits of the Settlement.

39. Given my extensive experience with class action settlements, it is my informed opinion that the Notice Program, with all attendant forms and as outlined in the Settlement, makes every effort to ensure that Class Members will be made aware of their right to a recovery under the Settlement.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 26, 2022 at Tampa, Florida.

By:  _____
John A. Yanchunis, Esq.

Composite Exhibit 1



Morgan & Morgan is a leading civil trial law firm representing consumers and commercial clients nationwide. With over 800 lawyers, and more than 3,000 non-lawyer employees, Morgan & Morgan is the largest plaintiffs' firm in the nation. Morgan & Morgan maintains over offices throughout the United States. Among its lawyers are former state attorney generals and present and former members of various state legislatures.

Morgan & Morgan has a dedicated Complex Litigation Group staffed with lawyers, paralegals, and retired FBI agents serving as investigators committed to representing consumers in complex litigation, MDL proceedings and class action cases throughout the country. It has achieved many remarkable results in class litigation, including the settlement of *In re Black Farmers Discrimination Litigation*, no. 08-0511 (D.C. Oct. 27, 2017), where one of its partners served as co-lead. The case resulted in a settlement with the United States Government in the amount of \$1.2 billion for African American farmers who had been systematically discriminated against on the basis of race, in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, and the Administrative Procedure Act. Morgan & Morgan has assembled a talented team of lawyers:

John A. Yanchunis leads the class action section of the law firm. His practice—which began after completing a two-year clerkship with United States District Judge Carl O. Bue, Jr., S. D. Tex.—has concentrated on complex litigation and spans over 40 years, including consumer class actions for more than two-thirds of that time. As a result of his extensive experience in class litigation, including privacy and data-breach litigation, he regularly lectures nationally and internationally at seminars and symposiums regarding class litigation and privacy litigation.

He has served as lead, co-lead, and class counsel in numerous national class actions, including multi-district litigation, involving a wide range of subjects affecting consumers, including antitrust, defective products, life insurance, annuities, and deceptive and unfair acts and practices. In 2014, he was recognized by the National Law Journal as a trailblazer in the area of privacy litigation, and in 2020, he was recognized by LAW 360 for the second year in a row as one of 4 MVPs in the United States in the area of privacy and cyber security litigation. For his work in the area of privacy litigation, he was awarded lawyer of the year in the state of Florida

by The Daily Business Review.

As a result of his experience in insurance and complex litigation, beginning in 2005, he was selected by Tom Gallagher, the Chief Financial Officer for the state of Florida and a member of the Florida Cabinet, to serve as lead counsel for the Florida Department of Financial Services and the Florida Department of Insurance Regulation (the insurance regulators of Florida) in their investigations of the insurance industry on issues concerning possible antitrust activity and other possible unlawful activities regarding the payment of undisclosed compensation to insurance brokers. He served as lead regulator counsel and worked with a core group of state Attorneys General from the National Association of Attorneys General, which were selected to conduct the investigations. The insurance regulator for Florida was the only insurance regulator in the group. The litigation that was filed and the related investigations netted millions of dollars in restitution for Florida consumers and resulted in significant changes in the way commercial insurance is sold in Florida and across the country.

During his career, he has tried numerous cases in state and federal courts, including one of the largest and longest insurance coverage cases in U.S. history, which was filed in 1991 by the Celotex Corporation and its subsidiary, Carey Canada, Inc. During the seventeen years the case pended, he served as lead counsel for several insurance companies, regarding coverage for asbestos and environmental claims. The case was tried in three phases over several years beginning in 1992. He was also lead counsel for these parties in the subsequent appeals that followed a judgment in favor of his clients.

Mr. Yanchunis began his work in privacy litigation in 1999 with the filing of *In re Doubleclick Inc. Privacy Litigation*, 154 F. Supp. 2d 497 (S.D.N.Y. 2001), alleging privacy violations based on the placement of cookies on hard drives of internet users. Beginning in 2003, he served as co-Lead Counsel in the successful prosecution and settlement of privacy class action cases involving the protection of privacy rights of more than 200 million consumers under the Driver's Protection Privacy Act (DPPA) against the world's largest data and information brokers, including Experian, R.L. Polk, Acxiom, and Reed Elsevier (which owns Lexis/Nexis). See *Fresco v. Automotive Directions, Inc.*, No. 03-61063-JEM (S.D. Fla.), and *Fresco v. R.L. Polk*, No. 07-cv-60695-JEM (S.D. Fla.). Subsequently, I also served as co-Lead Counsel in the DPPA class cases, *Davis v. Bank of America*, No. 05-cv-80806 (S.D. Fla.) (\$10 million class settlement), and *Kehoe v. Fidelity Fed. Bank and Trust*, No. 03-cv-80593 (S.D. Fla.) (\$50 million class settlement).

He has been appointed and served in leadership positions a number of multidistrict litigation in the area of privacy and data breaches: *In re: Capital One Consumer Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 1:19-MD-2915-AJT (E.D. Va.) (settlement for \$190,000,000 preliminarily

approved) *In re Yahoo! Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 5:16-MD-02752-LHK (N.D. Cal.) (“Yahoo”) (Lead Counsel) (Court approved \$117,500,000.00 common fund settlement for approximately 194 million US residents and 270,000 Israeli citizens); *In re The Home Depot, Inc. Consumer Data Sec. Data Breach Litig.*, No. 1:14-md-02583-TWT (N.D. Ga.) (co-Lead Counsel) (final judgment entered approving a settlement on behalf of a class of 40 million consumers with total value of \$29,025,000); *In Re: Equifax, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:17-md-2800-TWT (N.D. Ga.) (member of the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee) (final judgment entered approving \$380.5 million fund for 145 million consumers); *In re: U.S. Office of Personnel Management Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:15-mc-01394-ABJ (D.D.C.) (“OPM”) (member of the Executive Committee) (motion for preliminary approval of a \$60,000,000 common fund); *In re Target Corp. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, MDL No. 2522 (D. Minn.) (Executive Committee member) (final judgment approving a settlement on behalf of a class of approximately 100 million consumers).

His court-appointed leadership experience in non-MDL, data breach class actions is likewise significant, and to just name a few : *Schmidt, et al., v. Facebook, Inc.*, No. 3:18-cv-05982 (N.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Counsel) (“Facebook”) (class certified for 8 million residents , subsequently settlement of the class was approved by the court); *Walters v. Kimpton Hotel & Restaurant*, No. 3:16-cv-05387 (N.D. Cal.) (“Kimpton”) (Lead Counsel) (class action settlement final approval order entered July 11, 2019); and *In re: Arby’s Restaurant Group, Inc. Data Security Litigation*, Nos. 1:17-cv-514 and 1:17-cv-1035 (N.D. Ga.) (co-Liaison Counsel) (final approval of a class settlement entered June 6, 2019); and *Jackson, et al., v. Wendy’s International, LLC*, No. 6:16-cv-210-PGB (M.D. Fla.) (final approval of a class settlement entered February 26, 2019); *Henderson v. Kalispell Regional Healthcare*, No. CDV-19-0761 (Montana Eighth Judicial Court – Cascade County) (final approval of class settlement entered January 5, 2021); *In re: Citrix Data Breach Litigation*, No. 19-cv-61350 (S.D. Fla.) (preliminary approval of class action settlement entered on January 26, 2021); *Kuss v. American HomePatient, Inc., et al.*, 18-cv-2348 (M.D. Fla.) (final approval of class action settlement entered on August 13, 2020); *Fulton-Green v. Accolade, Inc.*, 18-cv-274 (E.D. Pa.) (final approval of class action settlement entered September 23, 2019); *Nelson v. Roadrunner Transportation Systems, Inc.*, 18-cv-7400 (N.D. Ill.) (final approval of class action settlement entered September 15, 2020).

His experience in these major data breach matters extends far beyond simply briefing threshold issues and negotiating settlements. Rather, he has personally deposed dozens of corporate representatives, software engineers, cyber professionals and CISOs in major data breach cases such as Capital One, Yahoo, Kimpton, and Facebook. In addition, he has defended experts used in these cases and also deposed defense liability and damage experts.

Presently he leads his firm’s efforts in two major class cases pending against Google for

data misuse.

As result of his experience in the area of class litigation and ethics, he has served as an expert for The Florida Bar on ethical issues arising in class action litigation. He is a frequent lecturer on privacy and class litigation nationally and internationally, including at international conferences, having presented at the University of Haifa's 2019 Class Action Conference, in Haifa, Israel, attended by lawyers, judges and law professors from around the world. In 2020 he lectured on data privacy in Mexico, and in November 2020 and 2021 he presented on class action issues to an international group of lawyers, judges and professors at a symposium in London sponsored by the London Law Society. He is schedule to speak on class action issues in 2022 at two different symposiums in Amsterdam, and two seminars on privacy and cyber security issues in the United States .

While at the University of Florida Mr. Yanchunis was a member of Florida Blue Key and Omicron Delta Kappa. He received his Juris Doctor degree from the South Texas College of Law in 1980, where he graduated magna cum laude. During law school, Mr. Yanchunis was a member of the Order of the Lytae, Associate Editor-in-Chief and Technical Editor of the South Texas Law Journal.

Michael F. Ram. Mr. Ram is a consumer class action lawyer with 40 years of experience. He graduated cum laude from Harvard Law School in 1982. He has co-tried several class action trials and frequently lectures on class trials. In 1992 he was a co-recipient of the Trial Lawyer of the Year Award given by Trial Lawyers for Public Justice for *National Association of Radiation Survivors v. Walters* No. 83-c-1861 (N.D. Cal.) (tried to class-wide judgment on remand from Supreme Court).

From 1993 through 1997, Mr. Ram was a partner with Loeff, Cabraser, Heimann and Bernstein where he represented plaintiffs in several major class actions, including: *Cox v. Shell*, Civ. No 18,844 (Obion County Chancery Court, Tenn.) national class of six million owners of property with defective polybutylene plumbing systems; *In re Louisiana-Pacific Inner-Seal Litigation*, No. 95-cv-879 (D. Oregon) (co-lead counsel) national class of homeowners with defective siding; *ABS Pipe Litigation*, Cal. Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 3126 (Contra Costa County) national class of homeowners.

In 1997, Mr. Ram founded Levy, Ram & Olson which became Ram & Olson and then Ram, Olson, Cereghino & Kopczynski. He was co-lead counsel in many consumer class actions including a national class of half a million owners of dangerous glass pane gas fireplaces in *Keilholtz et al. v. Superior Fireplace Company*, No. 08-cv-00836 (N.D. Cal. 2008). He was co-lead counsel for plaintiffs in *Chamberlan v. Ford Motor Company*, No. 03-cv-2628 (N.D. Cal.), a

class action involving defective intake manifolds that generated four published opinions, including one by the Ninth Circuit, 402 F.3d at 950, and settled one court day before the class trial. He was also co-counsel for plaintiffs in a number of other consumer class actions, including: *In re General Motors Corp. Product Liability Lit.* MDL No. 1896 (W.D. Wash.) (defective speedometers); *Richison v. American Cemwood Corp.*, San Joaquin Superior Court Case No. 005532 (defective Cemwood Shakes); *Williams v. Weyerhaeuser*, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 995787 (defective hardboard siding); *Naef v. Masonite*, Mobile County, Alabama Circuit Court Case No. CV-94-4033 (defective hardboard siding on their homes); *Hanlon v. Chrysler Corp.*, 150 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 1998) (approving class action settlement); *McAdams v. Monier, Inc.* (2010) 182 Cal. App. 4th 174 (reversing denial of class certification in consumer class action involving roof tiles); *Gardner v. Stimson Lumber Co.* (King County Wash. No. 2-17633-3-SEA) (defective siding); *Rosenberg v. U-Haul* (Santa Cruz Superior Ct. No. CV-144045 (certified consumer class action for false and deceptive conduct; tried successfully to judgment); *In re Google Buzz User Privacy Litigation*, No. 10-cv-00672-JW (N.D. Cal. 2011) (international class action settlement for false and deceptive conduct); *Whitaker v. Health Net of California, Inc., and International Business Machines Corp.*, No. 2:11-cv-0910 KJM DAD (E.D. Cal.) (electronic privacy class action under the California Confidentiality of Medical Information Act); and *In re Kitec Plumbing System Products Liab. Litigation MDL No 2098*, N.D. Texas, No. 09-MD-2098 (MDL class action involving claims concerning defective plumbing systems).

From 2017 to 2020, Mr. Ram was a partner at Robins Kaplan LLP. In August, 2020, Mr. Ram joined Morgan & Morgan to open a San Francisco office for them. He is currently co-lead counsel in numerous consumer class actions, including *Gold v. Lumber Liquidators*, N.D. Cal. No. 14-cv-05373-RS, a certified multistate class action involving bamboo floors, and *Fowler v. Wells Fargo*, N.D. Cal. No. 3:17-cv-02092-HSG, a class action involving interest charges that settled for \$30 million. In addition, he is also currently serving on the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in the *In re Philips CPAP MDL Litigation*, where he is co-chair of the Law and Briefing Committee.

Jean Sutton Martin. Ms. Martin presently serves by appointment as interim co-lead counsel in *In re Morgan Stanley Data Security Litigation*, 1:20-cv-05914 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$68 million settlement for 15 million class members), *Combs, et al. v. Warner Music Group*, Case No. 1:20-cv-07473-PGG (S.D.N.Y.), *In Re: Ambry Genetics Data Breach Litigation*, No. 20-cv-00791 (C.D. Cal.), and *Johnson, et al. v. Yuma Regional Medical Center*, 2:22-cv-01061-SMB (D. Ariz.). She also serves as a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee for the cases proceeding against LabCorp, Inc. in *In re: American Medical Collection Agency Data Breach Litigation*, 19-md-2904 (D. N.J.). She is a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re: Smith & Nephew Birmingham Hip Resurfacing (BHR) Hip Implant Products Liability Litigation*, No. 17-md-2775 (D. Md.) and *In re: Allergan Biocell Textured Breast Implant Products Liability Litigation*, No. 19-md-2921 (D. N.J.).

In a case in which she serves as interim co-lead counsel, Ms. Martin argued a motion for class certification which resulted in the first order in the country granting Rule 23(b)(3) certification in a consumer payment card data breach. *In re Brinker Data Incident Litig.*, No. 3:18-CV-686-TJC-MCR, 2021 WL 1405508 (M.D. Fla. Apr. 14, 2021).

She has served in leadership positions in many consumer class actions and consolidated proceedings in federal courts around the country, including *inter alia*: *Aguallo, et al. v. Kemper Corp., et al.*, Case No.: 1:21-cv-01883 (N.D. Ill.) (data breach settlement valued at over \$17.5 million) (co-lead counsel); *Gordon, et al. v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.*, No. 17-cv-01415 (D. Colo.) (data breach) (co-lead counsel); *Linnins v. HAECO Americas, Inc., et al.*, No. 16-cv-486 (M.D.N.C.) (employee data disclosure) (co-lead counsel); *Torres v. Wendy's International, LLC*, No. 6:16-cv-210 (M.D. Fla.) (data breach) (class counsel); *Fuentes, et al. v. UniRush, LLC, et al.*, No. 1:15-cv-08372 (S.D.N.Y.) (disruption in servicing of financial accounts) (co-lead counsel); *Lewis, et al., v. Green Dot Corp., et al.*, No. 2:16-cv-03557 (C.D. Cal.) (disruption in servicing of financial accounts) (class counsel); *Brady, et al. v. Due North Holdings, LLC, et al.*, No. 1:17-cv-01313 (S.D. Ind.) (employee data disclosure) (class counsel); *Foreman v. Solera Holdings, Inc.*, No. 6:17-cv-02002 (M.D. Fla.) (employee data disclosure) (class counsel); *In Re: Outer Banks Power Outage Litigation*, No. 4:17-cv-141 (E.D.N.C.) (extended island power outage due to defective construction practices) (class counsel); and, *McCoy v. North State Aviation, LLC, et al.*, No. 17-cv-346 (M.D.N.C.) (WARN Act violations) (class counsel).

In addition to consumer class actions, Ms. Martin has practiced in the areas of mass tort and catastrophic personal injury litigation. Prior to joining Morgan and Morgan, Ms. Martin ran her own law firm concentrating in consumer class actions and mass tort litigation. She also has served as an adjunct professor at Wake Forest University School of Law.

Ms. Martin received her Juris Doctor degree from Wake Forest University School of Law in 1998, where she served as Editor-in-Chief of the *Wake Forest Law Review*. She obtained eDiscovery certification from the eDiscovery Training Academy at Georgetown Law Center in 2017. Ms. Martin graduated from Wake Forest University with a Bachelor of Science in Mathematical Economics in 1989. She earned a Master of International Business from the University of South Carolina in 1991.

Ms. Martin has been honored with the prestigious “AV” rating by Martindale-Hubbell. In 2016, Ms. Martin was selected by her peers as the foremost Litigation attorney in the State of North Carolina for *Business North Carolina Magazine's Legal Elite*, gaining membership in the *Legal Elite* Hall of Fame. In 2015, she was inducted as a Fellow of the Litigation Counsel of America, a prestigious trial lawyer honorary society comprised of less than one-half of one percent of American lawyers. Fellows are selected based upon excellence and

accomplishment in litigation, both at the trial and appellate levels, and superior ethical reputation. For upholding the highest principles of the legal profession and for outstanding dedication to the welfare of others, Ms. Martin has also been selected as a Fellow of the American Bar Foundation, an honorary legal organization whose membership is limited to one third of one percent of lawyers in each state. Since 2012, she has been selected to the Super Lawyers list for North Carolina in the areas of mass torts and class actions, with repeated selection to the Top 50 Women North Carolina.

Before entering law school, Ms. Martin worked with the sales finance team of Digital Equipment Company in Munich, Germany developing sales forecasts and pricing models for the company's expansion into the Eastern European market after the fall of the Berlin wall. She also worked as a practice management consultant for a physician consulting group and as a marketing manager for an international candy manufacturer where her responsibilities included product development, brand licensing, market research, and sales analysis.

Ms. Martin has been a presenter on a variety of topics related to class actions including: *Fantasy Gaming Webinar: FanDuel and DraftKings Litigation*, AAJ (December 2015); *Thinking Outside the Black Box: Drug Cases in the Class Context*, Mass Torts Made Perfect (October 2019); *Mass Torts and MDLs*, Western Alliance Class Action Forum (March 2020); *Consumer Class Actions*, Western Alliance Class Action Forum (March 2022); *How to Maximize Efficiency in Document Production and Review*, Mass Torts Made Perfect (April 2022).

Ms. Martin is a member of the North Carolina bar, having been admitted in 1998. She is also admitted to practice before the United States Supreme Court, the United States Court of Federal Claims, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, the Western, Middle, and Eastern Districts of North Carolina, and the United States District Court of Colorado.

Marcio Valladares. Mr. Valladares was born in Managua, Nicaragua and immigrated to the United States during Nicaragua's civil war. In 1990, Marcio obtained a Bachelor of Science degree in psychology from the University of Florida. In 1993, he obtained his Juris Doctor degree, *magna cum laude*, from Florida State University. He is pursuing a Masters in Law (LL.M.) degree from Columbia University, focusing on federal and comparative law.

Before joining Morgan & Morgan, Marcio worked in both the public and private sectors. He served as a judicial law clerk to the Honorable Steven D. Merryday, United States District Judge, Middle District of Florida, and then served as a judicial law clerk to the Honorable Susan H. Black, United States Circuit Court Judge, Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. Marcio served as an Assistant United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida. In the private sector, Marcio practiced commercial litigation and insurance defense at Holland & Knight LLP.

Marcio also worked as in-house counsel for the Mayo Clinic. Marcio is fluent in English and Spanish.

Marie Noel Appel. Ms. Appel has dedicated her career to representing consumers in both individual and class action cases involving claims under consumer protection laws and other statutory and common law claims. She earned a B.A. in French from San Francisco State University in 1992 and graduated from University of San Francisco School of Law in 1996.

For most of her career, Ms. Appel has been in private practice litigating class claims related to defective products, mortgage fraud/Truth in Lending violations, unfair business practices relating to manufactured home sales, interest overcharges by the United States on military veterans' credit accounts, and statutory violations by the United States relating to offset of debts beyond the limitations period.

From 2012 to 2019, Ms. Appel left private practice to become the Supervising Attorney of the Consumer Project at the Justice & Diversity Center of the Bar Association of San Francisco which provides free legal services to low-income persons facing consumer issues.

In April 2019, Ms. Appel returned to private practice as Counsel at Robins Kaplan, LLP, then joined Morgan & Morgan in August 2020 where she focuses on class action litigation.

In addition to her legal practice, Ms. Appel is an Adjunct Professor at Golden Gate University School of Law in San Francisco where she teaches legal research and writing, and from 2011 to 2018 supervised students at the Consumer Rights Clinic, in which students performed legal work at the Justice & Diversity Center's Consumer Debt Defense and Education Clinics.

Ms. Appel has a long history of pro bono involvement and currently is a regular volunteer at the Community Legal Assistance Saturday Program, a monthly free legal clinic sponsored by the Alameda County Bar Association. Ms. Appel provides trainings to San Francisco Bay Area legal aid attorneys regarding consumer collection defense and related matters, focusing recently on defense of lawsuits against low-income individuals for unpaid back rent resulting during the COVID-19 pandemic. In the past, Ms. Appel has provided pro bono representation for numerous low-income consumers facing debt collection lawsuits, and volunteered regularly at free legal clinics through the Justice & Diversity Center in San Francisco which, on multiple years, designated her as one of the Outstanding Volunteers in Public Service.

Ms. Appel is admitted to practice in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and United States District Courts in the Central District of California; the Eastern District of California; the Northern District of California; and the Southern District of California.

Kenya Reddy. Ms. Reddy represents consumers in class action litigation. She graduated from Duke University in 1997 with a degree in political science. In 2000, she received her law degree from the University of Virginia School of Law. Prior to joining Morgan & Morgan, Ms. Reddy was a shareholder at Carlton Fields, P.A., where her primary areas of practice were antitrust, complex civil litigation, class action defense, and business litigation. She also has experience in including labor and employment, products litigation, ERISA and employee benefits law, insurance, healthcare, and securities litigation.

Ms. Reddy has served as a law clerk for the Honorable Charles R. Wilson, United States Circuit Court Judge, Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, the Honorable Anne C. Conway, former Chief Judge of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, the Honorable Mary S. Scriven, United States District Judge, Middle District of Florida, and the Honorable Karla R. Spaulding, United States Magistrate Judge, Middle District of Florida.

Ms. Reddy was a guest speaker in January 2019 at HarrisMartin's Marriott Data Breach Litigation Conference on the topic of standing in data breach cases. In October 2019, she presented on the topic of third-party litigation funding at the Mass Torts Made Perfect Conference.

Ms. Reddy is admitted to practice in the Northern, Middle, and Southern Districts of Florida.

Ryan Maxey. Mr. Maxey grew up in Tampa, Florida. He attended the University of South Florida, where he obtained Bachelors Degrees in Computer Science and Philosophy. During and after his undergraduate education, Mr. Maxey developed software and databases for Amalie Oil Company, an automotive lubricant manufacturer located in the Port of Tampa. Mr. Maxey later attended law school at the University of Florida, graduating *order of the coif* in 2008.

From 2008 to 2011, Mr. Maxey served as a judicial law clerk to the Honorable Elizabeth A. Jenkins, United States Magistrate Judge, University of Florida. Mr. Maxey then worked at one of the country's largest law firms, Greenberg Traurig, for four years. In 2015, Mr. Maxey joined Morgan & Morgan's Business Trial Group as a lead attorney handling a variety of business litigation matters. Mr. Maxey later started his own law practice, litigating claims related to breach of contract, trade secret misappropriation, the FLSA, the FDCPA, and premises liability.

Mr. Maxey was admitted to the Florida Bar in 2008 and is also admitted to practice in the

Middle District of Florida and the Southern District of Florida.

Ryan J. McGee. Mr. McGee was born and raised in Tampa, Florida. He studied business economics and history at the University of Florida, where he was a teaching assistant for technology classes in the business school, and received his law degree from Stetson University College of Law, where he was an editor on the *Stetson Law Review*, a research assistant for antitrust and consumer protection laws, and a teaching assistant for Stetson's trial advocacy program.

Ryan began his legal career as a state-appointed prosecutor, where he tried over 50 jury trials to verdict, mostly felonies, as well as a special prosecutor appointed to investigate police officers' deadly use-of-force and corruption within various law enforcement agencies. Ryan also served as a law clerk for two years for the Honorable Elizabeth A. Kovachevich, the former Chief United States District Judge, Middle District of Florida. Before joining Morgan & Morgan, Ryan's practice involved complex business disputes, antitrust, trade secret, data security, and class action investigations and defense-side litigation in state and federal courts across the country.

Since shifting his focus entirely to consumer class action representation, Ryan has been selected as a Florida Super Lawyer Rising Star in 2018 and 2019 in the field of Class Actions, and has extensive privacy and consumer fraud class action experience, having actively participated in the following litigations: *Brown v. Google LLC*, No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR (N.D. Cal.); *Rodriguez v. Google LLC*, No. 3:20-cv-4688-RS (N.D. Cal.); *In re Morgan Stanley Data Security Litigation*, 1:20-cv-05914 (S.D.N.Y.); *In re: Capital One Consumer Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 1:19-MD-2915-AJT (E.D. Va.); *Schmidt, et al., v. Facebook, Inc.*, No. 3:18-cv-05982 (N.D. Cal.); *In re Google Plus Profile Litigation*, No. 5:18-cv-06164 EJD (N.D. Cal.); *Kuss v. American HomePatient, Inc., et al.*, No. 8:18-cv-02348 (M.D. Fla.); *In re Equifax, Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litigation*, No. 1:17-md-02800 (N.D. Ga.); *Morrow v. Quest Diagnostics, Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-0948(CCC)(JBC) (D.N.J.); *In re Yahoo! Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, No. 16-md-02752-LHK (N.D. Cal.); *In re: U.S. Office of Personnel Management Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:15-mc-01394-ABJ (D.C.);

Ryan was admitted to the Florida Bar in 2009 and is also admitted to practice in the Northern, Middle, and Southern Districts of Florida.

Patrick Barthle. Mr. Barthle was born and raised in Dade City, Florida. He attended the University of Florida where he was admitted to the Honors Program and graduated, *cum laude*, with a double major in History and Criminology in 2009. While at UF, Patrick was inducted into the Phi Beta Kappa Honor Society and served as President of the Catholic Student Center. Patrick attended Washington and Lee University School of Law, graduating *summa cum laude* in 2012; where he was a Lead Articles Editor for the Wash. & Lee Law Review, a member of the Order of

the Coif and the Phi Delta Phi Legal Honor Society, and President of the W&L Law Families organization.

Before joining Morgan & Morgan in 2015, Patrick worked at one of the country's largest law firms, Greenberg Traurig, LLP, and then served as a judicial law clerk for two years to the Honorable Mary S. Scriven, United States District Judge, Middle District of Florida. Patrick has extensive privacy and consumer fraud class action experiencing, having actively participated in the following litigations: *In re: Capital One Consumer Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 1:19-MD-2915-AJT (E.D. Va.); *In re: U.S. Office of Personnel Management Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:15-mc-01394-ABJ (D.C.); *Torres v. Wendy's International, LLC*, No. 6:16-cv-210 (M.D. Fla.); *Morrow v. Quest Diagnostics, Inc.*, No. 2:17-cv-0948 (Dist. NJ); *In Re: Equifax, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, 1:17-md-2800-TWT (N.D. Ga.); *In re The Home Depot, Inc. Customer Data Security Data Breach Litigation*, No. 1:14-md-02583-TWT (N.D. Ga.); *In re Yahoo! Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 16-md-02752-LHK (N.D. Cal.); and *Finerman v. Marriott Ownership Resorts, Inc.*, Case No.: 3:14-cv-1154-J-32MCR (M.D. Fla.).

Patrick was selected as a Florida Super Lawyer Rising Star in 2019 in the field of Class Actions. He is also active in speaking on privacy and class action topics, having spoken in June 2018, at the NetDiligence Cyber Risk Summit on the topic of Unauthorized Use of Personal Data; in November 2018 at the American Association for Justice's Advanced 30(b)(6) Seminar, on the topic of 30(b)(6) Depositions in in Data Breach Cases; and in January 2019 at HarrisMartin's Marriott Data Breach Litigation Conference on that topics of damage models and settlements in data breach cases; and Rule 23(c)(4) classes at the Mass Torts Made Perfect conference.

Mr. Barthle was admitted to the Florida Bar in 2012 and is also admitted to practice in the Middle District of Florida, the Southern District of Florida, and the District of Colorado.

Francesca Kester. Ms. Kester was born and raised in Scranton, Pennsylvania. She attended Marywood University, where she graduated with a major in English Literature, and The Pennsylvania State University's Dickinson School of Law, where she received her Juris Doctor degree in 2017. While at Dickinson, Ms. Kester competed in the American Bar Association's National Appellate Advocacy Competition, where she was awarded the highest honor for her legal brief writing, and the Texas Young Lawyer's National Trial Competition, where she finished as a regional finalist. Ms. Kester also served as Executive Chair of the Dickinson Law Moot Court Board, Founder of the Dickinson Law partnership with Big Brothers Big Sisters, and Student Director of the Bethesda Mission Men's Shelter legal clinic. At graduation, she was honored with the D. Arthur Magaziner Human Services Award for outstanding academic achievement and service to others, the Joseph T. McDonald Memorial Scholarship for excellence in trial advocacy, and the peer-selected Lee Popp Award for her devotion to the legal field.

Ms. Kester interned as a judicial clerk to United States Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson while in law school. After graduation, she served for two years as a law clerk to the Honorable James M. Munley in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. Ms. Kester is a member of the Lackawanna County Bar Association, the Pennsylvania Bar Association, the American Association for Justice, and Order of the Barristers. In 2018 and 2019, she served as the attorney advisor for her alma mater's high school mock trial team, coaching them to a first place finish in the state and ninth in the nation.

Ms. Kester is admitted to practice law in both Pennsylvania and Florida.

Ra O. Amen. Mr. Amen was raised in both the California Bay Area and Massachusetts. In 2005, Ra graduated from Stanford University with a B.A. in Economics. After graduating, Ra worked as a Peace Corps volunteer in Morocco teaching English as a second language and business skills to local artisans. Before entering law school, Ra worked for several years in education and in business development for a mobile technology startup. In 2017, he obtained his Juris Doctor degree with Honors from Emory University School of Law. While at Emory Law, he was a Managing Editor of the Bankruptcy Developments Journal, interned at a consumer fraud law practice, and worked in-house with one of the globe's leading metals companies assisting in a diverse array of legal issues ranging from corporate restructuring to international tax and contract disputes. Before joining Morgan & Morgan in 2020, Mr. Amen worked at one of the nation's largest defense law firms in the nation where he specialized in representing clients in complex commercial, administrative, and ecclesiastical disputes.

Ra speaks both English and Spanish, and is an avid guitar player.

Ra was admitted to the Georgia Bar in 2017.

David Reign. Mr. Reign is the former Assistant Special Agent in Charge of the Tampa FBI Field office, with nearly 25 years of investigative experience. He has investigated and managed some of the FBI's most complex white-collar crime cases, with an emphasis on health care fraud, public corruption, and financial crimes. As Deputy Chief of the Enron Task Force, he led a team of investigators and analysts in the successful investigation and prosecution of several executives of the Enron Corporation. He received the Attorney General's Award for Exceptional Service for his work on the Enron matter.



PROVIDING EXEMPLARY LEGAL SERVICES SINCE 1888

FIRM RESUME

Founded in 1888, Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP is a full service law firm specializing in complex litigation in federal and state courts nationwide. The firm's practice includes litigation, both hourly and contingent, in securities, antitrust, wage & hour, consumer fraud, false marketing, ERISA, and general and commercial matters, whistleblower, false claim, trust & estate, corporate investigation, and white collar matters, and FINRA arbitration. The Firm has a particular specialty in complex class action and other representative litigation – including investor, shareholder, antitrust, ERISA, consumer, employee, and biotechnology matters – under both federal and state law.

Wolf Haldenstein's total practice approach distinguishes it from other firms. Our longstanding tradition of a close attorney/client relationship ensures that each one of our clients receives prompt, individual attention and does not become lost in an institutional bureaucracy. Our team approach is at the very heart of Wolf Haldenstein's practice. All of our lawyers are readily available to all of our clients and to each other. The result of this approach is that we provide our clients with an efficient legal team having the broad perspective, expertise and experience required for any matter at hand. We are thus able to provide our clients with cost effective and thorough counsel focused on our clients' overall goals.

270 MADISON AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10016
TELEPHONE: 212-545-4600
TELECOPIER: 212-686-0114
WWW.WHAFH.COM

SYMPHONY TOWERS
750 B STREET, SUITE 1820
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TELEPHONE: 619-239-4599
TELECOPIER: 619-234-4599

111 WEST JACKSON
SUITE 1700
CHICAGO, IL 60604
TELEPHONE: 312-984-0000
TELECOPIER: 312-214-3110



THE FIRM

Wolf Haldenstein has been recognized by state and federal courts throughout the country as being highly experienced in complex litigation, particularly with respect to securities, consumer, ERISA, FLSA and state overtime and expense deductions, and antitrust class actions and shareholder rights litigation.

Among its colleagues in the plaintiffs' bar, as well as among its adversaries in the defense bar, Wolf Haldenstein is known for the high ability of its attorneys, and the exceptionally high quality of its written and oral advocacy.

The nature of the Firm's activities in both individual and representative litigation is extremely broad. In addition to a large case load of securities fraud and other investor class actions, Wolf Haldenstein has represented classes of corn and rice farmers in connection with the devaluation of their crops; canned tuna consumers for tuna companies' violations of antitrust laws; merchants compelled to accept certain types of debit cards; insurance policyholders for insurance companies' deceptive sales practices; victims of unlawful strip searches under the civil rights laws; and various cases involving violations of Internet users' on-line privacy rights.

The Firm's experience in class action securities litigation, in particular public shareholder rights under state law and securities fraud claims arising under the federal securities laws and regulations is particularly extensive. The Firm was one of the lead or other primary counsel in securities class action cases that have recouped billions of dollars on behalf of investor classes, in stockholder rights class actions that have resulted in billions of dollars in increased merger consideration to shareholder classes, and in derivative litigation that has recovered billions of dollars for corporations.

Its pioneering efforts in difficult or unusual areas of securities or investor protection laws include: groundbreaking claims that have been successfully brought under the Investment Company Act of 1940 regarding fiduciary responsibilities of investment companies and their advisors toward their shareholders; claims under ERISA involving fiduciary duties of ERISA trustees who are also insiders in possession of adverse information regarding their fund's primary stockholdings; the fiduciary duties of the directors of Delaware corporations in connection with change of control transactions; the early application of the fraud-on-the-market theory to claims against public accounting firms in connection with their audits of publicly traded corporations; and the application of federal securities class certification standards to state law claims often thought to be beyond the reach of class action treatment.



JUDICIAL COMMENDATIONS

Wolf Haldenstein has repeatedly received favorable judicial recognition. The following representative judicial comments over the past decade indicate the high regard in which the Firm is held:

- *In re Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. Investor Litig.*, No. 650607/2012 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co.) – On May 2, 2013, Justice O. Peter Sherwood praised the Firm in its role as chair of the committee of co-lead counsel as follows: "It is apparent to me, having presided over this case, that class counsel has performed in an excellent manner, and you have represented your clients quite well. You should be complimented for that." In awarding attorneys' fees, the Court stated that the fee was "intended to reward class counsel handsomely for the very good result achieved for the Class, assumption of the high risk of Plaintiffs prevailing and the efficiency of effort that resulted in the settlement of the case at an early stage without protracted motion practice." May 17, 2013 slip. op. at 5 (citations omitted).
- *Roberts v. Tishman Speyer*, 13 N.Y.3d 270 (N.Y. 2009) – On April 9, 2013, Justice Richard B. Lowe III praised the Firm's efforts as follows: "[W]hen you have challenging cases, the one thing you like to ask for is that the legal representation on both sides rise to that level. Because when you have lawyers who are professionals, who are confident, who are experienced, each of you know that each side has a job to do [. . .] I want to tell you that I am very satisfied with your performance and with your, quite frankly, tenacity on both sides. And it took six years, but look at the history of the litigation. There were two appeals all of the way to the Court of Appeals [. . .] And then look at the results. I mean, there are dissents in the Court of Appeals, so that shows you the complexity of the issues that were presented in this litigation [. . .] [I]t shows you effort that went into this and the professionalism that was exhibited [. . .] So let me just again express my appreciation to both sides."
- *K.J. Egleston L.P. v. Heartland Industrial Partners, et al.*, 2:06-13555 (E.D. Mich.) – where the Firm was Lead Counsel, Judge Rosen, at the June 7, 2010 final approval hearing, praised the Firm for doing "an outstanding job of representing [its] clients," and further commented that "the conduct of all counsel in this case and the result they have achieved for all of the parties confirms that they deserve the national recognition they enjoy."



- *Klein, et al. v. Ryan Beck Holdings, Inc., et al.*, 06-cv-3460 (DAB) (S.D.N.Y. 2010) – where the Firm was Lead Counsel, Judge Deborah A. Batts described the Firm’s successful establishment of a settlement fund as follows: “[a] miracle that there is a settlement fund at all.” Judge Batts continued: “As I said earlier, there is no question that the litigation is complex and of a large and, if you will, *pioneering magnitude* ...” (Emphasis added).
- *Parker Friedland v. Iridium World Communications, Ltd.*, 99-1002 (D.D.C.) – where the Firm was co-lead counsel, Judge Laughrey said (on October 16, 2008), “[a]ll of the attorneys in this case have done an outstanding job, and I really appreciate the quality of work that we had in our chambers as a result of this case.”
- *In re Dynamic Random Access Memory Antitrust Litigation*, MDL-02-1486 (N.D. Cal.) – where the Firm was co-lead counsel, Judge Hamilton said (on August 15, 2007), “I think I can conclude on the basis with my five years with you all, watching this litigation progress and seeing it wind to a conclusion, that the results are exceptional. The percentages, as you have outlined them, do put this [case] in one of the upper categories of results of this kind of [antitrust] class action. I am aware of the complexity . . . I thought that you all did an exceptionally good job of bringing to me only those matters that really required the Court’s attention. You did an exceptionally good job at organizing and managing the case, assisting me in management of the case. There was excellent coordination between all the various different plaintiffs’ counsel with your group and the other groups that are part of this litigation. . . . So my conclusion is the case was well litigated by both sides, well managed as well by both sides.”
- *In re Comdisco Sec. Litigation*, 01 C 2110 (N.D. Ill. July 14, 2005) – Judge Milton Shadur observed: “It has to be said . . . that the efforts that have been extended [by Wolf Haldenstein] on behalf of the plaintiff class in the face of these obstacles have been exemplary. And in my view [Wolf Haldenstein] reflected the kind of professionalism that the critics of class actions . . . are never willing to recognize. . . . I really cannot speak too highly of the services rendered by class counsel in an extraordinary difficult situation.”
- *Good Morning to You Productions Corp. v. Warner/Chappell Music, Inc.*, No. CV 13-04460-GHK (MRWx) (C.D. Cal., Aug. 16, 2016) – Judge George H. King



stated: "Not all, or perhaps even most, plaintiffs' class counsel could have litigated this case as successfully as did class counsel against such a fierce and exceptionally accomplished opponent."

- *Bokelman et al. v. FCH Enterprises, Inc.*, (Case No. 1:18-cv-209, D. Haw., May 3, 2019): Judge Robert J. Bryan said, "I've been impressed by the quality of the work you've done throughout here, and that is reflected, I think, in the fact that no one has objected to the settlement."

RECENT NOTEWORTHY RESULTS

Wolf Haldenstein's performance in representative litigation has repeatedly resulted in favorable results for its clients. The Firm has helped recover ***billions of dollars*** on behalf of its clients in the cases listed below. Recent examples include the following:

- On May 13, 2019, in *Apple Inc. v. Pepper*, No. 17-204, the Supreme Court affirmed a decision by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals holding that iPhone purchasers have standing to sue Apple for monopolizing the market for iPhone apps in this longstanding antitrust class action. Wolf Haldenstein has been Lead Counsel for the plaintiffs since 2007. The case was commenced in federal district court in Oakland. The Supreme Court's decision clears the way for the plaintiffs to proceed on the merits of their claim.
- On June 11, 2018, the United States Supreme Court issued a highly anticipated decision in *China Agritech, Inc. v. Michael H. Resh, et al.* Wolf Haldenstein represented the plaintiffs/respondents, having commenced the action on behalf of aggrieved shareholders of *China Agritech* after two prior cases had failed at the class certification stage.
- *In re Genetically Modified Rice Litigation*, MDL 1811 (E.D. Mo.) - Wolf Haldenstein represented U.S. rice farmers in this landmark action against Bayer A.G. and its global affiliates, achieving a global recovery of \$750 million. The case arose from the contamination of the nation's long grain rice crop by Bayer's experimental and unapproved genetically modified Liberty Link rice.
- *Roberts v. Tishman Speyer*, 13 N.Y.3d 270 (N.Y. 2009) - a class action brought on behalf of over 27,500 current and former tenants of New York City's iconic Stuyvesant Town and Peter Cooper Village housing complexes. On April 9,



2013, Justice Richard B. Lowe III of the New York Supreme Court finally approved settlement of the action, which totals over \$173 million, sets aside \$68.75 million in damages, re-regulates the apartments at issue, and sets preferential rents for the units that will save tenants significant monies in the future. The settlement also enables the tenants to retain an estimated \$105 million in rent savings they enjoyed between 2009 and 2012. **The settlement is by many magnitudes the largest tenant settlement in United States history.**

- *In re Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. Investor Litig.*, Index No. 650607/2012 – The firm served as Chair of the Executive Committee of Co-Lead Counsel for the Plaintiffs in a class action settlement finally approved on May 2, 2013 that provides for the establishment of a \$55 million settlement fund for investors, in addition to substantial tax deferral benefits estimated to be in excess of \$100 million.
- *American International Group Consolidated Derivative Litigation*, Civil Action No. 769-VCS (Del. Ch.) The Firm acted as co-lead counsel and the settlement addressed claims alleging that the D&O Defendants breached their fiduciary duties to the Company and otherwise committed wrongdoing to the detriment of AIG in connection with various allegedly fraudulent schemes during the 1999-2005 time period.
- *In re Bank of America Corp. Securities, Derivative, and Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) Litigation*, Master File No. 09 MD 2058 (S.D.N.Y.) (firm was co-lead counsel in parallel derivative action pending in Delaware (*In Re Bank of America Stockholder Derivative Litigation*, C.A. No. 4307-CS (Del. Ch.)) (increase of settlement cash recovery from \$20 million to \$62.5 million).
- *The Investment Committee of the Manhattan and Bronx Service Transit Operating Authority Pension Plan v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.*, 1:09-cv-04408-SAS (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovered \$150 million).
- *In re Tremont Sec. Law, State Law and Insurance Litig.*, No. 08-civ-11117 (TPG) (SDNY) (class recovered \$100 million). The firm was court-appointed co-lead counsel in the Insurance Action, 08 Civ. 557, and represented a class of persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Variable Universal Life (“VUL”) insurance policies or Deferred Variable Annuity (“DVA”) policies issued by Tremont International Insurance Limited or Argus International Life Bermuda Limited from May 10, 1994 - December 11, 2008 to the extent the investment



accounts of those policies were exposed to the massive Ponzi scheme orchestrated by Bernard L. Madoff through one or more Rye funds.

- *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, 21 MC 92 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovered \$586 million). Wolf Haldenstein served as Co-Lead Counsel of one of the largest securities fraud cases in history. Despite the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit's decision to vacate the district court's class certification decision, on remand, counsel for plaintiffs were able to press on to a settlement on April 1, 2009, ultimately recovering in excess of a half-billion dollars.



FIRM PRACTICE AREAS

CLASS ACTION LITIGATION

Wolf Haldenstein is a leader in class and derivative action litigation and is currently or has been the court-appointed lead counsel, co-lead counsel, or executive committee member in some of the largest and most significant class action and derivative action lawsuits in the United States. For example, the class action *Roberts v. Tishman Speyer*, 13 N.Y.3d 270 (N.Y. 2009) was recently described by a sitting member of the U.S. House of Representatives as the greatest legal victory for tenants in her lifetime. In *Roberts*, the Firm obtained a victory in the New York Court of Appeals requiring the reregulation of thousands of apartment units in the Stuyvesant Town complex in Manhattan, New York. Many of the firm's other successful results are summarized within.

PRIVATE ACTIONS FOR INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS

In addition to its vast class action practice, the Firm also regularly represents institutional clients such as public funds, investment funds, limited partnerships, and qualified institutional buyers in private actions. The Firm has represented institutional clients in non-class federal and state actions concerning a variety of matters, including private placements, disputes with investment advisors, and disputes with corporate management.

The Firm has also acted as special counsel to investors' committees in efforts to assert and advance the investors' interests without resorting to litigation. For example, the Firm served as Counsel to the Courtyard by Marriott Limited Partners Committee for several years in its dealings with Host Marriott Corporation, and as Special Counsel to the Windsor Park Properties 7 and 8 limited partners to insure the fairness of their liquidation transactions.

ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Wolf Haldenstein is a leader in antitrust and competition litigation. The Firm actively seeks to enforce the federal and state antitrust laws to protect and strengthen the rights and claims of businesses, organizations, Taft-Hartley funds, and consumers throughout the United States. To that end, Wolf Haldenstein commences large, often complex, antitrust and trade regulation class actions and other cases that target some of the most powerful and well-funded corporate interests in the world. Many of these interests exert strong influence over enforcement policy that is in the hands of elected officials, so that private enforcement provides the only true assurance that unfair and



anticompetitive conduct will be duly scrutinized for compliance with the law. These cases frequently bring to light concealed, unlawful behavior such as price fixing, monopolization, market allocation, monopoly leveraging, essential facilities, tying arrangements, vertical restraints, exclusive dealing, and refusals to deal. Wolf Haldenstein's Antitrust Practice Group has successfully prosecuted numerous antitrust cases and aggressively advocates remedies and restitution for businesses and investors wronged by violations of the antitrust laws. For example, in *In re DRAM Antitrust Litigation*, No. 02-cv-1486 (PJH) (N.D. Cal.) the firm successfully prosecuted an antitrust case resulting in a \$315 million recovery. Many of the firm's successful results are summarized within.

Wolf Haldenstein attorneys currently serve as lead counsel, co-lead counsel, or as executive committee members in some of the largest and most significant antitrust class action lawsuits. The firm was most recently appointed lead counsel in the Salmon Antitrust Indirect Litigation pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida.

OVERTIME AND COMPENSATION CLASS ACTIONS

Wolf Haldenstein is a leader class action litigation on behalf of employees who have not been paid overtime or other compensation they are entitled to receive, or have had improper deductions taken from their compensation. These claims under the federal Fair Labor Standards Act and state labor laws allege improper failure to pay overtime and other wages, and improper deductions from compensation for various company expenses. Wolf Haldenstein has served as lead or co-lead counsel, or other similar lead role, in some of the most significant overtime class actions pending in the United States, and has recovered hundreds of millions of dollars in recovered wages for its clients. For example, in *LaVoice v. Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.*, Case No. C 07-801 (CW) (N.D. Cal.) a \$108 million settlement was secured for the class. Many of the firm's other successful wage and hour results are summarized within.

OTHER SUBSTANTIAL RECOVERIES IN CLASS ACTION AND DERIVATIVE CASES IN WHICH WOLF HALDENSTEIN WAS LEAD COUNSEL OR HAD ANOTHER SIGNIFICANT ROLE

- *In re Beacon Associates Litigation*, Master File No. 09 Civ. 0777 (LBS) (S.D.N.Y.) (**\$219 million** settlement in this and related action).



- *Roberts v. Tishman Speyer*, No. 100956/2007 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cty.) (**\$173 Million** settlement).
- *In re Mutual Fund Investment Litigation*, MDL No. 1586 (D. Md.) (derivative counsel in consolidated cases against numerous mutual fund companies involved in market timing resulting in class/derivative settlements totaling more than **\$300 million**).
- *Inland Western Securities Litigation*, Case No. 07 C 6174 (N.D. Ill.) (settlement value of shares valued between **\$61.5 million** and **\$90 million**).
- *In re Direxion Shares ETF Trust*, No. 09-Civ-8011 (KBF) (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$8 million**).
- *In re BankAmerica Corp. Securities Litigation*, MDL Docket No. 1264 (JFN) (E.D. Mo.) (class recovered **\$490 million**).
- *In re Dynamic Random Access Memory Antitrust Litigation*, (MD-02 1486 (N.D. Cal.) (class recovered **\$325 million**).
- *In re MicroStrategy, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Civ. No. 00-473-A (E.D. Va.) (class recovered **\$160 million** in cash and securities).
- *Kurzweil v. Philip Morris Cos.*, 94 Civ. 2373, 94 Civ. 2546 (S.D.N.Y.) (securities fraud) (class recovered **\$116.5 million** in cash).
- *In re Starlink Corn Products Liability Litigation*, (N.D. Ill.) (class recovered **\$110 million**).
- *In Computer Associates 2002 Class Action Sec. Litigation*, 2:02-CV-1226 (E.D.N.Y.) (**\$130 million** settlement in this and two related actions).
- *In re Sepracor Inc. Securities Litigation*, Civ. No. 02-12338 (MEL) (D. Mass.) (classes recovered **\$52.5 million**).
- *In re Transkaryotic Therapies, Inc., Securities Litigation*, C.A. No. 03-10165-RWZ (D. Mass) (class recovered **\$50 million**).
- *In re Iridium Securities Litigation*, C.A. No. 99-1002 (D.D.C.) (class recovered **\$43 million**).



- *In re J.P. Morgan Chase Securities Litigation*, MDL No. 1783 (N.D. Ill.) (settlement providing for adoption of corporate governance principles relating to potential corporate transactions requiring shareholder approval).
- *LaVoice v. Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.*, Case No. C 07-801 (CW) (N.D. Cal.) (**\$108 million** settlement).
- *Steinberg v. Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc.*, Case No. 06-cv-2628 (BEN) (S.D. Cal.) (**\$50 million** settlement).
- *Poole v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc.*, Case No. CV-06-1657 (D. Or.) (**\$43.5 million** settlement).
- *In re Wachovia Securities, LLC Wage and Hour Litigation*, MDL No. 07-1807 DOC (C.D. Cal.) (**\$39 million** settlement).
- *In re Wachovia Securities, LLC Wage and Hour Litigation (Prudential)*, MDL No. 07-1807 DOC (C.D. Cal.) (**\$11 million** settlement).
- *Basile v. A.G. Edwards, Inc.*, 08-CV-00338-JAH-RBB (S.D. Cal.) (**\$12 million** settlement).
- *Miguel Garcia, et al. v. Lowe's Home Center, Inc. et al.* – Case No. GIC 841120 (Barton) (Cal. Sup. Ct, San Diego) (co-lead, **\$1.65 million** settlement w/ average class member recovery of \$5,500, attorney fees and cost awarded separately).
- *Neil Weinstein, et al. v. MetLife, Inc., et al.* – Case No. 3:06-cv-04444-SI (N.D. Cal.) (co-lead, **\$7.4 million** settlement).
- *Creighton v. Oppenheimer*, Index No. 1:06 - cv - 04607 - BSJ - DCF (S.D.N.Y.) (**\$2.3 million** settlement).
- *Klein v. Ryan Beck*, 06-CV-3460 (DAB)(S.D.N.Y.) (**\$1.3 million** settlement).
- *In re American Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 1823-N (Del. Ch. Ct.) (**\$14.3 million** settlement).
- *Egleston v. Collins and Aikman Corp.*, 06-cv-13555 (E.D. Mich.) (class recovered **\$12 million**).



- *In re Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Global Technology Fund Securities Litigation*, 02 CV 7854 (JFK) (SDNY); and *In re Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. Focus Twenty Fund Securities Litigation*, 02 CV 10221 (JFK) (SDNY) (class recovered **\$39 million** in combined cases).
- *In re CNL Hotels & Resorts, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 6:04-cv-1231 (Orl-31) (class recovered **\$35 million**, and lawsuit also instrumental in **\$225 million** benefit to corporation).
- *In re Cablevision Systems Corp. Shareholder Derivative Litigation*, Master File No. 06-CV-4130-DGT-AKT (**\$34.4 million** recovery).
- *In re Monster Worldwide, Inc. Stock Option Derivative Litigation*, Master File No. 06cv4622 (S.D.N.Y.) (**\$32 million** recovery and corporate governance reforms).
- *Berger v. Compaq Computer Corp.*, Docket No. 98-1148 (S.D. Tex.) (class recovered **\$29 million**).
- *In re Arakis Energy Corporation Securities Litigation*, 95 CV 3431 (E.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$24 million**).
- *In re E.W. Blanche Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Civ. No. 01-258 (D. Minn.) (class recovered **\$20 million**).
- *In re Globalstar Securities Litigation*, Case No. 01-CV-1748 (SHS) (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$20 million**).
- *In re Luxottica Group S.p.A. Securities Litigation*, No. CV 01-3285 (E.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$18.25 million**).
- *In re Musicmaker.com Securities Litigation*, CV-00-2018 (C.D. Cal.) (class recovered **\$13.75 million**).
- *In re Comdisco Securities Litigation*, No. 01 C 2110 (MIS) (N.D. Ill.) (class recovered **\$13.75 million**).
- *In re Acclaim Entertainment, Inc., Securities Litigation*, C.A. No. 03-CV-1270 (E.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$13.65 million**).



- *In re Concord EFS, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-2097 (MA) (W.D. Tenn) (class recovered **\$13.25 million**).
- *In re Bausch & Lomb, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 01 Civ. 6190 (CJS) (W.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$12.5 million**).
- *In re Allaire Corp. Securities Litigation*, 00-11972 (D. Mass.) (class recovered **\$12 million**).
- *Bamboo Partners LLC v. Robert Mondavi Corp.*, No. 26-27170 (Cal. Sup. Ct.) (class recovered **\$10.8 million**).
- *Curative Health Services Securities Litigation*, 99-2074 (E.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$10.5 million**).
- *City Partnership Co. v. Jones Intercable*, 99 WM-1051 (D. Colo.) (class recovered **\$10.5 million**).
- *In re Aquila, Inc.*, (ERISA Litigation), 04-865 (W.D. Mo.) (**\$10.5 million** recovery for the class).
- *In re Tenfold Corporation Securities Litigation*, 2:00-CV-652 (D. Utah) (class recovered **\$5.9 million**).
- *In re Industrial Gas Antitrust Litigation*, 80 C 3479 and related cases (N.D. Ill.) (class recovered **\$50 million**).
- *In re Chor-Alkalai and Caustic Soda Antitrust Litigation*, 86-5428 and related cases (E.D. Pa.) (class recovered **\$55 million**).
- *In re Infant Formula Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 878 (N.D. Fla.) (class recovered **\$126 million**).
- *In re Brand Name Prescription Drugs Antitrust Litigation*, No. 1:94-cv-00897, M.D.L. 997 (N.D. Ill.) (class recovered **\$715 million**).
- *Landon v. Freel*, M.D.L. No. 592 (S.D. Tex.) (class recovered **\$12 million**).
- *Holloway v. Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co.*, No. 84 C 814 EU (N.D. Okla.) (class recovered **\$38 million**).



- *In re The Chubb Corp. Drought Insurance Litigation*, C-1-88-644 (S.D. Ohio) (class recovered **\$100 million**).
- *Wong v. Megafoods*, Civ-94-1702 (D. Ariz.) (securities fraud) (class recovered **\$12.25 million**).
- *In re Del Val Financial Corp. Securities Litigation*, 92 Civ 4854 (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$11.5 million**).
- *In re Home Shopping Network Shareholders Litigation*, Consolidated Civil Action No. 12868, (Del. Ch. 1995) (class recovered **\$13 million**).
- *In re Paine Webber Limited Partnerships Litigation*, 94 Civ 8547 (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$200 million**).
- *In re Bristol-Meyers Squibb Co. Securities Litigation*, 92 Civ 4007 (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$19 million**).
- *In re Spectrum Information Technologies Securities Litigation*, CV 93-2245 (E.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$13 million**).
- *In re Chase Manhattan Securities Litigation*, 90 Civ. 6092 (LJF) (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$17.5 million**).
- *Prostic v. Xerox Corp.*, No. B-90-113 (EBB) (D. Conn.) (class recovered **\$9 million**).
- *Steiner v. Hercules*, Civil Action No. 90-442-RRM (D. Del.) (class recovered **\$18 million**).
- *In re Ambase Securities Litigation*, 90 Civ 2011 (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$14.6 million**).
- *In re Southmark Securities Litigation*, CA No. 3-89-1402-D (N.D. Tex.) (class recovered **\$70 million**).
- *Steiner v. Ideal Basic Industries, Inc.*, No. 86-M 456 (D. Colo. 1989) (securities fraud) (class recovered **\$18 million**).
- *Tucson Electric Power Derivative Litigation*, 2:89 Civ. 01274 TUC. ACM (corporation recovered **\$30 million**).



- *Alleco Stockholders Litigation*, (Md. Cir. Ct. Pr. Georges County) (class recovered **\$16 million**).
- *In re Revlon Group, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, No. 8362 (Del. Ch.) (class recovered **\$30 million**).
- *In re Taft Broadcasting Company Shareholders Litigation*, No. 8897 (Del. Ch.) (class recovered **\$20 million**).
- *In re Southland Corp. Securities Litigation*, No. 87-8834-K (N.D.Tex.) (class recovered **\$20 million**).
- *In re Crocker Bank Securities Litigation*, CA No. 7405 (Del. Ch.) (class recovered **\$30 million**).
- *In re Warner Communications Securities Litigation*, No. 82 Civ. 8288 (JFK) (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovered **\$17.5 million**).
- *Joseph v. Shell Oil*, CA No. 7450 (Del. Ch.) (securities fraud) (class recovered **\$200 million**).
- *In re Flight Transportation Corp. Securities Litigation*, Master Docket No. 4-82-874, MDL No. 517 (D. Minn.) (recovery of over **\$50 million**).
- *In re Whittaker Corporation Securities Litigation*, CA000817 (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles County) (class recovered **\$18 million**).
- *Naevus International, Inc. v. AT&T Corp.*, C.A. No. 602191/99 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) (consumer fraud) (class recovered **\$40 million**).
- *Sewell v. Sprint PCS Limited Partnership*, C.A. No. 97-188027/CC 3879 (Cir. Ct. for Baltimore City) (consumer fraud) (class recovered **\$45.2 million**).
- *In re Vytarin/Zetia Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation*, 2:08-cv-285 (D.N.J.) (class recovered **\$41.5 million**).
- *Egleston v. Verizon*, No. 104784/2011 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) – Wolf Haldenstein represented a class of New York Verizon Centrex customers in an action against Verizon stemming from overbilling of certain charges. The Firm secured a settlement with a total value to the Class of over **\$5 million**, which



provided, among other things, each class member with full refunds of certain disputed charges, plus interest.

- *Zelouf Int'l Corp. v. Nahal Zelouf*, Index No. 653652/2014 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co. 2015). In an important trial decision following an appraisal proceeding triggered by the freeze-out merger of a closely-held corporation, which also included shareholder derivative claims, Justice Kornreich of the New York Supreme Court refused to apply a discount for lack of marketability to the minority interest in the former corporation and found that the insiders stole more than \$14 million dollars; the minority shareholder recovered over **\$9 million**.
- *Zelouf Int'l Corp. v. Zelouf*, 45 Misc.3d 1205(A) (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co., 2014). The Court rejected application of a discount for lack of marketability and awarded a **\$10,031,438.28** judgment following an eleven day bench trial in the Commercial Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York (New York County) on the value of a minority interest in a closely held corporation.
- *Thompson et al. v. Bethpage Federal Credit Union et al.*, No. 2:17-cv-00921-GRB (E.D.N.Y.) (**\$3.6 million** settlement)



REPRESENTATIVE REPORTED OPINIONS SINCE 1990 IN WHICH WOLF
HALDENSTEIN WAS LEAD COUNSEL OR HAD ANOTHER SIGNIFICANT ROLE

FEDERAL APPELLATE AND DISTRICT COURT OPINIONS

- *Apple Inc. v. Pepper*, 139 S. Ct. 1514 (2019)
- *China Agritech, Inc. v. Resh*, 138 S. Ct. 1800 (2018)
- *In re Packaged Seafood Prods. Antitrust Litig.*, 242 F. Supp. 3d 1033 (S.D. Cal. 2017)
- *In re Packaged Seafood Prods. Antitrust Litig.*, 332 F.R.D. 308 (S.D. Cal. 2019)
- *DeFrees v. Kirkland*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 52780 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 11, 2012).
- *In re Beacon Associates Litig.*, 745 F. Supp. 2d 386 (S.D.N.Y. 2010); *In re Beacon Associates Litig.*, 282 F.R.D. 315 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).
- *Messner v. Northshore University HealthSystem*, 669 F.3d 802, No. 10-2514 (7th Cir. Jan. 13, 2012).
- *In re Text Message Antitrust Litigation*, 630 F.3d, 622 (7th Cir. 2010).
- *In re Apple & ATTM Antitrust Litig.*, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98270 (N.D. Cal. July 8, 2010).
- *Freeland v. Iridium World Communications Ltd.*, 545 F.Supp.2d 59 (D.D.C. 2008).
- *In re Apple & AT&TM Antitrust Litig.*, 596 F. Supp. 2d 1288 (N.D. Cal. 2008).
- *Harzewski v. Guidant Corp.*, 489 F.3d 799 (7th Cir. 2007).
- *In re JP Morgan Chase & Co. Securities Litigation*, No. 06 C 4674, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93877 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 18, 2007).
- *Schoenbaum v. E.I. Dupont De Nemours and Co.*, 2007 WL 2768383 (E.D. Mo. Sept. 20, 2007).
- *Jeffries v. Pension Trust Fund*, 99 Civ. 4174 (LMM), 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 61454 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 20, 2007).



- *Klein v. Ryan Beck*, 06-Civ. 3460 (WCC), 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 51465 (S.D.N.Y. July 13, 2007).
- *Cannon v. MBNA Corp.* No. 05-429 GMS, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 48901 (D. Del. 2007).
- *In re Aquila ERISA Litig.*, 237 F.R.D. 202 (W.D. Mo. 2006).
- *Smith v. Aon Corp.*, 238 F.R.D. 609 (N.D. Ill. 2006).
- *In re Sepracor Inc. Securities Litigation*, 233 F.R.D. 52 (D. Mass. 2005).
- *In re Transkaryotic Therapies, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 03-10165, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 29656 (D. Mass. Nov. 28, 2005).
- *In re Luxottica Group, S.p.A. Securities Litigation*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9071 (E.D.N.Y. May 12, 2005).
- *In re CNL Hotels & Resorts, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 38876, No. 6:04-cv-1231-Orl-31KRS (M.D. Fla. May 9, 2005).
- *Johnson v. Aegon USA, Inc.*, 1:01-CV-2617 (N.D. Ga. Sept. 20, 2004).
- *Freeland v. Iridium World Communications, Ltd.*, 99-1002 (D.D.C. Aug. 31, 2004).
- *In re Acclaim Entertainment, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 03-CV-1270 (E.D.N.Y. June 22, 2004).
- *In re Sepracor Inc. Securities Litigation*, 308 F. Supp. 2d 20 (D. Mass. 2004).
- *In re Concord EFS, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 02-2697 (W.D. Tenn. Jan. 7, 2004).
- *In re Pharmatrak, Inc. Privacy Litig.*, 2003 U.S. App. LEXIS 8758 (1st Cir. May 9, 2003).
- *In re Enterprise Mortgage Acceptance Co., LLC, Sec. Litig.*, 02-Civ. 10288 (SWK) (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 5, 2003).
- *In re PerkinElmer, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 286 F. Supp. 2d 46 (D. Mass. 2003).



- *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, 241 F. Supp. 2d 281 (S.D.N.Y. 2003).
- *In re Comdisco Securities Litigation*, No. 01 C 2110, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5047 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 31, 2003).
- *Berger v. Compaq Computer Corp.*, 257 F.3d 475 (2001), clarified, 279 F.3d 313 (5th Cir. 2002).
- *City Partnership Co. v. Cable TV Fund 14-B*, 213 F.R.D. 576 (D. Colo. 2002).
- *In re Allaire Corporation Securities Litigation*, Docket No. 00-11972 - WGY, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18143 (D. Mass., Sept. 27, 2002).
- *In re StarLink Corn Products Liability Litigation*, 212 F.Supp.2d 828 (N.D. Ill. 2002).
- *In re Bankamerica Corp. Securities Litigation*, 263 F.3d 795 (8th Cir. 2001).
- *In re Comdisco Securities Litigation*, 166 F.Supp.2d 1260 (N.D. Ill. 2001).
- *In re Crossroads Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Master File No. A-00-CA-457 JN, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14780 (W.D. Tx. Aug. 15, 2001).
- *In re MicroStrategy, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 150 F. Supp. 2d 896 (E.D. Va. 2001).
- *Lindelov v. Hill*, No. 00 C 3727, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10301 (N.D. Ill. July 19, 2001).
- *In re MicroStrategy, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 148 F. Supp. 2d 654 (E.D. Va. 2001).
- *Jeffries v. Pension Trust Fund of the Pension, Hospitalization & Benefit Plan of the Electrical Industry*, 172 F. Supp. 2d 389 (S.D.N.Y. 2001).
- *Carney v. Cambridge Technology Partners, Inc.*, 135 F. Supp. 2d 235 (D. Mass. 2001).
- *Weltz v. Lee*, 199 F.R.D. 129 (S.D.N.Y. 2001).
- *Schoers v. Pfizer, Inc.*, 00 Civ. 6121, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 511 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 23, 2001).



- *Kurzweil v. Philip Morris Cos.*, 94 Civ. 2373 (MBM), 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 83 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 9, 2001).
- *Goldberger v. Bear, Stearns & Co.*, 98 Civ. 8677 (JSM), 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18714 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 28, 2000).
- *In re Newell Rubbermaid, Inc., Securities Litigation*, Case No. 99 C 6853, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 15190 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 2, 2000).
- *Stanley v. Safeskin Corp.*, Case No. 99 CV 454 BTM (LSP), 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14100, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) P91, 221 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 18, 2000).
- *In re MicroStrategy, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 115 F. Supp. 2d 620 (E.D. Va. 2000).
- *In re USA Talks.com, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14823, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) P91, 231 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2000).
- *In re Sotheby's Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 00 CIV. 1041 (DLC), 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12504, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) P91, 059 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 31, 2000).
- *Dumont v. Charles Schwab & Co., Inc.*, Civil Action No. 99-2840 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10906 (E.D. La. July 21, 2000).
- *Berger v. Compaq Computer Corp.*, Civil Action No. H-98-1148, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 21424 (S.D. Tex. July 17, 2000).
- *In re BankAmerica Corp. Securities Litigation*, 95 F. Supp. 2d 1044 (E.D. Mo. 2000).
- *In re Carnegie International Corp. Securities Litigation*, 107 F. Supp. 2d 676 (D. Md. 2000).
- *Berger v. Compaq Computer Corp.*, Civil Action No. H-98-1148, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 21423 (S.D. Tex. Mar. 13, 2000).
- *In re Imperial Credit Industries Securities Litigation*, CV 98-8842 SVW, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2340 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 23, 2000).
- *Sturm v. Marriott Marquis Corp.*, 85 F. Supp. 2d 1356 (N.D. Ga. 2000).
- *In re Health Management Systems Securities Litigation*, 82 F. Supp. 2d 227 (S.D.N.Y. 2000).



- *Dumont v. Charles Schwab & Co., Inc.*, Civil Action No. 99-2840, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 619 (E.D. La. Jan. 19, 2000).
- *In re MicroStrategy, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 110 F. Supp. 2d 427 (E.D. Va. 2000).
- *In re BankAmerica Corp. Securities Litigation*, 78 F. Supp. 2d 976 (E.D. Mo. 1999).
- *Kurzweil v. Philip Morris Cos.*, 94 Civ. 2373 (MBM), 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18378 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 1999).
- *In re Nanophase Technologies Corp. Litigation*, 98 C 3450, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16171 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 27, 1999).
- *In re Clearly Canadian Securities Litigation*, File No. C-93-1037-VRW, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14273 Cal. Sept. 7, 1999).
- *Yuan v. Bayard Drilling Technologies, Inc.*, 96 F. Supp. 2d 1259 (W.D. Okla. 1999).
- *In re Spyglass, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 99 C 512, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11382 (N.D. Ill. July 20, 1999).
- *Carley Capital Group v. Deloitte & Touche, L.L.P.*, 1:97-CV-3183-TWT, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11595 (N.D. Ga. June 30, 1999).
- *Blue Cross & Blue Shield of N.J., Inc. v. Philip Morris, Inc.*, 98 CV 3287, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11363 (E.D.N.Y. June 1, 1999).
- *Carley Capital Group v. Deloitte & Touche, L.L.P.*, 1:97-CV-3183-TWT, 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1368, Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) P90, 429 (N.D. Ga. Jan. 19, 1999).
- *Longman v. Food Lion, Inc.*, 186 F.R.D. 331 (M.D.N.C. 1999).
- *Wright v. Ernst & Young LLP*, 152 F.3d 169 (2d Cir. 1998).
- *Romine v. Compuserve Corp.*, 160 F.3d 337 (6th Cir. 1998).
- *Felzen v. Andreas*, 134 F.3d 873 (7th Cir. 1998).
- *Walsingham v. Biocontrol Technology, Inc.*, 66 F. Supp. 2d 669 (W.D. Pa. 1998).
- *Sturm v. Marriott Marquis Corp.*, 26 F. Supp. 2d 1358 (N.D. Ga. 1998).



- *Carley Capital Group v. Deloitte & Touche, L.L.P.*, 27 F. Supp. 2d 1324 (N.D. Ga. 1998).
- *In re MobileMedia Securities Litigation*, 28 F.Supp.2d 901 (D.N.J. 1998).
- *Weikel v. Tower Semiconductor, Ltd.*, 183 F.R.D. 377 (D.N.J. 1998).
- *In re Health Management Systems Securities Litigation*, 97 Civ. 1865 (HB), 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8061 (S.D.N.Y. May 27, 1998).
- *In re Painewebber Ltd. Partnership Litigation*, 999 F. Supp. 719 (S.D.N.Y. 1998).
- *Carley Capital Group v. Deloitte & Touche, L.L.P.*, 1:97-cv-3183-TWT, 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23222 (N.D. Ga. Feb. 10, 1998).
- *Brown v. Radica Games (In re Radica Games Securities Litigation)*, No. 96-17274, 1997 U.S. App. LEXIS 32775 (9th Cir. Nov. 14, 1997).
- *Robbins v. Koger Properties*, 116 F.3d 1441 (11th Cir. 1997).
- *In re TCW/DW North American Government Income Trust Securities Litigation*, 95 Civ. 0167 (PKL), 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18485 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 20, 1997).
- *Wright v. Ernst & Young, LLP*, 97 Civ. 2189 (SAS), 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13630 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 9, 1997).
- *Felzen v. Andreas*, No. 95-2279, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23646 (C.D. Ill. July 7, 1997).
- *Felzen v. Andreas*, No. 95-2279, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23647 (C.D. Ill. July 7, 1997).
- *A. Ronald Sirna, Jr., P.C. Profit Sharing Plan v. Prudential Securities, Inc.*, 964 F. Supp. 147 (S.D.N.Y. 1997).
- *Kurzweil v. Philip Morris Companies*, 94 Civ. 2373 (MBM), 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4451 (S.D.N.Y. April 8, 1997).
- *Bobrow v. Mobilmedia, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 96-4715, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23806 (D.N.J. March 31, 1997).



- *Kalodner v. Michaels Stores, Inc.*, 172 F.R.D. 200 (N.D.Tex. 1997).
- *In re Painewebber Ltd. Partnerships Litigation*, 171 F.R.D. 104 (S.D.N.Y. 1997).
- *A. Ronald Sirna, Jr., P.C. Profit Sharing Plan v. Prudential Securities, Inc.*, 95 Civ. 8422 (LAK), 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1226 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 7, 1997).
- *In re Painewebber Inc. Limited Partnerships Litigation*, 94 F.3d 49 (2d Cir. 1996).
- *Glassman v. Computervision Corp.*, 90 F.3d 617 (1st Cir. 1996).
- *Alpern v. Utilicorp United, Inc.*, 84 F.3d 1525 (8th Cir. 1996).
- *Shaw v. Digital Equipment Corp.*, 82 F.3d 1194 (1st Cir. 1996).
- *Dresner Co. Profit Sharing Plan v. First Fidelity Bank, N.A.*, 95 Civ. 1924 (MBM), 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17913 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 3, 1996).
- *Simon v. American Power Conversion Corp.*, 945 F. Supp. 416 (D.R.I. 1996).
- *TII Industries, Inc.*, 96 Civ. 4412 (SAS), 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14466 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 1, 1996).
- *In re TCW/DW North American Government Income Trust Securities Litigation*, 941 F. Supp. 326 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 1, 1996).
- *In re Painewebber Ltd. Partnership Litigation*, 94 Civ. 8547 (SHS), 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9195 (S.D.N.Y. June 28, 1996).
- *In re Tricord Systems, Inc., Securities Litigation*, Civil No. 3-94-746, 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20943 (D. Minn. April 5, 1996).
- *In re Painewebber Limited Partnership Litigation*, 94 Civ. 8547 (SHS), 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1265 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 6, 1996).
- *Riley v. Simmons*, 45 F.3d 764 (3d Cir. 1995).
- *Stepak v. Addison*, 20 F.3d 398 (11th Cir. 1994).
- *Zitin v. Turley*, [1991 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 96,123 (D. Ariz. June 20, 1994).



- *In re Southeast Hotel Properties Limited Partnership Investor Litigation*, 151 F.R.D. 597 (W.D.N.C. 1993).
- *County of Suffolk v. Long Island Lighting Co.*, 907 F.2d 1295 (2d Cir. 1990).



NOTABLE STATE COURT OPINIONS

- *McWilliams v. City of Long Beach*, 56 Cal. 4th 613 (2013).
- *Roberts v. Tishman Speyer*, 89 A.D.3d 444 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't 2011).
- *Roberts v. Tishman Speyer*, 13 N.Y.3d 270 (N.Y. 2009).
- *Ardon v. City of Los Angeles*, 52 Cal. 4th 241 (2011).
- *In re Tyson Foods, Inc., Consolidated Shareholder Litigation*, 919 A.2d 563 (Del. Ch. 2007).
- *Naevus Int'l v. AT&T Corp.*, 283 A.D.2d 171, 724 N.Y.S.2d 721 (2001).
- *Paramount Communications, Inc. v. QVC Network, Inc.*, 637 A.2d 34 (Del. Super. Ct. 1994).
- *In re Western National Corp. Shareholders Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 15927, 2000 Del. Ch. LEXIS 82 (May 22, 2000).
- *In re Cencom Cable Income Partners, L.P. Litigation*, C.A. No. 14634, 2000 Del. Ch. LEXIS 90 (May 5, 2000).
- *In re Cencom Cable Income Partners, L.P. Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 14634, 2000 Del. Ch. LEXIS 10 (Jan. 27, 2000).
- *In re Marriott Hotels Properties II Limited Partnership Unitholders Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 14961, 2000 Del. Ch. LEXIS 17 (Jan. 24, 2000).
- *Romig v. Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company*, 132 N.C. App. 682, 513 S.E.2d 598 (Ct. App. 1999), *aff'd*, 351 N.C. 349, 524 S.E.2d 804 (N.C. 2000).
- *Wallace v. Wood*, 752 A.2d 1175 (Del. Ch. 1999).
- *Greenwald v. Batterson*, C.A. No. 16475, 1999 Del. Ch. LEXIS 158 (July 26, 1999).
- *Brown v. Perrette*, Civil Action No. 13531, 1999 Del. Ch. LEXIS 92 (May 18, 1999).
- *In re Cencom Cable Income Partners, L.P. Litigation*, C.A. No. 14634, 1997 Del. Ch. LEXIS 146 (Oct. 15, 1997).



- *In re Marriott Hotel Properties II Limited Partnership Unitholders Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 14961, 1997 Del. Ch. LEXIS 128 (Sept. 17, 1997).
- *In re Cheyenne Software Shareholders Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 14941, 1996 Del. Ch. LEXIS 142 (Nov. 7, 1996).
- *Seinfeld v. Robinson*, 246 A.D.2d 291, 676 N.Y.S.2d 579 (N.Y. 1998).
- *Werner v. Alexander*, 130 N.C. App. 435, 502 S.E.2d 897 (N.C. Ct. App. 1998).



ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

The qualifications of the attorneys in the Wolf Haldenstein Litigation Group are set forth below and are followed by descriptions of some of the Firm's attorneys who normally practice outside the Litigation Group who contribute significantly to the class action practice from time to time.

PARTNERS

MARK C. RIFKIN: *admitted:* New York; Pennsylvania; New Jersey; U.S. Supreme Court; U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fifth, and D.C. Circuits; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Eastern and Western Districts of Pennsylvania, the District of New Jersey, the Eastern District of Wisconsin and the Western District of Michigan. *Education:* Princeton University (A.B. 1982); Villanova University School of Law (J.D. 1985). Contributor, *Packel & Poulin, Pennsylvania Evidence* (1987).

A highly experienced securities class action and shareholder rights litigator, Mr. Rifkin has recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for victims of corporate fraud and abuse in federal and state litigation across the country. Since 1990, Mr. Rifkin has served as lead counsel, co-lead counsel, or trial counsel in many class and derivative actions in securities, intellectual property, antitrust, insurance, consumer and mass tort litigation throughout the country.

Unique among his peers in the class action practice, Mr. Rifkin has extensive trial experience. Over the past thirty years, Mr. Rifkin has tried many complex commercial actions in federal and state courts across the country in class and derivative actions, including *In re National Media Corp. Derivative Litig.*, C.A. 90-7574 (E.D. Pa.), *Upp v. Mellon Bank, N.A.*, C.A. No. 91-5229 (E.D. Pa.), where the verdict awarded more than \$60 million in damages to the Class (later reversed on appeal, 997 F.2d 1039 (3d Cir. 1993)), and *In re AST Research Securities Litigation*, No. 94-1370 SVW (C.D. Cal.), as well as a number of commercial matters for individual clients, including *Zelouf Int'l Corp. v. Zelouf*, Index No. 653652/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2015), in which he obtained a \$10 million judgment for his client.

Mr. Rifkin also has extensive appellate experience. Over thirty years, Mr. Rifkin has argued dozens of appeals on behalf of appellants and appellees in several federal appellate courts, and in the highest appellate courts in New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware.



Mr. Rifkin has earned the AV[®]-Preeminent rating by Martindale-Hubbell[®] for more than 20 years, and has been selected for inclusion in the New York Metro SuperLawyers[®] listing since 2010. In 2014, Mr. Rifkin was named a “Titan of the Plaintiff’s Bar” by Law360[®].

In 2015, Mr. Rifkin received worldwide acclaim for his role as lead counsel for the class in *Good Morning To You Productions Corp. v. Warner/Chappell Music, Inc.*, No. CV 13-04460-GHK (MRWx), in federal court in Los Angeles, successfully challenging the copyright to “Happy Birthday to You,” the world’s most famous song. In recognition of his historic victory, Mr. Rifkin was named a Trailblazer in Intellectual Property by the National Law Journal in 2016. In 2018, Mr. Rifkin led a team of lawyers from Wolf Haldenstein who represented the plaintiffs in *We Shall Overcome Foundation, et al. v. The Richmond Organization, Inc., et al.*, No. 16-cv-02725-DLC (S.D.N.Y.), which successfully challenged the copyright to “We Shall Overcome,” called the “most powerful song of the 20th century” by the Librarian of Congress.

Mr. Rifkin lectures frequently to business and professional organizations on a variety of securities, shareholder, intellectual property, and corporate governance matters. Mr. Rifkin is a guest lecturer to graduate and undergraduate economics and finance students on corporate governance and financial disclosure topics. He also serves as a moot court judge for the A.B.A. and New York University Law School. Mr. Rifkin appears frequently in print and broadcast media on diverse law-related topics in corporate, securities, intellectual property, antitrust, regulatory, and enforcement matters.

BETSY C. MANIFOLD: *admitted:* Wisconsin; New York; California; U.S. District Courts for the Western District of Wisconsin, Eastern and Southern Districts of New York, and Northern, Central and Southern Districts of California. *Education:* Elmira College; Middlebury College (B.A., *cum laude*, 1980); Marquette University (J.D., 1986); New York University. Thomas More Scholar. Recipient, American Jurisprudence Award in Agency. Member: The Association of the Bar of the City of New York. Languages: French.

Ms. Manifold served as co-lead counsel in the following cases to recovery on behalf of employees: *Miguel Garcia, et al. v. Lowe’s Home Center, Inc. et al.* – Case No. GIC 841120 (Barton) (Cal. Sup. Ct, San Diego) (\$1.65 million settlement w/ average class member recovery of \$5,500, attorney fees and cost awarded separately) and *Neil Weinstein, et al.*



v. MetLife, Inc., et al. – Case No. 3:06-cv-04444-SI (N.D. Cal) (\$7.4 million settlement). Ms. Manifold also served as co-lead counsel in the following derivative actions: *In re Atmel Corporation Derivative Litigation*, Master File No. CV 06-4592-JF (N.D. Cal.) (\$9.65 million payment to Atmel) and *In re Silicon Storage Technology Inc. Derivative Litig.*, Case No. C 06-04310 JF (N.D. Cal.) (cash payment and re-pricing of options with a total value of \$5.45 million). Ms. Manifold also worked as lead counsel on the following class action: *Lewis v. American Spectrum Realty*, Case No. 01 CC 00394, Cal. Sup. Ct (Orange County) (\$6.5 million settlement).

BENJAMIN Y. KAUFMAN: *admitted:* New York. *Education:* Yeshiva University, B.A.; Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, Yeshiva University, J.D. Mr. Kaufman focuses on class actions on behalf of defrauded investors and consumers. Mr. Kaufman's successful securities litigations include *In re Deutsche Telekom AG Securities Litigation*, No. 00-9475 (S.D.N.Y.), a complex international securities litigation requiring evidentiary discovery in both the United States and Europe, which settled for \$120 million. Mr. Kaufman was also part of the team that recovered \$46 million for investors in *In re Asia Pulp & Paper Securities Litigation*, No. 01-7351 (S.D.N.Y.); and \$43.1 million, with contributions of \$20 million, \$14.85 million and \$8.25 million from Motorola, the individual defendants, and defendant underwriters respectively, in *Freeland v. Iridium World Communications, Ltd.*

Mr. Kaufman's outstanding representative results in derivative and transactional litigations include: *In re Trump Hotels Shareholder Derivative Litigation* (Trump personally contributed some of his holdings; the company increased the number of directors on its board, and certain future transactions had to be reviewed by a special committee); *Southwest Airlines Derivative Litigation (Carbon County Employee Retirement System v. Kelly* (Dist. Ct. Dallas Cnty., Tex.)) (a derivative matter that resulted in significant reforms to the air carrier's corporate governance and safety and maintenance practices and procedures for the benefit of Southwest and its shareholders).

He argued the appeal in *In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Derivative Litig.*, 56 A.D.3d 49 (1st Dep't 2008) which led to the seminal New York Appellate Division opinion which clarified the standards of demand futility, and held that a board of directors loses the protection of the business judgment rule where there is evidence of self-dealing and poor judgment by the directors; and *In re Topps Company, Inc. Shareholders Litigation* which resulted in a 2007 decision which vindicated the rights of shareholders under the rules of comity and doctrine of forum non conveniens and to pursue claims in the most relevant forum notwithstanding the fact that jurisdiction might exist as well in the state



of incorporation. Mr. Kaufman has also lectured and taught in the subjects of corporate governance as well as transactional and derivative litigation.

In addition, Mr. Kaufman represents many corporate clients in complex commercial matters, including *Puckett v. Sony Music Entertainment*, No. 108802/98 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cnty. 2002) (a complex copyright royalty class action); *Shropshire v. Sony Music Entertainment*, No. 06-3252 (S.D.N.Y.), and *The Youngbloods v. BMG Music*, No. 07-2394 (S.D.N.Y.); and *Mich II Holdings LLC v. Schron*, No. 600736/10 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cnty.) (represented certain defendants in connection with real estate dispute and successfully litigated motion to dismiss all claims against those defendants; he continues to represent those clients' interests in several related litigations in New York and Delaware). Mr. Kaufman has also represented clients in arbitrations and litigation involving oppressed minority shareholders in closely held corporations.

Prior to joining Wolf Haldenstein, and prior to joining Milberg in August of 1998, Mr. Kaufman was a Court Attorney for the New York State Supreme Court, New York County (1988-1990) and Principal Law Clerk to Justice Herman Cahn of the Commercial Division of the New York State Supreme Court, New York County (1990-1998).

Mr. Kaufman is an active member of the Commercial and Federal Litigation Section of the New York State Bar Association, the International Association of Jewish Lawyers and Jurists and the Jewish Lawyers Guild. He has also lectured on corporate governance issues to institutional investor conferences across the United States and abroad. Mr. Kaufman is a member of the Board of Trustees of the Hebrew Academy of the Five Towns and Rockaways.

THOMAS H. BURT: *admitted:* New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Eastern District of Michigan. *Education:* American University (B.A. 1993); New York University (J.D. 1997). Articles Editor with New York University Review of Law and Social Change. Mr. Burt is a litigator with a practice concentrated in securities class actions and complex commercial litigation. After practicing criminal defense with noted defense lawyer Jack T. Litman for three years, he joined Wolf Haldenstein, where he has worked on such notable cases as *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, No. 21 MC 92 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.) (a novel and sweeping amalgamation of over 300 class actions which resulted in a recovery of \$586 million); *In re MicroStrategy Securities Litigation*, No. 00-473-A (E.D. Va.) (recovery of \$192 million); *In re DRAM Antitrust Litigation*, No. 02-cv-1486 (PJH) (N.D. Cal.) (antitrust case resulting in \$315 million recovery); *In re Computer Associates 2002 Class Action Securities*



Litigation, No. 02-cv-1226 (TCP) (E.D.N.Y.)(settled, together with a related fraud case, for over \$133 million); *K.J. Egleston L.P. v. Heartland Industrial Partners, et al.*, 2:06-13555 (E.D. Mich.) (recovery included personal assets from former Reagan Administration budget director David A. Stockman); and *Parker Friedland v. Iridium World Communications, Ltd.*, 99-1002 (D.D.C.)(recovery of \$43.1 million). Mr. Burt has spoken on several occasions to investor and activist groups regarding the intersection of litigation and corporate social responsibility. Mr. Burt writes and speaks on both securities and antitrust litigation topics. He has served as a board member and officer of the St. Andrew's Society of the State of New York, New York's oldest charity.

RACHELE R. BYRD: *admitted:* California; U.S. District Courts for the Southern, Northern, Central and Eastern Districts of California; U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. *Education:* Point Loma Nazarene College (B.A., 1994); University of California, Hastings College of the Law (J.D., 1997). Member: State Bar of California. Former Deputy Alternate Public Defender for the County of San Diego. Ms. Byrd is located in the firm's San Diego office. She practices corporate derivative and class action litigation including securities, consumer, antitrust, employment and general corporate and business litigation. Ms. Byrd has played a significant role in litigating numerous class and derivative actions, including *In re Apple & AT&TM Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. C 07-05152 JW (N.D. Cal.) (antitrust class action against Apple Inc. and AT&T Mobility LLC regarding aftermarkets for iPhone wireless service and applications); *Ardon v. City of Los Angeles* (2011) 52 Cal.4th 241 (challenging the City of Los Angeles' telephone users tax on behalf of the City's taxpayers); *McWilliams v. City of Long Beach*, 2013 Cal. LEXIS 3510, Cal. Supreme Ct. No. S202037 (April 25, 2013) (challenging the City of Long Beach's telephone users tax on behalf of the City's taxpayers); *DeFrees, et al. v. Kirkland, et al.*, No. CV 11-04272 GAF(SP_x) (C.D. Cal.) (shareholder derivative action); *Bamboo Partners LLC, et al. v. Robert Mondavi Corp., et al.* (shareholder class action that settled for \$10.8 million in 2007); and *Lewis, et al. v. American Spectrum Realty, Inc., et al.*, (shareholder class action that settled for \$6.5 million in 2004).

MATTHEW M. GUINEY: *admitted:* New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern District of New York. *Education:* The College of William & Mary (B.A. in Government and Economics 1998); Georgetown University Law Center (J.D. 2002). Mr. Guiney's primary areas of practice are securities class actions under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Exchange Act of 1934, complex commercial litigation, *Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA)* actions on behalf of plan participants, *Fair Labor Standards*



Act of 1938 actions concerning overtime payment, and fiduciary duty actions under various state laws. Mr. Guiney has helped recover hundreds of millions of dollars for victims of corporate fraud and abuse in federal and state litigation across the country. Some of Mr. Guiney's notable results on behalf of investors include: *Mallozzi v. Industrial Enterprises of America, Inc. et al.*, 1:07-cv-10321-DLC (S.D.N.Y.) (\$3.4 million settlement on behalf of shareholders); *In re Luxottica Group S.p.A. Securities Litigation*, No. CV 01-3285 (JBW) (MDG) (E.D.N.Y.) (\$18.5 million settlement on behalf of shareholders); *In re MBNA Corp. ERISA Litigation*, Master Docket No. 05-429 (GMS), (D. Del) (\$4.5 million settlement on behalf of plan participants). Recent publications include: *Citigroup and Judicial Immunity in ERISA: An Emerging Trend?*, Compensation and Benefits Review, Vol. 42, No. 3, 172-78 (May/June 2010) (with Mark C. Rifkin); *Case of the Moenches: Moench Provision Expansion*, Employment Law360/Securities Law360 Newswires, Guest Column (June 2, 2010) (with Mark C. Rifkin).

MALCOLM T. BROWN: *admitted:* United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, District of New Jersey and Eastern District of Pennsylvania; United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. ***Education:*** University of Pennsylvania (B.A., Political Science 1988) and Rutgers University School of Law (J.D. 1994). Mr. Brown's primary areas of practice are securities, derivative, M&A litigation and consumer class actions. Recent notable decisions include: *Johnson v. Ford Motor Co.*, 309 F.R.D. 226 (S.D. W. Va. 2015); *Thomas v. Ford Motor Co.*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 43268 (D.S.C. Mar. 31, 2014); *In re Merkin Sec. Litig.*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 178084 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 24, 2015). Prior to joining Wolf Haldenstein, Mr. Brown was a business litigation attorney who represented financial institutions, corporations and partnerships and advised clients on business disputes, reorganizations, dissolutions and insurance coverage matters. Notable decisions include: *Garment v. Zoeller*, 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20736 (S.D.N.Y. June 19, 2001), *aff'd* 2002 U.S. App. LEXIS 9966 (2d Cir. May 24, 2002); *Bainton v. Baran*, 731 N.Y.S.2d 161 (1st Dep't 2001).

SPECIAL COUNSEL

JUSTICE HERMAN CAHN: *admitted:* New York. ***Education:*** Harvard Law School and a B.A. from City College of the City University of New York. Justice Herman Cahn was first elected as Judge of the Civil Court of the City of New York in 1976. He subsequently served as an Acting Justice of the Supreme Court from 1980 until 1992, when he was elected to the Supreme Court. Throughout his decades on the bench, he principally handled civil cases, with the exception of 1981 until 1987, when he presided



over criminal matters. Justice Cahn was instrumental in the creation of, and a founding Justice in, the Commercial Division within the New York State Supreme Court. He served as a Justice of the Commercial Division from its inception in 1993.

Among his most notable recent cases are the consolidated cases stemming from the Bear Stearns merger with JP Morgan (*In re Bear Stearns Litigation*); litigation regarding the America's Cup Yacht Race (*Golden Gate Yacht Club v. Société Nautique de Genève*); litigation stemming from the attempt to enjoin the construction of the new Yankee Stadium (*Save Our Parks v. City of New York*); and the consolidated state cases regarding the rebuilding of the World Trade Center site (*World Trade Center Properties v. Alliance Insurance; Port Authority v. Alliance Insurance*).

Justice Cahn is a member of the Council on Judicial Administration of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York. He has also recently been appointed to the Character and Fitness Committee of the Appellate Division, First Department. He is on the Register of Mediators for the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern and Eastern Districts of New York.

Before ascending the bench, Justice Cahn practiced law in Manhattan. He was first admitted to the New York bar in 1956. He is admitted to practice in numerous courts, including the New York State courts, the Southern District of New York and the United States Supreme Court.

ROBERT ALTCHILER: *admitted:* New York; Connecticut. *Education:* State University of New York at Albany (B.S., 1985); George Washington University Law School (J.D., 1988). Mr. Altchiler heads the firm's White Collar and Investigations practice group. Robert's practice focuses primarily in the areas of White Collar criminal investigations, corporate investigations, litigation, tax and general corporate counseling. Robert has successfully defended individuals and corporations in a wide array of multifaceted investigations in areas such as mortgage fraud, securities fraud, tax fraud, prevailing wage, money laundering, Bank Secrecy Act, embezzlement, bank and wire fraud, theft of trade secrets, criminal copyright infringement, criminal anti-counterfeiting, Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA), International Traffic In Arms Regulations (ITAR), racketeering, continuing criminal enterprises, and circumvention of trade restrictions, among many others. Robert also specializes in non-criminal investigations related to various topics, including finding money allegedly being hidden by individuals, ascertaining the identities of individuals actually involved in corporate matters (when a



client believes those identities are being concealed), and running undercover “sting” operations as part of civil and commercial litigation support.

Robert conducts corporate investigations and, when appropriate, when the client instructs, refers the results to law enforcement for prosecution. In one recent example, a corporate CEO came to learn assets and materials were being diverted by employees, and that the corporation was “bleeding” money as a result. The CEO needed assistance in ascertaining the identities and extent of involvement of the wrongdoers, as well as the level of theft involved. Robert directed a corporate investigation that revealed the nature of the problem. He then referred the investigation to federal authorities, which arrested the wrongdoers and prosecuted them. The wrongdoers were convicted. In addition, the amount of the theft was included in a court ordered restitution judgment and the corporation will be repaid in full.

In 1988, Robert started his legal career as a prosecutor in New York City. As a prosecutor, in addition to trying several dozen serious cases, ranging from murder to fraud to narcotics violations, he also ran wiretap and grand jury investigations involving money laundering and other financial crimes, as well as a wiretap and investigation concerning a plot to assassinate a prominent NYC judge.

In addition to his practice, Robert has been an adjunct law professor at Pace University Law School since 1998, where he teaches trial advocacy. Robert has also been a featured participant and lecturer at Cardozo Law School’s acclaimed Intensive Trial Advocacy Program in New York City, and has also taught at Yale Law School. Robert’s trial advocacy teaching requires him to constantly integrate new developments in communication theory and trial techniques into his pedagogical methods. Given the changing way students (and prospective jurors) communicate and digest information (via Twitter, Instagram and Snapchat, for example) Robert is able to adapt his teaching to the needs of his students. By actively participating in the mock trials and by frequently demonstrating methods, he is able to continually adapt his own communication skills and integrate cutting-edge developments into his own practice.

Robert graduated from the George Washington University Law School, and graduated with honors from the Business School at the State University of New York at Albany in 1985. He is also a 1996 graduate of the National Criminal Defense College and a 1997 graduate of the National Institute for Trial Advocacy’s Harvard Teacher Training Program. In 2014, Robert was asked to teach at the prestigious EATES Program at Stetson University Law School, a program designed to teach trial advocacy professors



how to better teach their students. Robert has also made dozens of television appearances on Fox, Court TV, and Tru TV, providing legal commentary on televised trials, and participating in discussions related to pertinent issues.

OF COUNSEL

DANIEL W. KRASNER: *admitted:* New York; Supreme Court of the United States; U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fourth, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Central District of Illinois, and Northern District of Michigan. *Education:* Yale Law School (LL.B., 1965); Yeshiva College (B.A., 1962). Mr. Krasner is of counsel at Wolf Haldenstein. He began practicing law with Abraham L. Pomerantz, generally credited as the "Dean of the Class Action Bar." He founded the Class Litigation Group at Wolf Haldenstein in 1976.

Mr. Krasner received judicial praise for his class action acumen as early as 1978. *See, e.g., Shapiro v. Consolidated Edison Co.*, [1978 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) & 96,364 at 93,252 (S.D.N.Y. 1978) ("in the Court's opinion the reputation, skill and expertise of . . . [Mr.] Krasner, considerably enhanced the probability of obtaining as large a cash settlement as was obtained"); *Steiner v. BOC Financial Corp.*, [1980 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) & 97,656, at 98,491.4, (S.D.N.Y. 1980) ("This Court has previously recognized the high quality of work of plaintiffs' lead counsel, Mr. Krasner"). The New York Law Journal referred to Mr. Krasner as one of the "top rank plaintiffs' counsel" in the securities and class action fields. In connection with a failed 1989 management buyout of United Airlines, Mr. Krasner testified before Congress.

More recently, Mr. Krasner has been one of the lead attorneys for plaintiffs in some of the leading Federal multidistrict cases in the United States, including the IPO Litigation in the Southern District of New York, the Mutual Fund Market Timing Litigation in the District of Maryland, and several Madoff-related litigations pending in the Southern District of New York. Mr. Krasner has also been lead attorney in several precedent-setting shareholder actions in Delaware Chancery Court and the New York Court of Appeals, including *American International Group, Inc. v. Greenberg*, 965 A.2d 763 (Del. Ch. 2009) and the companion certified appeal, *Kirschner v. KPMG LLP*, Nos. 151, 152, 2010 N.Y. LEXIS 2959 (N.Y. Oct. 21, 2010); *Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana and City of New Orleans Employees' Retirement System, derivatively on behalf of nominal defendant American International Group, Inc., v. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP*, No. 152 (New York,



October 21, 2010); *In re CNX Gas Corp. S'holders Litig.*, C.A. No. 5377-VCL, 2010 Del. Ch. LEXIS 119 (Del. Ch., May 25, 2010); *In re CNX Gas Corp. S'holders Litig.*, C.A. No. 5377-VCL, 2010 Del. Ch. LEXIS 139, (Del. Ch. July 5, 2010), appeal refused, 2010 Del. LEXIS 324, 2010 WL 2690402 (Del. 2010).

Mr. Krasner has lectured at the Practising Law Institute; Rutgers Graduate School of Business; Federal Bar Council; Association of the Bar of the City of New York; Rockland County, New York State, and American Bar Associations; Federal Bar Council, and before numerous other bar, industry, and investor groups.

PETER C. HARRAR: *admitted; New York;* United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit and the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. *Education:* Columbia Law School (J.D. 1984); Princeton University, Phi Beta Kappa, *magna cum laude*. Mr. Harrar is of counsel at the firm and has extensive experience in complex securities and commercial litigation on behalf of individual and institutional clients.

He has represented investment funds, hedge funds, insurance companies and other institutional investors in a variety of individual actions, class actions and disputes involving mortgage-backed securities and derivative instruments. Examples include *In re EMAC Securities Litigation*, a fraud case concerning private placements of securitized loan pools, and *Steed Finance LDC v. LASER Advisors, Inc.*, a hybrid individual and class action concerning the mispricing of swaptions.

Over the years, Mr. Harrar has also served as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous securities class and derivative actions throughout the country, recovering hundreds of millions of dollars on behalf of aggrieved investors and corporations. Recent examples are some of the largest recoveries achieved in resolution of derivative actions, including *American International Group Consolidated Derivative Litigation* (\$90 million), and *Bank of America/Merrill Derivative Litigation* (\$62.5 million).

JEFFREY G. SMITH: *admitted:* New York; California; Supreme Court of the United States; U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth and Ninth Circuits; U.S. Tax Court; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, Southern, Central and Northern Districts of California and the Districts of Colorado and Nebraska. *Education:* Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University (M.P.A., 1977); Yale Law School (J.D., 1978); Vassar College (A.B., *cum laude generalis*, 1974). At Yale Law School, Mr. Smith was a teaching assistant for the Trial Practice course and a student supervisor in



the Legal Services Organization, a clinical program. Member: The Association of the Bar of the City of New York; New York State and American (Section on Litigation) Bar Associations; State Bar of California (Member: Litigation Section); American Association for Justice. Mr. Smith has frequently lectured on corporate governance issues to professional groups of Fund trustees and investment advisors as well as to graduate and undergraduate business student groups, and has regularly served as a moot court judge for the A.B.A. and at New York University Law School. Mr. Smith has substantial experience in complex civil litigation, including class and derivative actions, tender offer, merger, and takeover litigation. Mr. Smith is rated "AV" by Martindale Hubble and, since its inception in 2006, has been selected as among the top 5% of attorneys in the New York City metropolitan area chosen to be included in the Super Lawyers Magazine.

ANITA B. KARTALOPOULOS: *admitted:* New York. *Education:* University of Toledo, B.A.; Seton Hall University, (J.D., 1982). Ms. Kartalopoulos, a former member of Milberg LLP, litigates claims in the areas of securities fraud, derivative litigation, and mergers and acquisitions. She focuses her practice on lead plaintiff litigation, as well as breach of fiduciary and transactional litigation. She works closely with the institutional investor clients, including trustees of public and private funds, throughout the U.S. providing counsel on asset recovery, fiduciary education, and risk management.

Ms. Kartalopoulos has extensive experience in litigating complex securities cases including *In re Sears, Roebuck & Co. Securities Litigation* (\$215 million settlement), *In re Chiron Corp. Securities Litigation* (\$30 million settlement), and others. Ms. Kartalopoulos has also achieved noteworthy results including improved corporate governance and disclosures as well as increased share value in recent litigations including in *In re Topps Co. Shareholder Litigation*, *In re Anheuser-Busch Cos. Shareholders Litigation*, *In re Net Logic*, *In re Smith International*, *In re L-3 Communication Holdings, Inc.*, *In re Republic Services*, *Derivative Litigation*, and many others.

Prior to entering private practice, Ms. Kartalopoulos served in senior regulatory positions involving insurance and health in the State of New Jersey, including serving as Deputy Commissioner of Insurance, for Life and Health; Director of Legal and Regulatory Affairs (Department of Health); and Executive Director of the New Jersey State Real Estate Commission. She managed the New Jersey Insurance Department's Multi-State Task Force investigating the sales practices of the Prudential Insurance Company, which resulted in a \$50 million fine against Prudential and a \$4 billion



recovery for policyholders. She also served on the Board of Directors of MBL Insurance Company as a rehabilitator and managed litigation on behalf of the company.

Ms. Kartalopoulos is a regular speaker at numerous conferences focused on fiduciary education, ethics, and U.S. securities litigation, including the Investment Education Symposium, the Institutional Investor European Pensions Symposium, the Canadian Hedge Funds Investment Roundtable, the New York Hedge Funds Roundtable, and the AEDBF (*Association Europeenne de Droit Bancaire et Financier*), FPPTA Trustee School, GAPPT, MATTER, LATEC. She also speaks regularly on the complex legal environment that institutional investors face when addressing losses due to securities fraud as well as their proactive and reactive alternatives.

Ms. Kartalopoulos has co-authored “Deterring Executive Compensation Excesses: Regulatory Weaknesses, Litigation Strengths” (03/05, NY, NY), and “Vintage Wine in New Bottles: The Curious Evolution of the Concept of Loss Causation” (11/05, NY, NY).

Ms. Kartalopoulos is admitted to the bar of the State of New Jersey, the U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Federal and Third Circuits.

KATE MCGUIRE: *admitted:* New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. *Education:* University of California at Santa Cruz (B.A. 1995), Georgetown University Law Center (J.D., 1998); Member: *Georgetown Immigration Law Journal*.

Ms. McGuire has extensive experience prosecuting complex litigation. Her work encompasses consumer and data protection class actions, securities class and derivative shareholder cases and nationwide antitrust suits.

She is a member of the Firm’s Consumer Protection practice group and, in that context, has worked intensively to protect classes of consumers under a range of state and federal laws. Recently, she served as a member of the co-lead counsel team in *Simerlein et al. v. Toyota Motor Corporation et al.*, 3:17-CV-01021-VAB (D. Conn.), representing more than a million owners of Sienna minivans in litigation that settled for class-wide benefits valued at between \$30 and \$40 million. Presently, she serves on a team representing plaintiffs in multi-district litigation against Fisher-Price and Mattel, relating to Rock ‘n Play infant sleepers which are alleged to be dangerous and misleadingly marketed. She has also served as a member of the firm’s lead or co-counsel teams in other consumer protection cases, including litigation based upon



allegations of misrepresentations and omissions concerning the purported safety of electronic cigarettes.

Ms. McGuire has also represented plaintiffs with respect to the protection of their civil rights. For example, she represented a blind plaintiff in a suit under the Americans with Disability Act against a major trading online trading company, and represented a group of minority business owners in federal civil rights litigation concerning disparate treatment which settled for significant governance therapeutics.

GLORIA KUI MELWANI: *admitted:* New York, New Jersey, United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, District of New Jersey. *Education:* New York University (B.M., Piano Performance, 2000); Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law (J.D., 2005), where she served as a Notes Editor on the Cardozo Public Law, Policy and Ethics Journal. Ms. Melwani's primary areas of focus are securities, stockholder derivative litigation, M&A litigation, and consumer litigation.

In 2018, Ms. Melwani represented the plaintiffs in *We Shall Overcome Foundation, et al. v. The Richmond Organization, Inc., et al.*, No. 16-cv-02725-DLC (S.D.N.Y.), which successfully challenged the copyright to "We Shall Overcome," called the "most powerful song of the 20th century" by the Librarian of Congress.

LYDIA KEANEY REYNOLDS: *admitted:* New York, U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the Northern and Central Districts of Illinois. *Education:* Temple University (B.A. *magna cum laude*, Phi Beta Kappa, English, 2004); University of Pennsylvania Law School (J.D. 2007), where she was a Production Editor of the *University of Pennsylvania Journal of Constitutional Law*. Prior to joining Wolf Haldenstein, Ms. Reynolds was an associate at SNR Denton US LLP, n/k/a Dentons.

Ms. Reynolds has substantial experience litigating complex class actions in a variety of practice areas, including consumer fraud and securities litigation.

Ms. Reynolds joined Wolf Haldenstein as an associate in 2011. In 2015, she left Wolf Haldenstein to serve as an Assistant Attorney General in the Consumer Frauds and Protection Bureau of the Office of the New York Attorney General, and returned to the Firm in 2017. As an Assistant Attorney General, Ms. Reynolds investigated and litigated actions against financial services corporations and manufacturers and retailers who engaged in unfair or deceptive practices.



As an attorney at Wolf Haldenstein, Ms. Reynolds represented the plaintiffs in *In re Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. Investor Litig.*, No. 650607/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), arising out of the historic IPO of the Empire State Building and other properties and resulting in a \$55 million recovery for the original investors. Ms. Reynolds also has significant experience litigating consumer fraud actions, including *Milman v. Thermos LLC*, No. 1:13-cv-7750 (N.D. Ill.), a consumer fraud action alleging that Thermos bottles advertised as leak-proof were not, resulting in a settlement of over \$1 million in cash and products for consumers.

CARL MALMSTROM: *admitted:* Illinois; Minnesota; United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit; Northern and Southern Districts of Illinois; Northern District of Indiana; District of Minnesota; Eastern District of Missouri; Western District of New York. ***Education:*** University of Chicago (A.B., Biological Sciences, 1999; A.M., Social Sciences, 2001); The University of Hawai'i at Manoa (M.A., Anthropology, 2004); Loyola University Chicago School of Law (J.D., 2007). Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Malmstrom worked for the City of Chicago Department of Law in the Municipal Prosecutions Division; he is a member of the Chicago Bar Association. Mr. Malmstrom has substantial experience litigating complex class actions in several practice areas, including antitrust, consumer fraud, and data security. Representative cases in which he has represented plaintiffs include *Bokelman et al. v. FCH Enterprises, Inc.*, Case No. 1:18-cv-209 (D. Haw.), involving customers of Zippy's Restaurants in Hawaii whose personal data was stolen by hackers, *In re: Experian Data Breach Litigation*, Case No. 8:15-cv-1592 (C.D. Cal.); *Freeman-Hargis v. Taxi Affiliation Services, LLC*, Case No. 2016-CH-02519 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cty.), involving customers of several taxi services in Chicago who were unlawfully charged fees for using credit cards in taxis.

ASSOCIATES

KEVIN COOPER: *admitted:* New York; New Jersey; U.S. District Courts for the Southern District of New York and the District of New Jersey. ***Education:*** Fordham University (B.A., Legal and Policy Studies, 2011); Brooklyn Law School (J.D., 2014), where he served as an Associate Managing Editor on the Brooklyn Journal of Corporate, Financial & Commercial Law and as a Barry L. Zaretsky Fellow in Commercial and Bankruptcy Law. Mr. Cooper's primary areas of focus are securities, derivative and M&A litigation.

BRITTANY N. DEJONG: *admitted:* California; U.S. District Courts for the Southern, Northern, Central and Eastern Districts of California. ***Education:*** University of Phoenix



(B.S. 2005); Golden Gate University, School of Law (J.D. 2008), Graduated with Highest Honors, Editor – Law Review, Merit Scholarship Recipient, Member: State Bar of California. Prior to joining Wolf Haldenstein, Ms. DeJong was an associate at a boutique trial firm in San Francisco where her practice focused on multiparty litigation involving catastrophic property damage. Prior to entering private practice, Ms. DeJong worked as a Research Attorney for the Honorable Peter Busch in the Law & Motion Department at the San Francisco Superior Court. Additionally, while in law school, Ms. DeJong externed for the Honorable Susan Illston of the Northern District of California and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

PATRICK DONOVAN: *admitted:* New York; U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York; United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. *Education:* Iona College (B.A., Business Management, 2007); St. John's University School of Law (J.D. 2011). Mr. Donovan's primary areas of focus are securities, derivative and M&A litigation.

MARISA LIVESAY: *admitted:* California; United States District Courts for the Southern, Central and Northern District of California; Ninth Circuit. *Education:* University of Arizona (B.A., History & Spanish, 1999); University California Los Angeles Law School (J.D. 2002).

PARAPROFESSIONALS

GREGORY STONE: *Education:* University of Pennsylvania (B.S., Economics, 1979); University of California, Los Angeles (MBA, 1983). Mr. Stone is the Firm's Director of Case and Financial Analysis. He assists partners and associates in identifying and researching potential federal class action securities, derivative litigation and merger & acquisition (M&A) litigation. Mr. Stone has worked with leading securities class action firms in an analytical and investigative role for over 18 year throughout the United States, and has an extensive professional background in the accounting and investment professions. He plays a key role in new case development, including performing investigations into potential securities fraud class actions, derivative and other corporate governance related actions. By using a broad spectrum of financial news and legal industry research tools, Mr. Stone analyzes information that helps identify and support the theories behind the firm's litigation efforts.



NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICIES

Wolf Haldenstein does not discriminate or tolerate harassment against any employee or applicant because of race, creed, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, marital status, sexual orientation, or alienage or citizenship status and designs its hiring practices to ensure that minority group members and women are afforded equal employment opportunities without discrimination. The Firm is in compliance with all applicable Federal, State, County, and City equal employment opportunity laws.

Wolf Haldenstein is proud of its long history of support for the rights of, and employment opportunities for, women, the disadvantaged, and minority group persons, including the participation in civil rights and voter registration activities in the South in the early 1960s by partners of the Firm; the part-time employment of disadvantaged youth through various public school programs; the varied *pro bono* activities performed by many of the Firm's lawyers; the employment of many women and minority group persons in various capacities at the Firm, including at the partner level; the hiring of ex-offenders in supported job training programs; and the use of minority and women-owned businesses to provide services and supplies to the Firm.

270 MADISON AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10016
TELEPHONE: 212-545-4600
TELECOPIER: 212-545-4653
WWW.WHAFH.COM

SYMPHONY TOWERS
750 B STREET, SUITE 1820
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
TELEPHONE: 619-239-4599
TELECOPIER: 619-234-4599

111 WEST JACKSON
SUITE 1700
CHICAGO, IL 60604
TELEPHONE: 312-984-0000
TELECOPIER: 312-214-3110



CaseyGerry

CASEY GERRY SCHENK FRANCAVILLA BLATT & PENFIELD LLP

FIRM RESUME

**110 Laurel St.
San Diego, CA 92101
T: 619-238-1811
F: 619-544-9232
www.caseygerry.com**

FIRM OVERVIEW

Founded in 1947, San Diego based firm of Casey Gerry Schenk Francavilla Blatt & Penfield, LLP “CaseyGerry” is one of the oldest plaintiffs’ law firms in California and is nationally recognized. Our firm focuses on complex civil litigation, with an emphasis on consumer protection, class actions, product defect, pharmaceutical and serious personal injury matters. Our attorneys have held numerous leadership roles in coordinated cases at both the state and federal level. We have prosecuted a variety of consumer fraud, unfair business practices, TCPA, product defect, and other complex mass torts and class action matters. We have litigated cases against companies such as Volkswagen, Exxon, Sony, Toyota, General Motors, AT&T, Mitsubishi, Apple, Bank of America, American Express, Honda, Discover Financial Services, and Yahoo!, among others.

Casey Gerry represented then Lt. Gov. Gray Davis in his private attorney general action against the tobacco industry. We were successful in obtaining a settlement of over \$25 billion for the citizens of California, and also took part in successfully resolving other similar tobacco cases in other states. Our firm also was part of the trial team and played a leadership role in the Exxon Valdez litigation, which resulted in a \$5 billion verdict.

The firm has extensive experience in class action, mass tort and other complex litigation and firm members have recently served on court-appointed leadership committees, including cases against the NFL, Volkswagen, Audi, Yahoo!, Wells Fargo and Chrysler.

The firm's experienced lawyers have earned numerous local, regional and national accolades and awards bestowed for professional success. Our lawyers have been president of, or currently hold, leadership positions and longtime affiliations with the California State Bar Association, American Association for Justice (AAJ, formerly known as American Trial Lawyers Association “ATLA”), Consumer Attorneys of California, Consumer Attorneys of San Diego (CASD), San Diego County Bar Association, Lawyers Club of San Diego, California Women Lawyers, North County Bar Association, Korean American Bar Association San Diego, South Asian Bar Association of San Diego, and Tom Homann LGBT Law Association. Our partners have been elected to such prestigious organizations as the American Board of Trial Advocates and the International Academy of Trial Lawyers International Society of Barristers. Four partners have served as president of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego. Five of the firm’s partners are members of the American Board of Trial Advocates (ABOTA), and partner Frederick Schenk currently serves as Vice President of the executive board of the San Diego chapter of ABOTA.

CASEYGERRY ATTORNEYS

David S. Casey, Jr.

David S. Casey, Jr. is the senior partner of CaseyGerry, the firm that his father founded in 1947. He began his career as a prosecutor and has handled over 70 jury trials during the course of his over forty-year legal career. He is a past president of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America (now the American Association for Justice), Consumer Attorneys of California, Western Trial Lawyers Association, and San Diego Trial Lawyers Association (now Consumer Attorneys of San Diego).

Mr. Casey is a proven leader nationwide. During his tenure as president of ATLA (now AAJ), which at the time was an organization of approximately 60,000 lawyers nationwide, he was one of a small group of people who proposed the Victims Compensation Fund for victims of the unprecedented terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. Thereafter, AAJ formed Trial Lawyers Care (TLC) to coordinate what would become one of the largest pro bono programs in history. TLC helped 1,739 eligible claimants from 35 states and 11 countries, and the recovery to these claimants exceeded \$2 billion dollars.

Mr. Casey is also a leader in the state of California. He undertook a lead role in California on behalf of then Lieutenant Governor Gray Davis in his private attorney general action against the Tobacco Industry, instigated when the state of California declined to participate in the litigation with other states' Attorney Generals. This litigation involved multiple billion-dollar companies, who had been involved in litigation over the effects of tobacco use for decades. Mr. Casey was involved in extensive negotiations in Washington, DC, and proposed legislation. After Gov. Davis' election as Governor of California, a global settlement resulted in the resolution of all claims.

During the course of his career, Mr. Casey has represented American POWs in litigation for having been treated as slave laborers during World War II and his firm was co-counsel in the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill litigation. He also represented Honda dealers in one of the most massive fraud schemes on Honda dealers in the history of the United States.

Most recently, Mr. Casey was appointed to serve on the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee for *In re: Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*, in which he represents the interests of approximately 500,000 owners and lessees of Volkswagen diesel vehicles in the third largest car recall in history. He also currently serves on the PSC for *In re: National Football League Players' Concussion Injury Litigation*, representing the interests of thousands of former NFL players and *In re: Chrysler-Dodge-Jeep Ecodiesel Marketing, Sales Practices, and Product Liability Litigation*.

David S. Casey, Jr., has been recognized over the past two years by the Los Angeles Daily Journal as one of the top 25 Plaintiff's Attorneys in the State of California. Having opted out of the class action, he currently represents the family of Junior Seau against the NFL in the national head injury litigation, as well as representing the family of Tony Gwynn for the wrongful death resulting from his use of smokeless tobacco.

Mr. Casey continues to serve the legal community currently as the Lawyer Representative for the United States District Court, Southern District of California and as a current board member for the Ninth Judicial Circuit Historical Society. He is honored to have been inducted into the San Diego Consumer Attorneys Hall of Fame. He is also a member of such prestigious, invitation-only, organizations, such as the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, International Society of Barristers and American Board of Trial Advocates.

Gayle M. Blatt

Heading the firm's complex litigation practice group, Ms. Blatt concentrates her practice on consumer class actions, data breach cases, and pharmaceutical and medical device cases. She joined CaseyGerry more than 30 years ago, and has become well known for representing injured clients and consumers in a wide range of high profile, multi-million-dollar cases.

Ms. Blatt has been appointed to the Plaintiffs' Executive Committees in *In re: ZF- TRW Airbag Control Units Products Liability Litigation*; *In re: Intel Corp. CPU Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL 2828, *In re: Apple Inc. Device Performance Litigation*, and to the five-member PEC overseeing the class action litigation related to the massive Yahoo data breaches. She also serves on a committee in the consolidated data breach class action *Adkins v. Facebook, Inc.* She also currently serves as Co-Liaison Counsel in *In re: Incretin Mimetics Product Liability Litigation*, and her previous roles as liaison counsel in prominent cases include *In re: Sony Gaming Networks and Customer Data Security Breach Litigation* and *In re: Hydroxycut Marketing*

and Sales Practices Litigation.

Ms. Blatt has received three Outstanding Trial Lawyer awards from the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego and has been named to San Diego's Top 25 Female Attorneys and the Top 10 San Diego Lawyers for 2019 and 2020 by *San Diego Super Lawyers*. Ms. Blatt was named one of San Diego's Best Attorneys of 2019 by San Diego Daily Metro and one of San Diego's most influential women of 2018 by the San Diego Daily Transcript, one of the Top 50 Influential Professionals, San Diego Daily Transcript 2019 and named one of the Top 500 Influential Business Leaders, San Diego Business Journal 2019. She was named one of the 2019 and 2020 Lawdragon 500 Leading Plaintiff Consumer Lawyers and Ms. Blatt is listed annually in Best Lawyers.

For ten years, Ms. Blatt served on the Board of Director of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego and served as President of the organization in 2007. As President, she was honored as Trial Lawyer Association Chapter President of the Year by Consumer Attorneys of California. She received a President's Award from Consumer Attorneys of San Diego. Ms. Blatt currently serves on the Board of Trustees for her alma mater, California Western School of Law. She also serves on the board of the Tom Homann Law Association Foundation and is currently serving as the President of the San Diego County Bar Foundation.

Frederick Schenk

Mr. Schenk is a tenacious litigator and CaseyGerry partner for decades and concentrates his practice on products liability, serious personal injury, and asbestos law. In fact, Frederick, who has been with CaseyGerry for more than 30 years, achieved the largest verdict ever in San Diego against an asbestos manufacturer – Owens Corning Fiberglass – obtaining a \$2.4 million verdict in punitive damages as well as economic losses.

In addition, he is a specialist in auto collision litigation and co-author of the LexisNexis California Automobile Litigation Handbook. Over the years, Schenk has received numerous awards and honors for his work – including recognition as an Outstanding Trial Lawyer from the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, and twice recognized by Best Lawyers as San Diego's Trial Lawyer of the Year in the area of mass torts and class actions. He has remained active in both community and professional groups, and finds tremendous gratification in his work as an attorney, especially when he provided services to the families of the victims of 9/11 via Trial Lawyers Care, the largest pro bono program in the history of American jurisprudence.

Mr. Schenk received numerous awards, including San Diego County Bar Association's Community Service Award and Consumer Attorneys of San Diego's Outstanding Trial Lawyer Award. He serves on the Board of Governors for the

American Association for Justice and is a member of the Board of Directors for the San Diego Chapter of American Board of Trial Advocates.

He is past president of the San Diego County Fair board, and California Governor Jerry Brown re-appointed him to another four-year term on the board, which oversees all activities at the Del Mar Fairgrounds.

Jeremy Robinson

Mr. Robinson, known for his analytical and perceptive approach, is Chair of CaseyGerry's Motion and Appellate Practice. A long time CaseyGerry attorney, he is dedicated and extremely knowledgeable about all facets of the law, and works with every attorney in the firm on key legal issues, motions, civil writs and appeals.

Mr. Robinson is one of CaseyGerry's chief analysts and strategists in the complex litigation arena. He has drafted and argued motions for class certification, oppositions to motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment, and many other motions. He is also often called upon to create comprehensive research templates for difficult or novel cases, and to draft the corresponding complaints.

A founder and barrister of the San Diego Appellate Inn of Court, Mr. Robinson also has prosecuted many appeals, including cases in front of the First, Second and Fourth District Courts of Appeal as well as the Ninth Circuit.

Mr. Robinson is a regular contributor to publications regarding emerging legal issues and is also a frequently sought-after speaker on legal matters that affect not only the legal community, but also the public at large.

He coauthored a chapter in "Litigating Tort Cases," a publication that seeks to expand the ideas of leading attorneys from around the country. Mr. Robinson was the Tort Column Editor for the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego's "Trial Bar News" for ten years, from 2006-2016, was selected to the Board of Directors for the Consumer Attorneys of California in 2009. He has been a featured speaker in the statewide legal education program "What's New in Trial and Tort" since 2007. He also has presented several Continuing Legal Education seminars for various organizations, including the San Diego County Bar Association and CEB.

Robert Francavilla

Mr. Francavilla is a widely-respected trial lawyer and was recently honored as Consumer Attorneys of San Diego's Trial Lawyer of the Year for 2017. He has

made an important difference in the lives of many, as well as earned many accolades and high-profile verdicts and settlements over the years. Specializing in serious personal injury, premises liability and highway design cases, he has successfully handled the gamut of complex cases, including tragic losses involving wrongful death, paraplegia, quadriplegia, severe burns, loss of limbs and major orthopedic injuries.

Mr. Francavilla has received honors including LA Daily Journal's Top 25 Plaintiff's Lawyers and Consumer Attorneys of San Diego's Outstanding Trial Lawyers Award on six separate occasions, among other awards.

Thomas Penfield

During his almost 30-year tenure with the firm, Mr. Penfield has handled numerous multi-million-dollar cases, concentrating his practice on personal injury, products liability and class actions, including serving as co-counsel in a jury trial representing H&H Cerritos against U.S. Mineral Products, Inc., obtaining a \$14.1 million verdict. He has also been involved in numerous class action lawsuits, including a case against the City of San Diego – an effort that helped residents reclaim millions in damages that was mischarged for sewer services – which was settled for \$40 million.

With a distinguished legal background, he was a supervising trial lawyer with the Defenders Program of San Diego and then a clinical Professor at the University of San Diego School of Law before joining CaseyGerry in 1988. Mr. Penfield continues to teach as an adjunct professor at the University of San Diego School of Law, and regularly lectures both nationally and internationally on trial techniques and persuasion.

Mr. Penfield served as President of the North County Bar Association. He is one of only nine attorneys in California to earn the recognition of Diplomate in the National College of Advocacy for American Association for Justice. He is also a member of the American Board of Trial Advocates and a Master in the American Inns of Court.

Thomas Luneau

With a legal career spanning three decades, Mr. Luneau has had his share of formidable opponents in the courtroom over the years. He started his career as a state-licensed private investigator, joining CaseyGerry in 1982 as an investigator.

Now a talented litigator who has prosecuted many complex cases, he focuses his

practice primarily on premises and products liability and serious personal injury cases, earning numerous multi-million verdicts and settlements – including cases involving defective industrial drilling machinery, motorcycle lane splitting injuries, concussion injuries, wrongful death and more. Other high profile matters he has handled include cases involving abuse by a CHP officer who intentionally broke the ankle of handcuffed suspect (4th amendment violation excessive force), and the wrongful death of inmate at Donovan State Prison (8th amendment violation cruel and unusual punishment).

In addition, he has successfully represented numerous military and law enforcement personnel and their family members from entities including the San Diego Police Department, the San Diego County Sheriff's Department, Riverside County Sheriff's Department, California Highway Patrol and the United States Border Patrol.

Mr. Luneau is a member of the American Board of Trial Advocates. He's received numerous awards, including Consumer Attorneys of San Diego's Outstanding Trial Lawyer Award.

Jason Evans

Jason is an attorney specializing in complex litigation and personal injury. Throughout his career, he has handled hearings, pleadings, depositions, trial, mediations and memoranda for a number of multi-million dollar civil and class actions.

He previously worked for the San Diego Volunteer Lawyer program, drafting requests for restraining orders for victims of domestic and elder abuse, and was a legal assistant for Spach, Capaldi and Waggaman, LLP, in Newport Beach.

Awards include - 2017 CASD Outstanding Trial Lawyer Award, 2018 edition of San Diego Super Lawyers, 2015 SD Metro 40 Under 40 Awards, San Diego Daily Transcript Top Young Attorney 2014 and Super Lawyers: Rising Star 2014-2020.

P. Camille Guerra

P. Camille Guerra is a key member of the complex litigation team, working with partner Gayle M. Blatt to concentrate on cyber-security cases such as Yahoo Inc., consumer fraud litigation such as Volkswagen Diesel, Fiat/Chrysler Diesel, and Wells Fargo; as well as other high profile consumer class actions, including a national case involving anti-competitive behavior by the major tuna companies in the U.S.

With a Master's of advanced studies in health policy and law, Camille is keenly focused on addressing complex healthcare issues related to the law. Her recent research on counterfeit Avastin was the subject of an article she co-authored in the prestigious Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology. Her most recent publication is "USA Criminal and Civil Prosecutions Associated with Illicit On-line Pharmacies: Legal Analysis and Global Implications," which appeared in Med Access.

A native of San Diego, she speaks fluent Spanish and has studied Arabic, French, Italian, Russian, and Farsi. In her spare time, she enjoys international travel, including hiking trips in the Caucasus, Balkans, Turkey and Middle East. She is a former member of the Thomas Jefferson Alumni Association Board of Directors and volunteered as the alumni mentor to the Middle Eastern, Armenian, and Muslim student associations. Camille currently volunteers as on the CLE Committee for Consumer Attorneys of San Diego.

Srinivas Hanumadass

Focusing his practice on personal injury, Srinivas prides himself in getting to know his clients and conveying their stories in a heartfelt, straightforward and sincere manner. This approach has brought him success in the form of millions recovered for his clients, as well as an array of recent accolades and respect from his colleagues.

For the past two years, Srinivas has been recognized as a Super Lawyer in Personal Injury Law. The California Daily Journal named Srinivas one of their "Top 40 Attorneys Under 40" — among 60,000 California lawyers that qualify in that age range.

Srinivas is a leader in the legal community and formerly served as a Vice President of the San Diego County Bar Association. Last year, he was selected as one of only sixteen attorneys nationwide to participate in the sixth class of the Leadership Academy for the American Association for Justice (AAJ), the country's preeminent plaintiff's attorney organization. He has long been involved in County Bar's diversity initiatives, including the Diversity Fellowship Program. Committed to inclusivity in and out of the practice of law, Srinivas believes in opening doors for others. He mentors several younger lawyers and law students throughout the year. He regularly volunteers to speak on panels involving networking. He is the two-time past president of the South Asian Bar Association and past Scholarship Committee chair of Lawyers Club of San Diego, an organization dedicated to the advancement of women in the law and society. And since 2012, Srinivas has volunteered with Just in Time, an organization which assists foster youth in transition.

Srinivas earned a J.D. from Thomas Jefferson School of Law, where he was a member of Thomas Jefferson Law Review, a fellow at the Center for Global Legal Studies, earned the Cal-Witkin Award and Jefferson Medal for the highest grade in criminal law and received high honors in trial practice and advanced trial practice.

Adam Levine

Adam is a key member of the serious personal injury team, focusing on product defect, premises, auto and aviation cases. He has worked on a variety of serious cases including: a federal product defect case involving a military parachute that deployed inside an airplane, killing a decorated Navy Seal; a federal case against the Border Patrol after one its agents crashed a truck into a client riding a motorcycle; a product defect and negligence case where his client suffered a serious arm injury while riding as a passenger in a UTV; a case against the City of San Diego that settled for \$1 million after his client tripped over uneven concrete in a crosswalk and suffered permanent injuries; and high-profile litigation against Big Tobacco involving smokeless tobacco or “dip.”

He is on the board of directors for the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, is co-chair of the personal injury section of the North County Bar Association, is on the board of directors for the NLD section of the Consumer Attorneys of California, and writes for the product defect column in the Trial Bar News. He was recognized by *Super Lawyers Magazine* as one of San Diego’s legal Rising Stars of 2017 and 2018, and was named among the top 40 Under 40 by *The Daily Transcript and San Diego Metro Magazine*. Adam graduated at the top of his law school class and worked as a federal judicial intern for the Honorable Irma E. Gonzalez (Ret.) before joining CaseyGerry. He is also a licensed architect.

Awards include San Diego Super Lawyers Rising Stars, 2017-2020, The Daily Transcript Top 40 under 40, 2016, SD Metro Top 40 under 40, 2016, 14 American Jurisprudence Awards, CWSL, Kennedy Scholar, Academic Excellence Award, Trustees’ Award, CWSL, Academic Excellence Award, CWSL and Trustees’ Award, CWSL

Jillian Hayes

A graduate of California Western School of Law, Jillian Hayes specializes in serious personal injury litigation. Before practicing plaintiff’s personal injury, she focused her practice on insurance defense and now uses the knowledge she gained working closely with insurance adjusters to help injured victims. Jillian is an accomplished litigator who has handled all aspects of her cases, including trial. Jillian’s practice includes representing numerous victims of sexual assault and other privacy violations, along with other substantial injuries.

Jillian serves as a board member of the Association of Business Trial Lawyers. She has been honored by the Daily Transcript as a Top 40 under 40 business professional and has been named a San Diego Rising Star by Super Lawyers. She serves on the advisory board of the Online Courtroom project, a task force providing research and recommendations to the court regarding improving the online operations of the litigation process.

Meagan L. Verschueren

Meagan L. Verschueren litigates catastrophic personal injury cases. Her work with CaseyGerry is extensive, including emphasis on personal injury cases that involve severe burns, traumatic brain injuries, spinal cord injuries, amputations, and permanent disabilities. She regularly handles matters in the areas of personal injury, wrongful death, product liability, premises liability, and elder abuse. Originally from Michigan, she earned her undergraduate degree from the University of Tampa, after which she settled in San Diego and graduated from California Western School of Law.

Meagan is currently serving a two year term as co-President of the Tom Homann Law Association, and has been named one of San Diego Metro Magazine's Top 40 under 40.

David S. Casey III

David Casey is an attorney specializing in personal injury and complex litigation. He had worked extensively for both the complex litigation and personal injury teams at Casey Gerry. Recently, he participated in the TAP program as one of the first participants, during which time he tried multiple criminal cases as a volunteer deputy district attorney with the South Bay office of the San Diego County District Attorney.

David attended the University of San Diego and focused his time at school on trial advocacy and complex litigation. He received a CALI award for his paper analyzing American and European attitudes toward online privacy and the "right to be forgotten." David externed for the Honorable Anthony Battaglia at the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. He also interned and graduated from Gerry Spence's acclaimed Trial Lawyers College in 2018.

James M. Davis

James Davis is an integral part of the complex litigation team and specializes in class action litigation. James' practice focuses on the protection of consumer rights. Before joining Casey Gerry, James was a prosecuting attorney at the San Diego

County District Attorney's Office- Environmental Protection and Consumer Fraud Units. In the role, he served as lead prosecutor in civil law enforcement, prosecuted environmental and consumer fraud felony cases, developed cases with federal, state and county agencies, including FBI and DOJ, Department of Consumer Affairs, Department of Environmental Health and others. In addition, James has worked with the University of San Diego Veterans Legal Clinic providing representation to veterans against for-profit educational institutions.

Eric Ganci

Eric Ganci is a graduate of Thomas Jefferson School of Law. He is a member of the complex litigation team. A seasoned litigator, Eric has tried 72 cases to verdict: 70 jury trials and 2 bench trials. In addition, after completing required coursework and passing a certification exam, Eric earned the designation as a Lawyer-Scientist by the American Chemical Society, Chemistry and the Law Division.

Katie McBain

Katie McBain is a 2015 graduate of California Western School of Law. She is a member of the complex litigation team. Before joining the firm, Katie counseled retirement and pension systems regarding compliance with local, state and federal laws. She has handled all aspects of civil and probate litigation through trial. Katie is proficient in Spanish.

Svetlana Volkova

Svetlana is a graduate of California Western School of Law and is a member of the complex litigation team. Prior to joining the firm, she litigated and negotiated complex business contracts with international entities. She is a native Russian speaker and, also speaks Spanish and French. She has elementary proficiency in Vietnamese as well.

Tarina Mand

Tarina Mand is a 2017 graduate of California Western School of Law. Tarina is a member of the complex litigation team. Her background includes mass tort, business litigation and she has participated in state and federal trials, resulting in successful jury verdicts. Tarina is the President of the South Asian Bar Association, Co-chair of the Women's Advocacy Committee for Lawyers Club of San Diego, and a committee member for Diversity and Inclusion for the San Diego County Bar Association. She is fluent in Hindi and Punjabi.

SELECT LEADERSHIP AND CASE POSITIONS

- *In re: ZF-TRW Airbag Control Unit Product Liability Litigation*, MDL 2905
- *In re: Intel Corp. CPU Marketing, Sales Practices*, MDL 2828
- *In re: Apple Inc. Device Performance Litigation*, MDL 2827
- *In re: Wells Fargo Collateral Protection Insurance Litigation*, MDL No. 2797
- *In re: Chrysler-Dodge-Jeep Ecodiesel Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2777
- *In re: Volkswagen “Clean Diesel” Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2672
- *In re: YAHOO! Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, MDL No. 2752
- *In re: National Football League Players’ Concussion Injury Litigation*, MDL No. 2323
- *In re: World War II Era Japanese Forced Labor*, MDL No. 1347
- *In re: Apple and AT&T iPad Unlimited Data Plan Litigation*, Case No. 10- cv-02553, USDC, ND CA
- *In re: Hydroxycut Marketing and Sales Practice Litigation*, MDL No. 2087
- *In re: Sony Gaming Networks and Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, MDL No. 2258
- *In re: Incretin Mimetics Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2452

- *Ellis v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.*
- *Scott v. American Tobacco*, No. 01-2498 (La. 9/25/01), 795 So.2d 1176, and No. 02-2449 (La. 11/15/02), 830 So.2d 294, and No. 2004-2095 (La. App. 4th Cir. 2/7/07)
- *In re: American Honda Motor Co., Inc. Dealerships Relations Lit.*, MDL No. 1069
- *In re the Exxon Valdez*, Case No. CV-89-00095-HRH, Exxon Shipping Co v. Baker, 554 U.S. 471 (2008)
- *Rose v. Bank of America*, Case No. 11-cv-02390
- *Steinfeld v. Discover Financial Services, et al.*, Case No. 12-cv-01118
- *Villa, et al. v. City of Chula Vista*, 37-2011-00093296, Superior Court of California, San Diego
- *Gehrich, et al. v. Chase Bank USA, N.A., et al.*, Case No. 12-cv-05510
- *Blue Shield of California Affordable Care Act Cases*, JCCP 4800
- *Galvez v. Waste Management*, JCCP 4534
- *Shames v. City of San Diego, et al.*, Case No. GIC 831539, Superior Court of California, San Diego
- *Cardiff v. General Motors Corporation*, coordinated with *Valve Automation and Controls, Inc., et al v. General Motors Corp., et al.*, Case No. EC 016530, Superior Court of California, San Diego

LEVI&KORSINSKY LLP

New York 55 Broadway
10th Floor
New York, NY 10006
Toll Free 877-363-5972
T. 212-363-75
F. 212-363-71

Washington, D.C. 1101 30th Street NW
Suite 11
Washington, D.C. 20007
T. 202-524-4290
F. 202-333-2121

Connecticut 1111 Summer Street
Suite 401
Stamford, CT 0690
T. 203-992-452

California *Los Angeles*
445 South Figueroa Street
31st Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
T. 213-985-7290

San Francisco
388 Market Street
Suite 1300
San Francisco, CA 94111
T. 415-373-16
F. 415-484-1294

ABOUT THE FIRM

Levi & Korsinsky, LLP is a national law firm with decades of combined experience litigating complex securities, class, and consumer actions in state and federal courts throughout the country. Our main office is located in New York City and we also maintain offices in Connecticut, California, and Washington, D.C.

We represent the interests of aggrieved shareholders in class action and derivative litigation through the vigorous prosecution of corporations that have committed securities fraud and boards of directors who have breached their fiduciary duties. We have served as Lead and Co-Lead Counsel in many precedent-setting litigations, recovered millions of dollars for shareholders via securities fraud lawsuits, and obtained fair value, multi-billion-dollar settlements in merger transactions.

We also represent clients in high-stakes consumer class actions against some of the largest corporations in America. Our legal team has a long and successful track record of litigating high-stakes, resource-intensive cases and consistently achieving results for our clients.

Our attorneys are highly skilled and experienced in the field of securities class action litigation. They bring a vast breadth of knowledge and skill to the table and, as a result, are frequently appointed Lead Counsel in complex shareholder and consumer litigations in various jurisdictions. We are able to allocate substantial resources to each case, reviewing public documents, interviewing witnesses, and consulting with experts concerning issues particular to each case. Our attorneys are supported by exceptionally qualified professionals including financial experts, investigators, and administrative staff, as well as cutting-edge technology and e-discovery systems. Consequently, we are able to quickly mobilize and produce excellent litigation results. Our ability to try cases, and win them, results in substantially better recoveries than our peers.

We do not shy away from uphill battles – indeed, we routinely take on complex and challenging cases, and we prosecute them with integrity, determination, and professionalism.

“...a model for how [the] great legal profession should conduct itself.”

Justice Timothy S. Driscoll in *Grossman v. State Bancorp, Inc.*,
Index No. 600469/2011 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Nassau Cnty. Nov. 29, 2011)

PRACTICE AREAS

Securities Fraud Class Actions

According to Lex Machina's second annual Securities Litigation Report, Levi & Korsinsky was named the Top Securities Firm for the period of January 2017 and June 30, 2018, with 266 lawsuits filed during that period. Law360.com dubbed the Firm one of the "busiest securities firms" in what is "on track to be one of the busiest [years] for federal securities litigation." Our firm has been appointed Lead Counsel in a significant number of class actions filed in both federal and state courts across the country.

In ***In re Tesla Inc. Securities Litigation***, 18-cv-04865-EMC (N.D. Cal), the firm is sole Lead Counsel representing the class of Tesla investors who were injured as a result of Elon Musk's "funding secured" tweet of August 7, 2018. The case has survived defendants' motion to dismiss and is now in discovery. It is set for trial in March 2022. Damages are estimated as exceeding \$2 billion.

In ***In re U.S. Steel Consolidated Cases***, 17-559 CB (W.D. Pa.) the firm is sole Lead Counsel representing U.S. Steel investors who were harmed by U.S. Steel's misrepresentations regarding the maintenance of its manufacturing facilities. Defendants' motion to dismiss has been denied and the class of investors certified by the District Court. The class action case is now in discovery. Damages are estimated as exceeding \$1 billion.

In ***Ford v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation***, 14-cv-396 (D. Neb.), the Firm was appointed Lead Counsel representing customers harmed by securities fraud scheme that has netted TD Ameritrade well over a billion dollars at their expense since the beginning of the class period at the cost of the execution quality of their orders. After defeating a motion to dismiss, we achieved certification of the class using cutting edge data analysis techniques to precisely measure damages incurred by the millions of class members.

In ***Rougier v. Applied Optoelectronics, Inc.***, 17-cv-2399 (S.D. Tex.) the Firm is sole Lead Counsel and has prevailed on a Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Class Certification. The class action case is well into discovery and estimated damages exceed \$1 billion.

In ***In re Avon Products Inc. Securities Litigation***, 1:19-cv-01420-MKV (S.D.N.Y.) the Firm is Lead Counsel and prevailed on a motion to dismiss. The class action case is well into discovery spanning Europe and Latin America.

In ***In re Restoration Robotics, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, 5:18-cv-03712-EJD (N.D. Cal. 2018), the Firm is sole Lead Counsel and has prevailed on a Motion to Dismiss. The class action is in the early stages of discovery and shareholders stand to recover damages in connection with an Initial Public Offering.

In ***Stein v. U.S. Xpress Enterprises, Inc., et al.***, 1:19-cv-98-HSM (E.D. Tenn. Jul. 18, 2020) the Firm is sole Lead Counsel and has prevailed on a Motion to Dismiss. The class action is in the early stages of discovery and shareholders stand to recover damages in connection with an Initial Public Offering.

We have been appointed Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in the following securities class actions:

- ***In re eHealth Inc. Sec. Litig.***, 20-cv-02395-JST (N.D.Cal. Jun. 24, 2020)
- ***Mehdi v. Karyopharm Therapeutics Inc.***, 19-cv-11972-NMG (D. Mass. Apr. 29, 2020)
- ***Brown v. Opera Ltd.***, 20-cv-00 JGK (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 17, 2020)
- ***In re Dropbox Sec. Litig.***, 19-cv—06348-BLF (N.D.Cal. Jan. 16, 2020)
- ***Chen v. Yunji Inc.***, 19-cv-6403-LDH-SMG (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 3, 2020)
- ***Zhang v. Valaris plc***, 19-cv-7816-NRB (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 23, 2019)

- *In re Sundial Growers Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 19-cv-08913-ALC (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 20, 2019)
- *Costanzo v. DXC Technology Co.*, 19-cv-05794-BLF (N.D.Cal. Nov. 20, 2019)
- *Ferraro Family Foundation, Inc. et al., v. Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated*, 19-cv-13 LHK (N.D.Cal. Oct. 7, 2019)
- *Roberts v. Bloom Energy Corp.*, 19-cv-02935-HSG (N.D.Cal. Sep. 3, 2019)
- *Scheller v. Nutanix Inc.*, 19-cv-01651-WHO (N.D. Cal. Jul. 10, 2019)
- *Luo v. Sogou Inc.*, 1:19-cv-00230-JPO (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 2, 2019)
- *Jakobsen v. Aphria Inc.*, 18-cv-11376-GBD (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 27, 2019)
- *Chew v. MoneyGram International, Inc.*, 1:18-cv-07537 (E.D. Ill. Feb. 12, 2019)
- *Johnson v. Costco Wholesale Corp.*, 18-cv-01611-TSZ (W.D.Wash. Jan. 30, 2019)
- *Tung v. Dycom Industries, Inc.*, 9:18-cv-81448-RLR (S.D. Fla. Jan. 11, 2019)
- *Guyer v. MGT Capital Investments, Inc.*, 1:18-cv-09228-LAP (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 9, 2019)
- *In re Adient plc Sec. Litig.*, 1:18-CV-09116 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 21, 2018)
- *Church VI v. Glencore PLC*, 18-cv-11477 (SDW)(CLW) (D.N.J. Dec. 12, 2018)
- *In re Tesla Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 3:18-cv-04865-EMC (N.D. Cal. Nov. 27, 2018)
- *In re Helios and Matheson Analytics, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 1:18-cv-06965-JGK (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 16, 2018)
- *In re Prothena Corp. plc Sec. Litig.*, 1:18-cv-06425 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 31, 2018)
- *Pierrelouis v. Gogo Inc.*, 18-cv-04473 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 10, 2018)
- *Balestra v. Cloud With Me Ltd.*, 2:18-cv-00804-LPL (W.D. Pa. Oct. 18, 2018)
- *Pierrelouis v. Gogo Inc.*, 1:18-cv-04473 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 10, 2018)
- *In re Restoration Robotics, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 5:1 cv-03712-EJD (N.D. Cal. Oct. 2, 2018)
- *Richmond v. Mercury Systems, Inc.*, 1:18-cv-11434-IT (D. Mass. Sept. 27, 2018)
- *Balestra v. Giga Watt, Inc.*, 2:18-cv-00103-SMJ (E.D. Wash. June 28, 2018)
- *Chandler v. Ulta Beauty, Inc.*, 1:18-cv-01577 (N.D. Ill. June 26, 2018)
- *In re Longfin Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 1:18-cv-2933 (S.D.N.Y. June 25, 2018)
- *Chahal v. Credit Suisse Group AG*, 1:18-cv-02 AT (S.D.N.Y. June 21, 2018)
- *In re Bitconnect Sec. Litig.*, 9:18-cv-80086-DMM (S.D. Fla. June 19, 2018)
- *In re Aqua Metals Sec. Litig.*, 4:17-cv-07142-HSG (N.D. Cal. May 23, 2018)
- *Davy v. Paragon Coin, Inc.*, 4:18-cv-00 JSW (N.D. Cal. May 10, 2018)
- *Rensel v. Centra Tech, Inc.*, 17-cv-24500-JLK (S.D. Fla. Apr. 11, 2018)
- *Cullinan v. Cemtrex, Inc.* 2:17-cv-01067 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 3, 2018)
- *Emerson v. Genocea Biosciences, Inc.*, 1:17-cv-12137 (D. Mass. Feb. 2, 2018)
- *In re Navient Corporation Sec. Litig.*, 1:1 cv-08 RBK-AMD (D.N.J. Feb. 2, 2018)
- *Abouzied v. Applied Optoelectronics, Inc.*, 4:17-cv-2399 (S.D. Tex. Jan. 22, 2018)
- *Huang v. Depomed, Inc.*, 3:17-cv-04830-JST (N.D. Cal. Dec. 8, 2017)
- *In re Regulus Therapeutics Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 3:17-cv-00182-BTM-RBB (D. Mass. Oct. 26, 2017)
- *Murphy III v. JBS S.A.*, 1:17-cv-03084-ILG-RER (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 10, 2017)
- *Goldsmith v. Weibo Corporation*, 2:17-cv-04728-SRC-CLW (D.N.J. Sept. 28, 2017)

- *In re U.S. Steel Consolidated Cases*, Civil Action No. 17-559 CB (W.D. Pa. Aug. 16, 2017)
- *Hinshaw v. Neurotrope, Inc.*, 1:17-cv-0371 LGS (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 10, 2017)
- *Ohren v. Amyris, Inc.*, 3:17 cv-002210-WHO (N.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2017)
- *Beezley v. Fenix Parts, Inc.*, 2:17-cv-00233 (D.N.J. June 28, 2017)
- *M & M Hart Living Trust v. Global Eagle Entertainment, Inc.*, 2:17-cv-01479 C.D. Cal. June 26, 2017)
- *Maurer v. Argos Therapeutics, Inc.*, 1:17-cv-00216 (M.D.N.C. June 23, 2017)
- *Ruedelstei v. U.S. Concrete, Inc.*, 4:17-cv-266 (N.D. Tex. June 22, 2017)
- *In re Aratana Therapeutics, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 1:17-cv-880 S.D.N.Y. June 6, 2017)
- *In re Insys Therapeutics, Inc.*, 1:17-cv-1954 (S.D.N.Y. May 31, 2017)
- *Clevlen v. Anthera Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 3:17-cv-00715 (N.D. Cal. May 18, 2017)
- *In re Agile Therapeutics, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 3:17-cv-00119-AET-LHG (D.N.J. May 15, 2017)
- *Roper v. SITO Mobile Ltd.*, 2:17-cv-01110 ES-MAH (D.N.J. May 8, 2017)
- *In re Illumina, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 3:16-cv-03044-L-KSC (S.D. Cal. Mar. 30, 2017)
- *Michael Gregory v ProNAi*, 1:16-cv-08703-PAE (Mass. Sup. Ct. Feb. 1, 2017)
- *In re PTC Therapeutics, Inc.*, 2:16-cv-01224-KM-MAH (D.N.J. Nov. 14, 2016)
- *Wilbush v. Ambac Financial Group, Inc.*, Civ. No. 1:16-cv-0507 RMB (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 11, 2016)
- *The TransEnterix Investor Group v. TransEnterix, Inc.*, 5:16-cv-00313-D (E.D.N.C. Aug. 30, 2016)
- *Gormley v. magicJack VocalTec Ltd.*, 1:16-cv-0186 VM (S.D.N.Y. July 12, 2016)
- *Azar v. Blount Int'l Inc.*, Civ. No. 3:1 cv-00483-SI (D. Or. July 1, 2016)
- *Plumley v. Sempra Energy*, 3:1 cv-00512-BEN-RBB (S.D. Cal. June 6, 2016)
- *Francisco v. Abengoa, S.A.*, 1:15-cv-06279-ER (S.D.N.Y. May 24, 2016)
- *Harrington v. Tetrphase Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, Civ. No. 1:16-cv-10133-LTS (D. Mass. May 13, 2016)
- *De Vito v. Liquid Holdings Group, Inc.*, 2:15-cv-06969-KM-JBC (D.N.J. Apr. 7, 2016)
- *In re OvaScience Inc. Stockholder Litig.*, C.A. No. 15-3087 BLS2 (Mass. Super. Ct. Apr. 2, 2016)
- *Ford v. Natural Health Trends Corp.*, 2:16-cv-00255-TJH-AFM (C.D. Cal. Mar. 29, 2016)
- *Levin v. Resource Capital Corp.*, 1:15-cv-07081-LLS (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 24, 2015)
- *Martin v. Altisource Residential Corp.*, 1:15-cv-00024 (D.V.I. Oct. 7, 2015)
- *Paggos v. Resonant, Inc.*, 2:1 cv-01970 SJO (VBKx) (C.D. Cal. Aug. 7, 2015)
- *Fragala v. 500.com Ltd.*, 2:15-cv-01463-MMM (C.D. Cal. July 7, 2015)
- *Stevens v. Quiksilver Inc.*, 8:15-cv-00516-JVS-JCGx. (C.D. Cal. June 26, 2015)
- *In re Ocean Power Technologies, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 14-3799 FLW) (LHG) (D.N.J. Mar. 17, 2015)
- *In re Energy Recovery Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 3:15-cv-00265 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 20, 2015)
- *Klein v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corp.*, 3:14-cv-05738 (D. Neb. Dec. 2, 2014)
- *In re China Commercial Credit Sec. Litig.*, 1:15-cv-00557 (ALC) (D.N.J. Oct. 31, 2014)
- *In re Violin Memory, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 4:13-cv-05486-YGR (N.D. Cal. Feb. 26, 2014)
- *Berry v. Kior, Inc.*, 4:13-cv-02443 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 25, 2013)
- *In re OCZ Technology Group, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 3:12-cv-05265-RS (N.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2013)
- *In re Digital Domain Media Group, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 12-CIV-14333 (JEM) (S.D. Fla. Sept. 20, 2012)

Derivative, Corporate Governance & Executive Compensation

We protect shareholders by enforcing the obligations of corporate fiduciaries. We are a leader in achieving important corporate governance reforms for the benefit of shareholders. Our efforts include the prosecution of derivative actions in courts around the country, making pre-litigation demands on corporate boards to investigate misconduct and taking remedial action for the benefit of shareholders. In situations where a company's board responds to a demand by commencing its own investigation, we frequently work with the board's counsel to assist with and monitor the investigation, ensuring that the investigation is thorough and conducted in an appropriate manner.

We also have successfully prosecuted derivative and class action cases to hold corporate executives and board members accountable for various abuses and to help preserve corporate assets through long-lasting and meaningful corporate governance changes, thus ensuring that prior misconduct does not reoccur. We have extensive experience challenging executive compensation, recapturing assets for the benefit of companies and their shareholders. In addition, we have secured corporate governance changes to ensure that executive compensation is consistent with shareholder-approved compensation plans, company performance, and federal securities laws.

In ***In re Google Inc. Class C Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 746 CS (Del. Ch.), we challenged a stock recapitalization transaction to create a new class of nonvoting shares and strengthen the corporate control of the Google founders. We helped achieve an agreement that provided an adjustment payment to existing shareholders harmed by the transaction as well as providing enhanced board scrutiny of the Google founders' ability to transfer stock. Ultimately, Google's shareholders received payments of \$522 million and total net benefits estimated as exceeding \$3 billion.

In ***In re Activision, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation***, No. 06-cv-04771-MRP (JTLX) (C.D. Cal.), we were Co-Lead Counsel and challenged executive compensation related to the dating of options. This effort resulted in the recovery of more than \$24 million in excessive compensation and expenses, as well as the implementation of substantial corporate governance changes.

In ***Pfeiffer v. Toll*** (Toll Brothers Derivative Litigation), C.A. No. 4140-VCL (Del. Ch.), we prevailed in defeating defendants' motion to dismiss in a case seeking disgorgement of profits that company insiders reaped through a pattern of insider-trading. After extensive discovery, we secured a settlement returning \$16.25 million in cash to the company, including a significant contribution from the individuals who traded on inside information.

In ***Rux v. Meyer***, C.A. No. 11577-CB (Del. Ch.), we challenged the re-purchase by Sirius XM of its stock from its controlling stockholder, Liberty Media, at an inflated, above-market price. After defeating a motion to dismiss and discovery, we obtained a settlement where SiriusXM recovered \$8.25 million, a substantial percentage of its over-payment.

In ***In re EZCorp Inc. Consulting Agreement Derivative Litig.***, C.A. 996 VCL, (Del. Ch.), we challenged lucrative consulting agreements between EZCorp and its controlling stockholders. After surviving multiple motions to dismiss, we obtained a settlement where EZCorp was repaid \$6.5 million it had paid in consulting fees, or approximately 33% of the total at issue and the consulting agreements were discontinued.

In ***Scherer v. Lu***, (Diodes Incorporated), No. 13-358-GMS, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 196440 (D. Del.), we secured the cancellation of \$4.9 million worth of stock options granted to the company's CEO in violation of a shareholder-approved plan, and obtained additional disclosures to enable shareholders to cast a fully-informed vote on the adoption of a new compensation plan at the company's annual meeting.

In **MacCormack v. Groupon, Inc.**, C.A. No. 13-940-GMS (D. Del.), we caused the cancellation of \$2.3 million worth of restricted stock units granted to a company executive in violation of a shareholder-approved plan, as well as the adoption of enhanced corporate governance procedures designed to ensure that the board of directors complies with the terms of the plan; we also obtained additional material disclosures to shareholders in connection with a shareholder vote on amendments to the plan.

In **Edwards v. Benson**, (Headwaters Incorporated), (D. Utah), we caused the cancellation of \$3.2 million worth of stock appreciation rights granted to the company's CEO in violation of a shareholder-approved plan and the adoption of enhanced corporate governance procedures designed to ensure that the board of directors complies with the terms of the plan.

In **Pfeiffer v. Begley**, (DeVry, Inc.), (Cir. Ct. DuPage Cty., Ill.), we secured the cancellation of \$2.1 million worth of stock options granted to the company's CEO in 2008-2012 in violation of a shareholder-approved incentive plan.

In **Basch v. Healy** (D. Del.), we obtained a cash payment to the company to compensate for equity awards issued to officers in violation of the company's compensation plan and caused significant changes in the company's compensation policies and procedures designed to ensure that future compensation decisions are made consistent with the company's plans, charters and policies. We also impacted the board's creation of a new compensation plan and obtained additional disclosures to stockholders concerning the board's administration of the company's plan and the excess compensation.

In **Kleba v. Dees**, C.A. 3-1-13 (Tenn. Cir. Ct. Knox Cty.), we recovered approximately \$9 million in excess compensation given to insiders and the cancellation of millions of shares of stock options issued in violation of a shareholder-approved compensation plan. In addition, we obtained the adoption of formal corporate governance procedures designed to ensure that future compensation decisions are made independently and consistent with the plan.

In **Lopez v. Nudelman**, (CTI BioPharma Corp.), 14-2-18941-9 SEA (Wash. Super. Ct. King Cnty.), we recovered approximately \$3.5 million in excess compensation given to directors and obtained the adoption of a cap on director compensation, as well as other formal corporate governance procedures designed to implement best practices with regard to director and executive compensation.

In **In re i2 Technologies, Inc. Shareholder Litigation**, C.A. No. 4003-CC (Del. Ch.), as Counsel for the Lead Plaintiff, we challenged the fairness of certain asset sales made by the company and secured a \$4 million recovery.

In **In re Corinthian Colleges, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation**, 8:06cv777-AHS (C.D. Cal.), we were Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a \$2 million benefit for the company, resulting in the re-pricing of executive stock options and the establishment of extensive corporate governance changes.

In **Pfeiffer v. Alpert (Beazer Homes Derivative Litigation)**, C.A. No. 10-cv-1063-PD (D. Del.), we successfully challenged certain aspects of the company's executive compensation structure, ultimately forcing the company to improve its compensation practices.

In **In re Cincinnati Bell, Inc., Derivative Litigation**, Case No. A1105305 (Ohio, Hamilton Cty.), we achieved significant corporate governance changes and enhancements related to the company's compensation policies and practices in order to better align executive compensation with company performance. Reforms included the formation of an entirely independent compensation committee with staggered terms and term limits for service.

In **Woodford v. Mizel (M.D.C. Holdings, Inc.)**, 1:2011cv00879 (D. Del.), we challenged excessive executive compensation, ultimately obtaining millions of dollars in reductions of that compensation, as well as corporate governance enhancements designed to implement best practices with regard to executive compensation and increased shareholder input.

Mergers & Acquisitions

We have achieved an impressive record in obtaining injunctive relief for shareholders and are one of the premier law firms engaged in mergers & acquisitions and takeover litigation, where we strive to maximize shareholder value. In these cases, we regularly fight to obtain settlements that enable the submission of competing buyout bid proposals, thereby increasing consideration for shareholders.

We have litigated landmark cases that have altered the landscape of mergers & acquisitions law and resulted in multi-million dollar awards to aggrieved shareholders.

In ***In re CNX Gas Corp. Shareholder Litigation***, 4 A.3d 397 (Del. Ch. 2010), as Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Counsel, we obtained a landmark ruling from the Delaware Chancery Court that set forth a unified standard for assessing the rights of shareholders in the context of freeze-out transactions and ultimately led to a common fund recovery of over \$42.7 million for the company's shareholders.

In ***Chen v. Howard-Anderson***, C.A. No 5878-VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), we represented shareholders in challenging the merger between Occam Networks, Inc. and Calix, Inc., obtaining a preliminary injunction against the merger after showing that the proxy statement by which the shareholders were solicited to vote for the merger was materially false and misleading. Post-closing, we took the case to trial and recovered an additional \$35 million for the shareholders.

In ***In re Sauer-Danfoss Stockholder Litig.***, C.A. No. 8396 (Del. Ch.), as one of plaintiffs' co-lead counsel, we recovered a \$10 million common fund settlement in connection with a controlling stockholder merger transaction.

In ***In re Yongye International, Inc. Shareholders' Litigation***, Consolidated Case No.: A-12-670468 B (District Court, Clark County, Nevada), as one of plaintiffs' co-lead counsel, we recovered a \$6 million common fund settlement in connection with a management-led buyout of minority stockholders in a China-based company incorporated under Nevada law.

In ***In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 7328-VCN (Del. Ch. 2012), we achieved tremendous results for shareholders, including partial responsibility for a \$93 million (57%) increase in merger consideration and the waiver of several "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreements that were restricting certain potential bidders from making a topping bid for the company.

In ***In re Talecris Biotherapeutics Holdings Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 5614-VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), we served as counsel for one of the Lead Plaintiffs, achieving a settlement that increased the merger consideration to Talecris shareholders by an additional 500,000 shares of the acquiring company's stock and providing shareholders with appraisal rights.

In ***In re Minerva Group LP v. Mod-Pac Corp.***, Index No. 800621/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Erie Cty. 2013), we obtained a settlement in which defendants increased the price of an insider buyout from \$8.40 to \$9.25 per share, representing a recovery of \$2.4 million for shareholders.

In ***Stephen J. Dannis v. J.D. Nichols***, C.A. No. 13-CI-00452 (Ky. Cir. Ct. Jefferson Cty. 2014), as Co-Lead Counsel, we obtained a 23% increase in the merger consideration (from \$7.50 to \$9.25 per unit) for shareholders of NTS Realty Holdings Limited Partnership. The total benefit of \$7.4 million was achieved after two years of hard-fought litigation, challenging the fairness of the going-private, squeeze-out merger by NTS's controlling unitholder and Chairman, Defendant Jack Nichols. The unitholders bringing the action alleged that Nichols' proposed transaction grossly undervalued NTS's units. The 23% increase in consideration was a remarkable result given that on October 18, 2013, the Special Committee appointed by the Board of Directors had terminated the existing merger agreement with Nichols. Through counsel's tenacious efforts the transaction was resurrected and improved.

In ***Dias v. Purches***, C.A. No. 7199-VCG (Del. Ch. 2012), Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock, III of the Delaware Chancery Court partially granted shareholders' motion for preliminary injunction and ordered that defendants correct a material misrepresentation in the proxy statement related to the acquisition of Parlux Fragrances, Inc. by Perfumania Holding, Inc.

In ***Forgo v. Health Grades, Inc.***, C.A. No. 5716-VCS (Del. Ch. 2010), as Co-Lead Counsel, our attorneys established that defendants had likely breached their fiduciary duties to Health Grades' shareholders by failing to maximize value as required under *Revlon, Inc. v. MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc.*, 506 A.2d 173 (Del. 1986). We secured an agreement with defendants to take numerous steps to seek a superior offer for the company, including making key modifications to the merger agreement, creating an independent committee to evaluate potential offers, extending the tender offer period, and issuing a "Fort Howard" release affirmatively stating that the company would participate in good faith discussions with any party making a bona fide acquisition proposal.

In ***In re Pamrapo Bancorp Shareholder Litigation***, Docket C-89-09 (N.J. Ch. Hudson Cty. 2011) & UD-L-3608-12 (N.J. Law Div. Hudson Cty. 2015), we defeated defendants' motion to dismiss shareholders' class action claims for money damages arising from the sale of Pamrapo Bancorp to BCB Bancorp at an allegedly unfair price through an unfair process. We then survived a motion for summary judgment, ultimately securing a settlement recovering \$1.95 million for the Class plus the Class's legal fees and expenses up to \$1 million (representing an increase in consideration of 15-23% for the members of the Class). The case.

In ***In re Complete Genomics, Inc. Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 788 VCL (Del. Ch. 2012), we obtained preliminary injunctions of corporate merger and acquisition transactions, and Plaintiffs successfully enjoined a "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreement.

In ***In re Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc. Stockholder Litigation***, Lead Case No. 115CV279142 (Super. Ct. Santa Clara, CA 2015), we won an injunction requiring corrective disclosures concerning "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreements and certain financial advisor conflicts of interests, and contributed to the integrity of a post-agreement bidding contest that led to an increase in consideration from \$19.25 to \$23 per share, a bump of almost 25 percent.

In ***In re Bluegreen Corp. Shareholder Litigation***, Case No. 502011CA018111 (Cir. Ct. for Palm Beach Cty., FL), as Co-Lead Counsel, we achieved a common fund recovery of \$36.5 million for minority shareholders in connection with a management-led buyout, increasing gross consideration to shareholders in connection with the transaction by 25% after three years of intense litigation.

Consumer Litigation

Levi & Korsinsky works hard to protect consumers by holding corporations accountable for defective products, false and misleading advertising, unfair or deceptive business practices, antitrust violations, and privacy right violations.

Our litigation and class action expertise combined with our in-depth understanding of federal and state laws enable us to fight for consumers who have been aggrieved by deceptive and unfair business practices and who purchased defective products, including automobiles, appliances, electronic goods, and other consumer products. The Firm also represents consumers in cases involving data breaches and privacy right violations. The Firm's attorneys have received a number of leadership appointments in consumer class action cases, including multidistrict litigation ("MDL"). Recently, Law.com identified the Firm as one of the top firms with MDL leadership appointments in the article titled, "There Are New Faces Leading MDLs. And They Aren't All Men" (July 6, 2020). Representative settled and ongoing cases include:

In *NV Security, Inc. v. Fluke Networks*, Case No. CV05-4217 GW (SSx) (C.D. Cal. 2005), we negotiated a settlement on behalf of purchasers of Test Set telephones in an action alleging that the Test Sets contained a defective 3 volt battery. We benefited the consumer class by obtaining the following relief: free repair of the 3 volt battery, reimbursement for certain prior repair, an advisory concerning the 3-volt battery on the outside of packages of new Test Sets, an agreement that defendants would cease to market and/or sell certain Test Sets, and a 42-month warranty on the 3-volt battery contained in certain devices sold in the future.

In Re: *Apple Inc. Device Performance Litig.*, Case No. 5:18-md-02827-EJD (N.D. Cal.): Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Counsel in proposed nationwide class action alleging that Apple purposefully throttled iPhone; Apple has agreed to pay up to \$500 million in cash (proposed settlement pending).

In Re: *Intel Corp. CPU Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litig.*, Case No. 3:18-md-02828 (D. Or.): Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel in proposed nationwide class action alleging that Intel manufactured and sold defective central processing units that allowed unauthorized access to consumer stored confidential information.

In Re: *ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units Products Liability Litig.*, Case No. 2:19-ml-02905-JAK-FFM (C.D. Cal.): Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Counsel in proposed nationwide class action alleging that defendant auto manufacturers sold vehicles with defective airbags.

In Re: *EpiPen (Epinephrine Injection, USP) Marketing, Sales Practices and Antitrust Litig.*, Case No. 17-md-02785 (D. Kan.): Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Counsel in action alleging that Mylan and Pfizer violated antitrust laws and committed other violations relating to the sale of EpiPens. Nationwide class and multi-state classes certified.

Sung, et al. v. Schurman Retail Group, Case No. 17-cv-02760-LB (N.D. Cal.): Co-Lead Class Counsel in nationwide class action alleging unauthorized disclosure of employee financial information; obtained final approval of nationwide class action settlement providing credit monitoring and identity theft restoration services through 2022 and cash payments of up to \$400.

Scott, et al. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Case No. 1:17-cv-00249 (D.D.C.): Co-Lead Class Counsel in nationwide class action settlement of claims alleging improper fees deducted from payments awarded to jurors; 100% direct refund of improper fees collected.

In Re: *Citrix Data Breach Litig.*, Case No. 19-cv-6135 RKA (S.D. Fla.): Interim Class Counsel in action alleging company failed to implement reasonable security measures to protect employee financial information; common fund settlement of \$2.25 million pending.

NV Security, Inc. v. Fluke Networks, Case No. CV05-4217 GW (SSx) (C.D. Cal. 2005): Settlement on behalf of purchasers of Test Set telephones in an action alleging that the Test Sets contained a defective 3-volt battery; benefits included free repair of the 3-volt battery, reimbursement for certain prior repair, an advisory concerning the 3-volt battery on the outside of packages of new Test Sets, an agreement that defendants would cease to market and/or sell certain Test Sets, and a 42 month warranty on the 3-volt battery contained in certain devices sold in the future.

Bustos v. Vonage America, Inc., Case No. 06 Civ. 2308 (HAA) (D.N.J. 2006): Common fund settlement of \$1.75 million on behalf of class members who purchased Vonage Fax Service in an action alleging that Vonage made false and misleading statements in the marketing, advertising, and sale of Vonage Fax Service by failing to inform consumers that the protocol defendant used for the Vonage Fax Service was unreliable and unsuitable for facsimile communications.

Masterson v. Canon U.S.A., Case No. BC340740 (Cal. Super. Ct. L.A. Cty. 2006): Settlement providing refunds to Cannon SD camera purchasers for certain broken LCD repair charges and important changes to the product warranty.

“The quality of the representation... has been extremely high, not just in terms of the favorable outcome in terms of the substance of the settlement, but in terms of the diligence and the hard work that has gone into producing that outcome.”

The Honorable Joseph F. Bianco, in *Landes v. Sony Mobile Communications*,
17-cv-02264-JFB-SIL (E.D.N.Y. Dec. 1, 2017)

OUR ATTORNEYS

Managing Partners

Eduard Korsinsky

For more than 20 years Eduard Korsinsky has represented clients in securities cases, derivative actions, consumer fraud, and complex commercial matters. He has been named a New York "Super Lawyer" by Thomson Reuters and is recognized as one of the country's leading practitioners in class and derivative matters. Mr. Korsinsky also has served as an editor of the American Bar Association's Securities Litigation Section's newsletter and is a member of the American Bar Association's Derivative Suits Subcommittee.

Cases which he has litigated include:

- ***E-Trade Financial Corp. Sec. Litig.***, No. 07-cv-8538 S.D.N.Y. 2007), \$79 million recovery
- ***In re Activision, Inc. S'holder Derivative Litig.***, No. 06-cv-04771-MRP (JTLX)(C.D. Cal. 2006), recovered \$24 million in excess compensation
- ***Corinthian Colleges, Inc., S'holder Derivative Litig.***, SACV-06-0777-AHS (C.D. Cal. 2009), obtained re-pricing of executive stock options providing more than \$2 million in benefits to the company
- ***Pfeiffer v. Toll***, C.A. No. 4140-VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), \$16.25 million in insider trading profits recovered
- ***In re Net2Phone, Inc. S holder Litig.***, Case No. 1467-N (Del. Ch. 2005), obtained increase in tender offer price from \$1.70 per share to \$2.05 per share
- ***In re Pamrapo Bancorp S'holder Litig.***, C-89-09 (N.J. Ch. Hudson Cty. 2011) & UD-L-3608-12 (N.J. Law Div. Hudson Cty. 2015), obtained supplemental disclosures following the filing of a motion for preliminary injunction, pursued case post-closing, defeated motion for summary judgment, and obtained an increase in consideration of between 15-23% for the members of the Class
- ***In re Google Inc. Class C S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. 19786 (Del. Ch. 2012), obtained payment ladder indemnifying investors up to \$8 billion in losses stemming from trading discounts expected to affect the new stock
- ***Woodford v. M.D.C. Holdings, Inc.***, 1:2011cv00879 (D. Del. 2012), one of a few successful challenges to say on pay voting, recovered millions of dollars in reductions to compensation
- ***i2 Technologies, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. 4003-CC (Del. Ch. 2008), \$4 million recovered, challenging fairness of certain asset sales made by the company
- ***Pfeiffer v. Alpert (Beazer Homes)***, C.A. No. 10-cv-1063-PD (D. Del. 2011), obtained substantial revisions to an unlawful executive compensation structure
- ***In re NCS Healthcare, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, C.A. CA 19786, (Del. Ch. 2002), case settled for approximately \$100 million
- ***Paraschos v. YBM Magnex Int'l, Inc.***, No. 98-CV-6444 (E.D. Pa.), United States and Canadian cases settled for \$85 million Canadian

Education

- New York University School of Law, LL.M. Master of Law(s) Taxation (1997)
- Brooklyn Law School, J.D. (1995)
- Brooklyn College, B.S., Accounting, *summa cum laude* (1992)

Admissions

- New York (1996)
- New Jersey (1996)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (1998)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (1998)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2006)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2010)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of New York (2011)
- United States District Court of New Jersey (2012)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit (2013)

Publications

- Delaware Court Dismisses Compensation Case Against Goldman Sachs, ABA Section of Securities Litigation News & Developments (Nov. 7, 2011)
- SDNY Questions SEC Settlement Practices in Citigroup Settlement, ABA Section of Securities Litigation News & Developments (Nov. 7, 2011)
- New York Court Dismisses Shareholder Suit Against Goldman Sachs, ABA Section of Securities Litigation News & Developments (Oct. 31, 2011)

Joseph E. Levi

Joseph E. Levi is a central figure in shaping and managing the Firm's securities litigation practice. Mr. Levi has been lead or co-lead in dozens of cases involving the enforcement of shareholder rights in the context of mergers & acquisitions and securities fraud. In addition to his involvement in class action litigation, he has represented numerous patent holders in enforcing their patent rights in areas including computer hardware, software, communications, and information processing, and has been instrumental in obtaining substantial awards and settlements.

Mr. Levi and the attorneys achieved success on behalf of the former shareholders of Occam Networks, Inc. in litigation challenging the Company's merger with Calix, Inc., obtaining a preliminary injunction against the merger due to material representations and omissions in the proxy statement by which the shareholders were solicited to vote. See **Chen v. Howard-Anderson**, No. 587 VCL (Del. Ch. Jan. 24, 2011). Vigorous litigation efforts continued to trial, recovering \$35 million for the shareholders.

Another victory for Mr. Levi and the attorneys was in litigation challenging the acquisition of Health Grades, Inc. by affiliates of Vestar Capital Partners, L.P., where it was successfully demonstrated to the Delaware Court of Chancery that the defendants had likely breached their fiduciary duties to Health Grades' shareholders by failing to maximize value as required by **Revlon, Inc. v. MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc.**, 506 A.2d 173 (Del. 1986). See **Weigard v. Hicks**, No. 5732-VCS (Del. Ch. Sept. 3, 2010). This ruling was used to reach a favorable settlement in which defendants agreed to a host of measures designed to

increase the likelihood of superior bid. Vice Chancellor Strine “applaud[ed]” the litigation team for their preparation and the extraordinary high-quality of the briefing. He and the attorneys also played a prominent role in the matter of ***In re CNX Gas Corp. Shareholders Litigation***, C.A. No. 537 VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), in which plaintiffs recovered a common fund of over \$42.7 million for stockholders.

Education

- Brooklyn Law School, J.D., *magna cum laude* (1995)
- Polytechnic University, B.S., *summa cum laude* (1984); M.S. (1986)

Admissions

- New York (1996)
- New Jersey (1996)
- United States Patent and Trademark Office (1997)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (1997)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (1997)

“[The court] appreciated very much the quality of the argument..., the obvious preparation that went into it, and the ability of counsel...”

Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock, III in *Dias v. Purches*, C.A. No. 7199-VCG (Del. Ch. Apr. 5, 2012)

Partners

Nicholas I. Porritt

Nicholas Porritt prosecutes securities class actions, shareholder class actions, derivative actions, and mergers and acquisitions litigation. He has extensive experience representing plaintiffs and defendants in a wide variety of complex commercial litigation, including civil fraud, breach of contract, and professional malpractice, as well as defending SEC investigations and enforcement actions. Mr. Porritt has helped recover hundreds of millions of dollars on behalf of shareholders. He was one of the Lead Counsel in ***In re Google Inc. Class C Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 7469-CS (Del. Ch.) that resulted in a payment of \$522 million to shareholders and overall benefit of over \$3 billion to Google's minority shareholders. He was one of the lead counsel in ***Chen v. Howard-Anderson***, No. 5878-VCL (Del. Ch.) that settled during trial resulting in a \$35 million payment to the former shareholders of Occam Networks, Inc., one of the largest quasi-appraisal recoveries for shareholders. Amongst other cases, he is currently lead counsel in ***In re Tesla, Inc. Securities Litigation***, No. 3:18-cv-0486 EMC (N.D. Cal.), representing Tesla investors who were harmed by Elon Musk's "funding secured" tweet from August 7, 2018 as well as lead counsel in ***Ford v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corp.***, No. 14-cv-396 (D. Neb.), representing TD Ameritrade customers harmed by its improper routing of their orders. Both cases involve over \$1 billion in estimated damages.

Some of Mr. Porritt's recent cases include:

- ***In re Bridgestone Inv. Corp.***, 789 Fed. App'x 13 (9th Cir. 2019)
- ***Zaghian v. Farrell***, 675 Fed. Appx. 718, (9th Cir. 2017)
- ***SEC v. Cuban***, 620 F.3d 551 (5th Cir. 2010)
- ***Cozzarelli v. Inspire Pharmaceuticals, Inc.***, 549 F.3d 618 (4th Cir. 2008)
- ***Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana v. Hunter***, 477 F.3d 162 (4th Cir. 2007)
- ***In re Tesla, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, 2020 WL 1873441 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 15, 2020)
- ***In re Navient Corp. Sec. Litig.***, 2019 WL 7288881 (D.N.J. Dec. 30, 2019)
- ***In re Clovis Oncology, Inc. Deriv. Litig.***, 2019 WL 4850188 (Del. Ch. Oct. 1, 2019)
- ***Martin v. Altisource Residential Corp.***, 2019 WL 2762923 (D.V.I. July 2, 2019)
- ***Klein v. D Ameritrade Holding Corp.***, 327 F.R.D. 283 (D. Neb. 2018)
- ***Beezley v. Fenix Parts, Inc.***, 2018 WL 3454490 (N.D. Ill. July 13, 2018)
- ***In re PTC Therapeutics Sec. Litig.***, 2017 WL 3705801 (D.N.J. Aug. 28, 2017)
- ***Gormley v. magicJack VocalTec Ltd.***, 220 F. Supp. 3d 510 (S.D.N.Y. 2016)
- ***Carlton v. Cannon***, 184 F. Supp. 3d 428 (S.D. Tex. 2016)
- ***Zola v. TD Ameritrade, Inc.***, 172 F. Supp. 3d 1055 (D. Neb. 2016)
- ***In re Energy Recovery Sec. Litig.***, 2016 WL 324150 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 27, 2016)
- ***In re EZCorp Inc. Consulting Agreement Deriv. Litig.***, 2016 WL 301245 (Del. Ch. Jan. 25, 2016)
- ***In re Violin Memory Sec. Litig.***, 2014 WL 5525946 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 31, 2014)
- ***Garnitschnig v. Horovitz***, 48 F. Supp. 3d 820 (D. Md. 2014)

Mr. Porritt was selected by Lawdragon as one of the 500 leading plaintiff lawyers in financial litigation and was selected to the 2020 DC Super Lawyers list published by Thomson Reuters.

Mr. Porritt speaks frequently on current topics relating to securities laws and derivative actions, including presentations on behalf of the Council for Institutional Investors, Nasdaq, and the Practising Law Institute. He currently serves as co-chair of the American Bar Association Sub-Committee on Derivative Actions.

Before joining the Firm, Mr. Porritt practiced as a partner at Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP and prior to that was a partner at Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati PC. Mr. Porritt formerly practiced as a Barrister and Solicitor in Wellington, New Zealand and is a Solicitor of the Senior Courts of England & Wales.

Education

- University of Chicago Law School, J.D., With Honors (1996)
- University of Chicago Law School, LL.M. (1993)
- Victoria University of Wellington, LL.B. (Hons.), With First Class Honors, Senior Scholarship (1990)

Admissions

- New York (1997)
- District of Columbia (1998)
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia (1999)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (2006)
- United States Supreme Court (2006)
- United States District Court for the District of Maryland (2007)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2012)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2014)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2015)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2015)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit (2016)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit (2017)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit (2019)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2019)

Publications

- "Current Trends in Securities Litigation: How Companies and Counsel Should Respond," *Inside the Minds Recent Developments in Securities Law* (Aspatore Press 2010)

Rosemary M. Rivas

The Firm's Consumer Litigation Group is led by Rosemary M. Rivas, who manages the Firm's San Francisco office. She has dedicated her legal career to representing consumers in complex, class action litigation in various areas including defective products and automobiles, data breach and privacy rights, false and misleading advertising, and unfair business practices, among others. Ms. Rivas has been influential in recovering millions of dollars and changes to corporate practices on behalf of consumers. In a highly competitive application process, Judge Charles R. Breyer appointed Ms. Rivas to the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in ***In re: Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" MDL***, Case No. 15-MDL-2672-CRB (JSC), which resulted in unprecedented settlements exceeding \$15 billion dollars.

Currently, Ms. Rivas is Co-Lead Counsel in the action titled ***Intel Corp. CPU Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litig.***, Case No. 3:1 md-02828-SI, involving allegations that Intel sold CPUs that were

defective and allowed unauthorized access to confidential information. Ms. Rivas is also currently a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in the action titled ***In re: EpiPen (Epinephrine Injection, USP) Marketing, Sales Practices and Antitrust Litig.***, Case No. 2:1 md-02785 (D. Kan.) involving unlawful monopoly claims in the market for epinephrine injection pens.

Ms. Rivas' work has resulted in important monetary and injunctive settlements in a number of class action cases, such as:

- ***Sung v. Schuman Fine Papers***, Case No. 17 cv-02760 (N.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action settlement of claims for unauthorized disclosure of W2s; eligible class members could recover up to \$500 and implementation of training and changes to practices for the protection of employee personal and financial information
- ***Scott v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.***, Case No. 1:17-cv-00249 (D.D.C.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action settlement of claims alleging improper fees to payments awarded to jurors; 100% direct refund of improper fees collected
- ***Lilly v. ConAgra Foods***, 743 F.3d 662 (9th Cir. 2014) (Class Counsel): claims that food manufacturer violated food regulations by failing to list total sodium on salt of sunflower seeds product were not preempted by federal law; class action injunctive relief settlement for change in product labels
- ***Petersen v. CJ America, Inc.***, Case No. 3:14-cv-02570 (S.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action involving false advertising claims; \$1.5 million common fund and changes to product labeling
- ***Lilly v. Jamba Juice***, Case No. 13 cv-02998 (N.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): class action injunctive relief settlement; change in product labels
- ***In re Carrier IQ, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litig.***, Case No. 3:12-md-02330 (N.D. Cal.) (Executive Committee): nationwide class action settlement involving data privacy; \$9 million settlement and changes to corporate practices
- ***Pappas v. Naked Juice***, Case No. 2:11-cv-08276 (C.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action settlement for \$9 million and changes to the company's testing procedures and product labels
- ***Garcia v. Allergan, Inc.***, Case No. 09 cv-7088 PSG (C.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action settlement of false advertising and unfair business practice claims; \$7.75 million settlement and changes to the company's training procedures
- ***Rodriguez v. West Publishing Corp.***, 563 F.3d 948 (9th Cir. 2009): nationwide class action settlement of antitrust claims in bar review market; \$49 million and dissolution of allegedly illegal market allocation agreement
- ***Lima v. Gateway***, Case No. SACV-09-1366 (C.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Class Counsel): nationwide class action involving defective monitor; \$195 cash refund for each monitor purchased

She has also been instrumental in obtaining favorable appellate decisions on behalf of consumers in the areas of false advertising, federal preemption, and arbitration, such as:

- ***Lilly v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.***, 743 F.3d 662 (9th Cir. 2014)
- ***In re Sony PS3 "Other OS" Litig.***, 551 Fed. App. 916 (9th Cir. 2014)

- **Probst v. Superior Court (Health Net of California)**, 2012 Cal. LEXIS 4476 (Ct. Appeal, 1st Dist., May 9, 2012)

Ms. Rivas is a recipient of the 2018 California Lawyer Attorney of the Year (CLAY) Award. The CLAY award was presented to her by the Daily Journal for her work in the Volkswagen litigation. The CLAY awards are given annually to outstanding California practitioners “whose extraordinary work and cases had a major impact on the law.”

In 2019 Ms. Rivas was selected as a Super Lawyer. From 2009-2011 Ms. Rivas was selected as a Rising Star by Law & Politics Magazine, which recognizes the best lawyers 40 years old or under or in practice for 10 years or less. In 2015, Bay Area Legal Aid presented her with the Guardian of Justice award, for her work achievements in the law and her role in helping direct cy près funds to ensure equal access to the civil justice system. As a recognized leader in consumer class actions, Ms. Rivas is regularly invited to speak at conferences concerning class action litigation, including the following:

- *Class Action Law Forum 2020 – Review of Key Class Action Decisions*, March 2020 (Western Alliance Bank in collaboration with University of San Diego School of Law)
- *Nationwide Settlement Classes – The Impact of the Hyundai/Kia Litigation*, 2018 (National Consumer Law Center’s Consumer Rights Litigation Conference and Class Action Symposium)
- *One Class Action Or 50? Choice of Law Considerations as Potential Impediment to Nationwide Class Action Settlements*, 2018 (5th Annual Western Regional CLE Program on Class Actions and Mass Torts)
- *The Right Approach to Effective Claims*, 2018 (Beard Group - Class Action Money & Ethics)
- *False Advertising Class Actions: A Practitioner’s Guide to Class Certification, Damages and Trial*, 2017 (The Bar Association of San Francisco)
- *Section 17200: The Fertility of Man’s Invention*, 2016 (The Bar Association of San Francisco)
- *Food Labeling and False Advertising Class Actions*, 2015 (The Bar Association of San Francisco)
- *Data Privacy Law 101: U.S. Data Privacy and Security Laws 2015* (The Bar Association of San Francisco)
- *Effective Consumer Privacy Enforcement*, 2011 (Berkeley Law and The Samuelson Law, Technology & Public Policy Clinic)
- *Class Actions: New Developments & Approaches for Strategic Response*, 2013 (American Bar Association)

Previously, Ms. Rivas served as a Board Member and Diversity Director of the Barristers Club of the San Francisco Bar Association. Ms. Rivas is fluent in Spanish.

Education

- University of California, Hastings College of Law, J.D. (2000)
- San Francisco State University, B.A., Political Science (1997)

Admissions

- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2001)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of California (2001)
- United States District Court for the Central District of California (2002)

- United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (2005)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of California (2005)

Donald J. Enright

During his 23 years as a litigator and trial lawyer, Mr. Enright has handled matters in the fields of securities, commodities, consumer fraud and commercial litigation, with a particular emphasis on shareholder M&A and securities fraud class action litigation. He has been named as one of the leading financial litigators in the nation by Lawdragon, as a Washington, DC "Super Lawyer" by Thomson Reuters, and as one of the city's "Top Lawyers" by *Washingtonian* magazine.

Mr. Enright has shown a track record of achieving victories in federal trials and appeals, including:

- ***Nathenson v. Zonagen, Inc.***, 267 F. 3d 400, 413 (5th Cir. 2001)
- ***SEC v. Butler***, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7194 (W.D. Pa. April 18, 2005)
- ***Belizan v. Hershon***, 434 F. 3d 579 (D.C. Cir. 2006)

Most recently, as Co-Lead Counsel in ***In re Bluegreen Corp. Shareholder Litigation***, Case No. 502011CA018111 (Cir. Ct. for Palm Beach Cnty., Fla.), Mr. Enright achieved a \$36.5 million common fund settlement in the wake of a majority shareholder buyout, representing a 25% increase in total consideration to the minority stockholders. Similarly, in ***In re CNX Gas Corp. Shareholders Litigation***, C.A. No. 53377-VCL (Del. Ch. 2010), in which Levi & Korsinsky served upon plaintiffs' Executive Committee, Mr. Enright helped obtain the recovery of a common fund of over \$42.7 million for stockholders.

Mr. Enright has also played a leadership role in numerous securities and shareholder class actions from inception to conclusion. Most recently, he has served as lead counsel in several cryptocurrency-related securities class actions. His leadership has produced multi-million-dollar recoveries in shareholder class actions involving such companies as:

- Allied Irish Banks PLC
- Iridium World Communications, Ltd.
- En Pointe Technologies, Inc.
- PriceSmart, Inc.
- Polk Audio, Inc.
- Meade Instruments Corp.
- Xicor, Inc.
- Streamlogic Corp.
- Interbank Funding Corp.
- Riggs National Corp.
- UTStarcom, Inc.
- Manugistics Group, Inc.

Mr. Enright also has a successful track record of obtaining injunctive relief in connection with shareholder M&A litigation, having won preliminary injunctions or other injunctive relief in the cases of:

- ***In re Portec Rail Products, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, G.D. 10-3547 Ct. Com. Pleas Pa. 2010)
- ***In re Craftmade International, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. 6950-VCL (Del. Ch. 2011)
- ***Dias v. Purches***, C.A. No. 7199-VCG (Del. Ch. 2012)
- ***In re Complete Genomics, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. 7888-VCL (Del. Ch. 2012)

- ***In re Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc. Stockholder Litig.***, Lead Case No. 115CV279142 (Sup. Ct. Santa Clara, CA 2015)

Mr. Enright has also demonstrated considerable success in obtaining deal price increases for shareholders in M&A litigation. As Co-Lead Counsel in the matter of ***In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 732 VCN (Del. Ch. 2012), Mr. Enright was partially responsible for a \$93 million (57%) increase in merger consideration and waiver of several "don't-ask-don't-waive" standstill agreements that were precluding certain potential bidders from making a topping bid for the company.

Similarly, Mr. Enright served as Co-Lead Counsel in the case of ***Berger v. Life Sciences Research, Inc.***, No. SOM-C-12006-09 NJ Sup. Ct. 2009), which caused a significant increase in the transaction price from \$7.50 to \$8.50 per share, representing additional consideration for shareholders of approximately \$11.5 million.

Mr. Enright also served as Co-Lead Counsel in ***Minerva Group, LP v. Keane***, Index No. 800621/2013 (NY Sup. Ct. of Erie Cnty.) and obtained a settlement in which Defendants increased the price of an insider buyout from \$8.40 to \$9.25 per share.

The courts have consistently recognized and praised the quality of Mr. Enright's work. In ***In re Interbank Funding Corp. Securities Litigation*** (D.D.C. 02-1490), Judge Bates of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia observed that Mr. Enright had "...skillfully, efficiently, and zealously represented the class, and... worked relentlessly throughout the course of the case."

Similarly, in ***Freeland v. Iridium World Communications***, LTD, (D.D.C. 99-1002), Judge Nanette Laughrey stated that Mr. Enright had done "an outstanding job" in connection with the recovery of \$43.1 million for the shareholder class.

And, in the matter of ***Osieczanek v. Thomas Properties Group***, C.A. No. 9029-VCG (Del. Ch. 2013), Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock of the Chancery Court of Delaware observed that "it's always a pleasure to have counsel [like Mr. Enright] who are articulate and exuberant in presenting their position," and that Mr. Enright's prosecution of a merger case was "wholesome" and served as "a model of . . . plaintiffs' litigation in the merger arena."

Education

- George Washington University School of Law, J.D. (1996), where he was a Member Editor of The George Washington University Journal of International Law and Economics from 1994 to 1996
- Drew University, B.A., Political Science and Economics, *cum laude* (1993)

Admissions

- Maryland (1996)
- New Jersey (1996)
- United States District Court for the District of Maryland (1997)
- United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (1997)
- District of Columbia (1999)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (1999)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (1999)
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia (1999)
- United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2005)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2006)

- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2017)

Publications

- "SEC Enforcement Actions and Investigations in Private and Public Offerings," Securities: Public and Private Offerings, Second Edition, West Publishing 2007
- "Dura Pharmaceuticals: Loss Causation Redefined or Merely Clarified?" J. Tax'n & Reg. Fin. Inst. September/October 2007, Page 5

Shannon L. Hopkins

Shannon L. Hopkins manages the Firm's Connecticut office. She was selected in 2013 as a New York "Super Lawyer" by Thomson Reuters. For more than a decade Ms. Hopkins has been prosecuting a wide range of complex class action matters in securities fraud, mergers and acquisitions, and consumer fraud litigation on behalf of individuals and large institutional clients. Ms. Hopkins has played a lead role in numerous shareholder securities fraud and merger and acquisition matters and has been involved in recovering multi-million dollar settlements on behalf of shareholders, including:

- ***In re Force Protection, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. A-11-651336 B (D. Nev. 2015), \$11 million shareholder recovery
- ***Craig Telke v. New Frontier Media, Inc.***, C.A. No. 1:12-cv-02941-JLK (D. Co. 2015), \$2.25 million shareholder recovery
- ***Shona Investments v. Callisto Pharmaceuticals, Inc.***, C.A. No. 652783/2012 (NY Sup. Ct. 2015), shareholder recovery of \$2.5 million and increase in exchange ratio from 0.1700 to 0.1799
- ***E-Trade Financial Corp. S'holder Litig.***, No. 07-cv-8538 (S.D.N.Y. 2007), \$79 million recovery for the shareholder class
- ***In re Cogent, Inc. S'holder Litig.***, C.A. No. 5780-VCP (Del. Ch. 2010), \$1.9 million shareholder recovery and corrective disclosures relating to the Merger
- ***In re CMS Energy Sec. Litig.***, Civil No. 02 CV 72004 (GCS) (E.D. Mich. Sept. 6, 2007), \$200 million recovery
- ***In re Sears, Roebuck and Co. Sec. Litig.***, No. 02-cv-07527 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 8, 2007), \$200 million recovery
- ***In re El Paso Electric Co. Sec. Litig.***, C.A. No. 3:03-cv-00004-DB (W.D. Tex. Sept. 15, 2005), \$10 million recovery
- ***In re Novastar Fin. Sec. Litig.***, 4:04-cv-00330-ODS (W.D. Mo. Apr. 14, 2009), \$7.25 million recovery

The quality of Ms. Hopkin's work has been noted by courts. In ***In re Health Grades, Inc. Shareholder Litigation***, C.A. No. 571 VCS (Del. Ch. 2010), where Ms. Hopkins was significantly involved with the briefing of the preliminary injunction motion, then Vice Chancellor Strine "applaud[ed]" Co-Lead Counsel for their preparation and the extraordinary high-quality of the briefing.

In addition to her legal practice, Ms. Hopkins is a Certified Public Accountant (1998 Massachusetts). Prior to becoming an attorney, Ms. Hopkins was a senior auditor with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, where she led audit engagements for large publicly held companies in a variety of industries.

Education

- Suffolk University Law School, J.D., *magna cum laude* (2003), where she served on the Journal for High Technology and as Vice Magister of the Phi Delta Phi International Honors Fraternity
- Bryant University, B.S.B.A., Accounting and Finance, *cum laude* (1995), where she was elected to the Beta Gamma Sigma Honor Society

Admissions

- Massachusetts (2003)
- United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (2004)
- New York (200)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit (2008)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2010)
- Connecticut (2013)

Publications

- "Cybercrime Convention: A Positive Beginning to a Long Road Ahead," 2 J. High Tech. L. 101 (2003)

In appointing the Firm Lead Counsel, the Honorable Gary Allen Feess noted our "significant prior experience in securities litigation and complex class actions."

Zaghian v. THQ, Inc., 2:12-cv-05227-GAF-JEM (C.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2012)

Gregory Mark Nespole

Gregory Mark Nespole is a Partner of the Firm, having been previously a member of the management committee of one of the oldest firms in New York, as well as chair of that firm's investor protection practice. He specializes in complex class actions, derivative actions, and transactional litigation representing institutional investors such as public and labor pension funds, labor health and welfare benefit funds, and private institutions. Prior to practicing law, Mr. Nespole was a strategist on an arbitrage desk and an associate in a major international investment bank where he worked on structuring private placements and conducting transactional due diligence.

For over twenty years, Mr. Nespole has played a lead role in numerous shareholder securities fraud and merger and acquisition matters and has been involved in recovering multi-million-dollar settlements on behalf of shareholders, including:

- Served as co-chair of a Madoff Related Litigation Task Force that recovered over several hundred million dollars for wronged investors;

- Obtained a \$90 million award on behalf of a publicly listed company against a global bank arising out of fraudulently marketed auction rated securities;
- Successfully obtained multi-million-dollar securities litigation recoveries and/or corporate governance reforms from Cablevision, JP Morgan, American Pharmaceutical Partners, Sepracor, and MBIA, among many others.

Mr. Nespole's peers have elected him a "Super Lawyer" in the class action field annually since 2009. He is active in his community as a youth sports coach.

Education

- Brooklyn Law School, J.D. (1993)
- Bates College, B.A. (1989)

Admissions

- New York (1994)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (1994)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (1994)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (1994)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit (1994)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (1994)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of New York (2018)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit (2019)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2020)

Daniel Tepper

Daniel Tepper is a Partner of the Firm with extensive experience in shareholder derivative suits, class actions and complex commercial litigation. Before he joined Levi & Korsinsky, Mr. Tepper was a partner in one of the oldest law firms in New York. He is an active member of the CPLR Committee of the New York State Bar Association and was an early member of its Electronic Discovery Committee. Mr. Tepper was selected as a New York "Super Lawyer" in 2016 – 201

Some of the notable matters where Mr. Tepper had a leading role include:

- ***Siegmund v. Bian***, Case No. 16-62506 (S.D. Fla.), achieving an estimated recovery of \$29.93 per share on behalf of a class of public shareholders of Linkwell Corp. who were forced to sell their stock at \$0.88 per share.
- ***In re Platinum-Beechwood Litigation***, Case No. 18-06658 (S.D.N.Y.), achieved dismissal on behalf of an individual investor in Platinum Partners-affiliated investment fund.
- ***Lakatamia Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Nobu Su***, Index No. 654860/2016 (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. 2016), achieved dismissal on suit attempting to domesticate a \$40 million UK judgment in New York State.
- ***Zelouf Int'l Corp. v. Zelouf***, 45 Misc.3d 1205(A) (Sup.Ct. N.Y. Co., 2014), representing the plaintiff in an appraisal proceeding triggered by freeze-out merger of closely-held corporation. Achieved a

\$10 million verdict after eleven day trial, with the Court rejecting a discount for lack of marketability.

- **Sacher v. Beacon Assocs. Mgmt. Corp.**, 114 A.D.3d 655 (2d Dep't 2014), affirming denial of defendants' motion to dismiss shareholder derivative suit by Madoff feeder fund against fund's auditor for accounting malpractice.
- **In re Belzberg**, 95 A.D.3d 713 (1st Dep't 2012), compelling a non-signatory to arbitrate brokerage agreement dispute arising under doctrine of direct benefits estoppel.
- **Estate of DeLeo**, Case No. 353758/A (Surrog. Ct., Nassau Co. 2011), achieving a full plaintiff's verdict after a seven day trial which restored a multi-million dollar family business to its rightful owner.
- **CMIA Partners Equity Ltd. v. O'Neill**, 2010 NY Slip Op 52068(U) (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co., 2010). Representing the independent directors of a Cayman Islands investment fund, won a dismissal on the pleadings in the first New York state case examining shareholder derivative suits under Cayman Islands law.
- **Hecht v. Andover Assocs. Mgmt. Corp.**, 27 Misc 3d 1202(A) (Sup. Ct. Nassau Co., 2010), aff'd, 114 A.D.3d 638 (2d Dep't 2014). Participated in a \$213 million global settlement in the first Madoff-related feeder fund in the country to defeat a motion to dismiss.

Education

- New York University School of Law (JD, 2000)
- The University of Texas at Austin (BA with Honors, 1997), National Merit Scholar

Admissions

- Massachusetts (retired)
- New York (2002)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2010)
- United States District Court for the Western District of New York (2019)

Elizabeth K. Tripodi

Elizabeth K. Tripodi focuses her practice on shareholder M&A litigation, representing shareholders of public companies impacted by mergers, acquisitions, tender offers, and other change-in-control transactions. Ms. Tripodi has been named as a Washington, DC "Super Lawyer" and was selected as a "Rising Star" by Thomson Reuters for several consecutive years.

Ms. Tripodi has played a lead role in obtaining monetary recoveries for shareholders in M&A litigation:

- **In re Bluegreen Corp. S'holder Litig.**, Case No. 502011CA018111 (Circuit Ct. for Palm Beach Cty., FL), creation of a \$36.5 million common fund settlement in the wake of a majority shareholder buyout, representing a 25% increase in total consideration to the minority stockholders
- **In re Cybex International S'holder Litig.**, Index No. 653794/2012 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2014), recovery of \$1.8 million common fund, which represented an 8% increase in stockholder consideration in connection with management-led cash-out merger
- **In re Great Wolf Resorts, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, C.A. No. 7328-VCN (Del. Ch. 2012), where there was a

\$93 million (57%) increase in merger consideration

- **Minerva Group, LP v. Keane**, Index No. 800621/2013 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2013), settlement in which Defendants increased the price of an insider buyout from \$8.40 to \$9.25 per share

Ms. Tripodi has played a key role in obtaining injunctive relief while representing shareholders in connection with M&A litigation, including obtaining preliminary injunctions or other injunctive relief in the following actions:

- **In re Portec Rail Products, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, G.D. 10-3547 (Ct. Com. Pleas Pa. 2010)
- **In re Craftmade International, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, C.A. No. 6950-VCL (Del. Ch. 2011)
- **Dias v. Purches**, C.A. No. 719 VCG (Del. Ch. 2012)
- **In re Complete Genomics, Inc. S'holder Litig.**, C.A. No. 7888-VCL (Del. Ch. 2012)
- **In re Integrated Silicon Solution, Inc. Stockholder Litig.**, Lead Case No. 115CV279142 (Sup. Ct. Santa Clara, CA 2015)

Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Ms. Tripodi was a member of the litigation team that served as Lead Counsel in, and was responsible for, the successful prosecution of numerous class actions, including: *Rudolph v. UTStarcom* (stock option backdating litigation obtaining a \$9.5 million settlement); *Grecian v. Meade Instruments* (stock option backdating litigation obtaining a \$3.5 million settlement).

Education

- American University Washington College of Law, *cum laude* (2006), where she served as Editor in Chief of the Business Law Brief, was a member of the National Environmental Moot Court team, and interned for Environmental Enforcement Section at the Department of Justice
- Davidson College, B.A., Art History (2000)

Admissions

- Virginia (2006)
- District of Columbia (2008)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (2006)
- United States District Court for the District of Columbia (2010)

Adam M. Apton

Adam M. Apton focuses his practice on investor protection. He represents institutional investors and high net worth individuals in securities fraud, corporate governance, and shareholder rights litigation. Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Apton defended corporate clients against complex mass tort, commercial, and products liability lawsuits. Thomson Reuters has selected Mr. Apton to the Super Lawyers Washington, DC "Rising Stars" list every year since 2016, a distinction given to only the top 2.5% of lawyers.

Mr. Apton's past representations and successes include:

- ***In re Tesla, Inc. Securities Litigation***, No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC (N.D. Cal.) (lead counsel in class action representing Tesla investors who were harmed by Elon Musk's "funding secured" tweet from August 7, 2018)
- ***In re Navient Corp. Securities Litigation***, 17-8373 (RBK/AMD) (D.N.J.) (lead counsel in class action against leading provider of student loans for alleged false and misleading statements about compliance with consumer protection laws)
- ***In re Prothena Corporation Plc Securities Litigation***, 1:18 cv-0642 ALC (S.D.N.Y.) (\$15.75 million settlement fund against international drug company for false statements about development of lead biopharmaceutical product)
- ***Martin v. Altisource Residential Corporation, et al.***, 15-00024 (AET) (GWC) D.V.I.) (\$15.5 million settlement fund against residential mortgage company for false statements about compliance with consumer regulations and corporate governance protocols)
- ***Levin v. Resource Capital Corp., et al.***, 1:15 cv-07081-LLS (S.D.N.Y.) (\$9.5 million settlement in class action over fraudulent statements about toxic mezzanine loan assets)
- ***Rux v. Meyer (Sirius XM Holdings Inc.)***, No. 11577 (Del. Ch.) (recovery of \$8.25 million against SiriusXM's Board of Directors for engaging in harmful related-party transactions with controlling stockholder, John. C. Malone and Liberty Media Corp.)

Education

- New York Law School, J.D., *cum laude* (2009), where he served as Articles Editor of the *New York Law School Law Review* and interned for the New York State Supreme Court, Commercial Division
- University of Minnesota, B.A., Entrepreneurial Management & Psychology, With Distinction (2006)

Admissions

- New York (2010)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2010)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2010)
- District of Columbia (2013)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2015)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2016)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2016)
- California (2017)

- United States District Court for the Northern District of California (2017)
- United States District Court for the Central District of California (2017)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of California (2017)

Publications

- "Pleading Section 11 Liability for Secondary Offerings" *American Bar Association: Practice Points* (Jan. 4, 2017)
- "Second Circuit Rules in Indiana Public Retirement System v. SAIC, Inc." *American Bar Association: Practice Points* (Apr. 4, 2016)
- "Second Circuit Applies Omnicare to Statements of Opinion in Sanofi" *American Bar Association: Practice Points* (Mar. 30, 2016)
- "Second Circuit Rules in Acticon AG v. China North" *American Bar Association: Practice Points* (Sept. 14, 2015)

Of Counsel

Andrew E. Lencyk

Andrew E. Lencyk is Of Counsel to the Firm. Prior to joining the Firm, Mr. Lencyk was a partner in an established boutique firm in New York specializing in securities litigation. He was graduated *magna cum laude* from Fordham College, New York, with a B.A. in Economics and History, where he was a member of the College's Honors Program, and was elected to Phi Beta Kappa. Mr. Lencyk received his J.D. from Fordham University School of Law, where he was a member of the Fordham Urban Law Journal. He was named to the 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 Super Lawyers®, New York Metro Edition.

Mr. Lencyk has co-authored the following articles for the Practising Law Institute's Accountants' Liability Handbooks:

- *Liability in Forecast and Projection Engagements: Impact of Luce v. Edelstein*
- *An Accountant's Duty to Disclose Internal Control Weaknesses*
- *Whistle-blowing: An Accountants' Duty to Disclose A Client's Illegal Acts*
- *Pleading Motions under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995*
- *Discovery Issues in Cases Involving Auditors* (co-authored and appeared in the 2002 PLI Handbook on Accountants' Liability After Enron.)

In addition, he co-authored the following article for the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, Corporate & Securities Law Updates:

- *Safe Harbor Provisions for Forward-Looking Statements* (co-authored and published by the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, Corporate & Securities Law Updates, Vol. II, May 12, 2000)

Cases in which Mr. Lencyk actively represented plaintiffs include:

- ***In re Community Psychiatric Centers Securities Litigation***, SA CV-91-533-AHS (Eex) (C.D. Cal.) and *McGann v. Ernst & Young*, SA CV-93-0814-AHS (Eex) (C.D. Cal.) (recovery of \$54.5 million against company and its outside auditors)

- ***In re Danskin Securities Litigation***, Master File No. 92 CIV. 8753 (JSM) (S.D.N.Y.);
- ***In re JWP Securities Litigation***, Master File No. 92 Civ. 5815 (WCC) (S.D.N.Y.) (class recovery of approximately \$36 million)
- ***In re Porta Systems Securities Litigation***, Master File No. 93 Civ. 1453 (TCP) (E.D.N.Y.)
- ***In re Leslie Fay Cos. Securities Litigation***, No. 92 Civ. 8036 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$35 million recovery)
- ***Berke v. Presstek, Inc.***, Civ. No. 96-347-M (MDL Docket No. 1140) (D.N.H.) (\$22 million recovery)
- ***In re Micro Focus Securities Litigation***, No. C-01-01352-SBA-WDB (N.D. Cal.)
- ***Dusek v. Mattel, Inc., et al.***, CV99-10864 MRP (C.D. Cal.) (\$122 million global settlement)
- ***In re Sonus Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation-II***, No. 06-CV-10040 (MLW) (D. Mass.)
- ***In re AIG ERISA Litigation***, No. 04 Civ. 9387 (JES) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$24.2 million recovery)
- ***In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation***, MDL No. 1586 (D. Md.)
- ***In re Alger, Columbia, Janus, MFS, One Group, Putnam, Allianz Dresdner***, MDL No. 15863-JFM - Allianz Dresdner subtrack (D. Md.)
- ***In re Alliance, Franklin/Templeton, Bank of America/Nations Funds and Pilgrim Baxter***, MDL No. 158 AMD – Franklin/Templeton subtrack (D. Md.)
- ***In re AIG ERISA Litigation II***, No. 08 Civ. 5722 (LTS) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$40 million recovery); and
- ***Flynn v. Sientra, Inc.***, CV-15-07548 SJO (RAOx) (C.D. Cal.) (\$10.9 million recovery) (co-lead counsel) Court decisions in which Mr. Lencyk played an active role on behalf of plaintiffs include:
 - ***Pub. Empls' Ref. Sys. of Miss. v. TreeHouse Foods***, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22717 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 12, 2018) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss in its entirety)
 - ***Flynn v. Sientra, Inc.***, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 83409 (C.D. Cal. June 9, 2016) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss Section 10(b), Section 11 and 12(b)(2) claims), *motion for reconsideration denied*, slip op. (C.D. Cal. Aug 12, 2016)
 - ***In re Principal U.S. Property Account ERISA Litigation***, 274 F.R.D. 649 (S.D. Iowa 2011) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss)
 - ***In re AIG ERISA Litigation II***, No. 08 Civ. 5722(LTS), 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 35717 (S.D.N.Y. May 31, 2011) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss), *renewed motion to dismiss denied*, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. June 26, 2014)
 - ***In re Mutual Funds Investment Litigation***, 384 F. Supp. 2d 845 (D. Md. 2005) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss), *In re Alger, Columbia, Janus, MFS, One Group, Putnam, Allianz Dresdner*, MDL No. 15863-JFM - Allianz Dresdner subtrack (D. Md. Nov. 3, 2005) (denying in substantial part defendants' motions to dismiss), and *In re Alliance, Franklin/Templeton, Bank of America/Nations Funds and Pilgrim Baxter*, MDL No. 15862-AMD – Franklin/Templeton subtrack (D. Md. June 27, 2008) (same)
 - ***In re AIG ERISA Litigation***, No. 04 Civ. 9387 (JES) (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 12, 2006) (denying defendants' motions to dismiss in their entirety)
 - ***Dusek v. Mattel, Inc., et al.***, CV99-10864 MRP (C.D. Cal. Dec. 17, 2001) (denying defendants' motions to dismiss Section 14(a) complaint in their entirety)

- ***In re Micro Focus Sec. Litig.***, Case No. C-00-20055 SW (N.D. Cal. Dec. 20, 2000) (denying motion to dismiss Section 11 complaint);
- ***Zuckerman v. FoxMeyer Health Corp.***, 4 F. Supp.2d 618 (N.D. Tex. 1998) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss in its entirety in one of the first cases decided in the Fifth Circuit under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995)
- ***In re U.S. Liquids Securities Litigation***, Master File No. H-99-2785 S.D. Tex. Jan. 23, 2001) (denying motion to dismiss Section 11 claims)
- ***Sands Point Partners, L.P., et al. v. Pediatrix Medical Group, Inc., et al.***, Case No. 99-6181-CIV-Zloch (S.D. Fla. June 6, 2000) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss in its entirety)
- ***Berke v. Presstek, Inc.***, Civ. No. 96-347-M (MDL Docket No. 1140) (D.N.H. Mar. 30, 1999) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss)
- ***Chalverus v. Pegasystems, Inc.***, 59 F. Supp. 2d 226 (D. Mass. 1999) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss);
- ***Danis v. USN Communications, Inc.***, 73 F. Supp. 2d 923 (N.D. Ill. 1999) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss)
- ***In re JWP Inc. Securities Litigation***, 928 F. Supp. 1239 (S.D.N.Y. 1996) (denying defendants' motion for summary judgment);
- ***In re Danskin Securities Litigation***, Master File No. 92 CIV. 8753 (JSM) (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 23, 1994) (denying corporate and underwriter defendants' motions to dismiss in all respects)
- ***In re UCAR International Inc., Securities Litigation***, No. 3:98cv600 (JBA) (D. Conn.) (Case settled during pendency of defendants' motion to dismiss).

Education:

- Fordham University School of Law, J.D. (1992)
- Fordham College, B.A. *magna cum laude*, 1988)

Admissions

- New York (1993)
- Connecticut (1992)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2004)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (2015)

Kristina Mentone

Kristina Mentone is Of Counsel at the Firm. She is a seasoned litigator with more than 15 years of experience in complex securities litigation. Ms. Mentone previously represented investors in residential mortgage backed securities, helping to recover several billions of dollars of damages for her clients. She has represented both plaintiffs and defendants in complex class actions and has represented major financial institutions in high-stakes regulatory investigations.

Education

- Fordham University School of Law, J.D., *cum laude*, Order of the Coif (2003)
- New York University, B.A., *cum laude* (1999)

Admissions

- New York (2004)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2005)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2009)

Sebastian Tornatore

Sebastian Tornatore is Of Counsel in the Connecticut office of Levi & Korsinsky, LLP with a focus on representing individual and institutional plaintiffs in federal securities fraud class actions and related shareholder matters.

Since joining the firm in 2013, Sebastian has assisted in the recovery of millions of dollars for the benefit of shareholder classes, including:

- ***In re EndoChoice Holdings, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, C.A. No. 2016-cv-277772 (Fulton Cty. Ga.) (\$8.5 million settlement in action stemming from defendant corporation's IPO)
- ***Forman v. Meridian Bioscience Inc.***, C.A. No. 1:1 cv-00774 (S.D. Ohio) (settlement of \$2.1 million in securities fraud action)
- ***In re: Comverge Inc. S'holders Litig.***, C.A. No. 7368 (Del. Ch.) (settlement of \$5.9 million in action arising from takeover)

Sebastian is currently litigating a variety of class actions throughout the country, including:

- ***Ford v. TD Ameritrade***, C.A. No. 8:1 cv-396 D. Neb.) (defeated motion to dismiss in best execution case stemming from TD Ameritrade's order routing practices)
- ***In re Restoration Robotics, Inc. Sec. Litig.***, C.A. No. 5:18-cv-03712-EJD (N.D. Cal.) (defeated defendants' motion to dismiss in part and litigating an action on behalf of a certified class of investors in defendant company's IPO)
- ***Kirkland et al. v. WideOpenWest, Inc.***, Index No. 653248/2018 (Sup. Ct, NY County) (defeated defendants' motion to dismiss in part on behalf of a proposed class of investors in defendant company's IPO)
- ***Stein v. U.S. Xpress Enterprises, Inc.***, C.A. No. 1:1 cv-00098 (E.D. Tenn.) (defeated defendants' motion to dismiss in part on behalf of a proposed class of investors in defendant company's IPO)

Prior to joining the firm, Sebastian worked for the Connecticut Judicial System, where he gained significant experience assisting various state judges.

Education

- University of Connecticut School of Law, J.D. (2012)
- Boston College, B.A., Political Science (2008)

Admissions

- Connecticut (2012)
- Massachusetts (2012)
- New York (2014)
- United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (2014)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2016)
- United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (2016)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2018)

Associates

Stephanie A. Bartone

Stephanie A. Bartone practices in all areas of the firm, with a focus on securities fraud litigation. Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Bartone worked for the Connecticut Judicial System where she assisted state court judges in civil and family matters. Ms. Bartone also previously worked for a firm specializing in civil litigation and criminal defense at the state and federal level. While attending The University of Connecticut School of Law, Ms. Bartone was the Symposium Editor of the *Connecticut Law Review*.

Education

- The University of Connecticut School of Law, J.D. (2012)
- The University of New Hampshire, B.A. *summa cum laude* (2008) Psychology and Justice Studies

Admissions

- Connecticut (2012)
- Massachusetts (2012)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2013)
- United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (2015)
- United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (2016)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2020)

Jordan A. Cafritz

Jordan Cafritz is an Associate with the Firm's Washington, D.C. office. While attending law school at American University he was an active member of the American University Business Law Review and worked as a Rule 16 attorney in the Criminal Justice Defense Clinic. After graduating from law school, Mr. Cafritz clerked for the Honorable Paul W. Grimm in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland.

Education

- American University Washington College of Law, J.D. (2014)
- University of Wisconsin-Madison, B.A., Economics & History (2010)

Admissions

- Maryland (2014)
- District of Columbia (2018)

“I think you’ve done a superb job and I really appreciate the way this case was handled.”

The Honorable Ronald B. Rubin in *Teoh v. Ferrantino*, C.A. No. 356627
(Cir. Ct. for Montgomery Cnty., MD 2012)

Michael Keating

Michael Keating is an Associate with the Firm's Stamford office focusing on federal securities litigation. Mr. Keating previously interned with the Division of Enforcement for the Securities and Exchange Commission while attending law school.

Education:

- University of Connecticut School of Law, J.D. (2019)
- University of Connecticut, B.A Psychology (2014)

Admissions:

- Connecticut

Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock, III said “it’s always a pleasure to have counsel who are articulate and exuberant...” and referred to our approach to merger litigation as “wholesome” and “a model of... plaintiffs’ litigation in the merger arena.”

Ocieczanek v. Thomas Properties Group, C.A. No. 9029-VCG (Del. Ch. May 15, 2014)

Alexander Krot

Education

- The George Washington University, B.B.A., Finance and International Business (2003)
- American University Washington College of Law, J.D. (2010)
- Georgetown University Law Center, LL.M., Securities and Financial Regulation, With Distinction (2011)
- American University, Kogod School of Business, M.B.A. (2012)

Admissions

- Maryland (2011)

- District of Columbia (2014)
- United States District Court for the District of Colorado (2015)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit (2016)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin (2017)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit (2018)

*Then Vice Chancellor Leo E. Strine, Jr. praised the Firms’
“exceedingly measured and logical” argument*

Forgo v. Health Grades, Inc., C.A. No. 5716-VCS (Del. Ch. Sept. 3, 2010)

Courtney E. Maccarone

Courtney E. Maccarone focuses her practice on prosecuting consumer class actions. Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Ms. Maccarone was an associate at a boutique firm in New York specializing in class action litigation. While attending Brooklyn Law School, Ms. Maccarone served as the Executive Symposium Editor of the *Brooklyn Journal of International Law* and was a member of the Moot Court Honor Society. Her note, "Crossing Borders: A TRIPS-Like Treaty on Quarantines and Human Rights" was published in the Spring 2011 edition of the *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*.

Ms. Maccarone also gained experience in law school as an intern to the Honorable Martin Glenn of the Southern District of New York Bankruptcy Court and as a law clerk at a New York City-based class action firm. Ms. Maccarone has been recognized as a Super Lawyer "Rising Star" for the New York Metro area for the past seven consecutive years.

Education

- Brooklyn Law School, J.D., *magna cum laude* (2011), where she served as the Executive Symposium Editor of the *Brooklyn Journal of International Law* and was a member of the Moot Court Honor Society
- New York University, B.A., *magna cum laude* (2008)

Admissions

- New Jersey (2011)
- New York (2012)
- United States District Court for the District of New Jersey (2012)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2012)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2012)

Publications

- "Crossing Borders: A TRIPS-Like Treaty on Quarantines and Human Rights," published in the Spring 2011 edition of the *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*

Rosanne L. Mah

Rosanne L. Mah is an Associate in Levi & Korsinsky, LLP's San Francisco office. She represents consumers in complex class action litigation involving deceptive or misleading practices, false advertising, and data/privacy issues.

Education

- University of San Francisco, School of Law, J.D. (2005)
- University of California at Santa Cruz, B.A., Politics and Environmental Studies (1995)

Admissions

- United States District Court for the Northern District of California (2007)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (2007)
- United States District Court for the Central District of California (2017)

Adam C. McCall

Adam C. McCall is an Associate with the Firm. Prior to joining Levi & Korsinsky, Mr. McCall was a Summer Analyst at Moelis & Company and an intern at Fortress Investment Group. While attending the Georgetown University Law Center, he was an extern at the Securities and Exchange Commission's Division of Corporate Finance.

Education

- Georgetown University Law Center, LL.M., Securities and Financial Regulation (2015)
- California Western School of Law, J.D., *cum laude* (2013)
- Santa Clara University, Certificate of Advanced Accounting Proficiency (2010)
- University of Southern California, B.A., Economics (2008)

Admissions

- California (2014)
- United States District Court for the Central District of California (2015)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of California (2015)
- United States District Court for the Northern District of California (2015)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of California (2015)
- United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (2016)
- District of Columbia (2017)

Melissa Muller

Melissa Muller is an Associate with the Firm's New York Office focusing on federal securities litigation. Ms. Muller previously worked as a paralegal for the New York office while attending law school.

Education

- New York Law School, J.D., Dean's Scholar Award, member of the Dean's Leadership Council (2018)
- John Jay College of Criminal Justice, B.A. (2013), *magna cum laude*

Admissions

- New York (201)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2020)

Zachary Ness

Mr. Ness is an Associate with the Firm in the Washington, D.C. office, where he focuses his practice on financial litigation, including class action litigation relating to corporate governance, securities, cryptocurrencies, and initial coin offerings. During law school, he was an honors intern for the Trading and Markets Division of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, where he practiced in the offices of Trading Practices and Market Supervision. In addition, he was a judicial intern for the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, and a research assistant tasked with examining modern constitutional privacy law issues.

Education

- Georgetown University Law Center, J.D. (2019)
- Rutgers University (New Brunswick) (2016), *summa cum laude*

Admissions

- District of Columbia (2020)

Publications

- "A Fighting Chance: Ensuring Choice of Representation," 31 GEO. J. LEGAL ETHICS 781 (2018)

Gregory M. Potrepka

Gregory M. Potrepka is an Associate in Levi & Korsinsky's Connecticut office. Mr. Potrepka is an experienced lawyer having litigated cases in State, Federal, and Tribal courts, at both the trial and appellate levels. While in law school, Mr. Potrepka clerked in the Civil Division of the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia.

Education

- University of Connecticut School of Law, J.D. (2015)
- University of Connecticut Department of Public Policy, M.P.A. (2015)
- University of Connecticut, B.A., Political Science (2010)

Admissions

- Connecticut (2015)
- Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Court (2015)
- United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (2016)
- United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (2018)
- United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York (2018)

Andrew Rocco

Andrew Rocco is an Associate with the Firm in the Connecticut office. As a law student, he interned for the Office of the Attorney General for the State of Connecticut in the Employment Rights Department and served as the Editor-in-Chief of the Quinnipiac Probate Law Journal.

Education

- Quinnipiac University School of Law, J.D., *summa cum laude* (2017)
- Champlain College, B.A., Legal Studies, *summa cum laude* (2014)

Admissions

- Connecticut

Brian Stewart

Brian Stewart is an Associate with the Firm practicing in the Washington, D.C. office. Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Stewart was an associate at a small litigation firm in Washington D.C. and a regulatory analyst at the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). During law school, he interned for the Enforcement Divisions of the SEC and CFPB.

Education

- American University Washington College of Law, J.D. (2012)
- University of Washington, B.S., Economics and Mathematics (2008)

Admissions

- Maryland (2012)
- District of Columbia (2014)